POLITECNICO DI TORINO in collaboration with UNIVERSITÄT ZU KÖLN



Master's Degree Thesis ICT for Smart Societies

Machine Learning Algorithms for Radiogenomics: Application to Prediction of the MGMT promoter methylation status in mpMRI scans

Data Science Lab at University Hospital Cologne

Candidate Mostafa KARAMI

Supervisor at Polito Prof. Monica VISINTIN Supervisor at UC Prof. Liliana CALDEIRA

October 2022

Abstract

Glioblastomas are the most aggressive and destructive forms of solid brain tumors of the central nervous system. Despite aggressive multimodal treatment approaches, the overall survival period is reported to be less than 15 months after diagnosis. MGMT gene silencing is a potentially proper predictive element in determining the mortality rate for glioblastoma patients undergoing chemotherapy. Analyzing the correlation between different medical image characteristics and MGMT promoter methylation status through machine learning tools could play an essential role in the automatic aided diagnosis approach.

By doing data preprocessing and transformation, this thesis aims to extract features from data provided by the Radiological Society of North America (RSNA) and investigate this relationship with various classification methods like Logistic Regression (LR), Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP). Using Pyradiomics, an open-source python package, 1153 features have been extracted from the images, their segmented forms, and Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) and Wavelet filters to get features with more details. The Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost) classifier is used since it is desirable to reduce the number of features to improve performance and identify the optimal number for the most relevant results.

The model used nested cross-validation (CV) to prevent information leakage and obtain better outcomes by finding the best set of hyperparameters for the chosen models. This approach is also exclusively applied to different MRI sequence types such as Fluid Attenuated Inversion Recovery (FLAIR), T1-weighted pre-contrast (T1), T1-weighted post-contrast (T1ce), and T2-weighted (T2) in order to point out the importance of each for final user support and future research purposes. Different statistical metrics such as accuracy, F1 score, and confusion matrix are considered for each classification algorithm.

This study demonstrated acceptable performance by the proposed feature extraction, feature selection methods, and machine learning classification algorithms. Although the deep learning approach would result in better performance metrics, considering computational load and time-space trade-off, using radiomics features and performing classification lead to valuable results in quicker time for the final user. Undoubtedly, better results can be obtained by accessing more extensive data, which points out the importance of data quantity. Analyzing different optimal features also would be a good starting point for future research to focus on the most critical aspect of brain tumor MRI images.

Acknowledgements

I would first like to thank my thesis advisor, Dr. Caldeira, at the University of Cologne. She consistently steered me in the right direction whenever she thought I needed it. I would also like to thank **Dr. Visintin**, my thesis advisor from the Polytechnic University of Turin, for accepting me as her student and letting me be part of this journey.

I must express my deepest gratitude to my parents and my two wonderful brothers, **Morteza** and **Alireza**, for providing unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and through researching and writing this thesis. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them.

Mostafa Karami

Table of Contents

Li	st of	Tables	V
\mathbf{Li}	st of	Figures	Ί
A	crony	ms VII	II
1	Intr	oduction	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Motivation and Goals	2
	1.3	Related Studies	3
2	Mat	erials & Methods	6
	2.1	Dataset	6
	2.2	Visualization	7
	2.3	Feature Extraction	1
	2.4	Dimensionality Reduction	2
	2.5	Classification	4
		2.5.1 Logistic Regression $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	4
		2.5.2 Support Vector Machine $\ldots \ldots $	8
		2.5.3 Multi-layer Perceptron	9
3	Res	llts 2	1
	3.1	Performance Evaluation	1
		3.1.1 FLAIR	4
		3.1.2 T1	6
		3.1.3 T1ce	8
		3.1.4 T2	0
	3.2	Comparison	2
4	Con	clusion and Future Works 3	3
	4.1	Summary and Conclusion	3

	4.2	Future Works	 	•••	 	 	. 34
A	Tech	nnicalities					35
Bi	bliog	raphy					85

List of Tables

3.1	A 2x2 confusion matrix structure	21
3.2	Final results for LR classification	22
3.3	Final results for SVM classification	23
3.4	Final results for MLP classification	23
3.5	List of top-ranked features for the best outcome	24

List of Figures

2.1	Data distribution according to the target variable, MGMT value	6
2.2	FLAIR MRI image in different planes	8
2.3	FLAIR original MRI image with the segmented form of the tumor	
	in the best-projected views - Patient's ID: 00003	8
2.4	T1ce original MRI image with the segmented form of the tumor in	
	the best-projected views - Patient's ID: 00014	9
2.5	T1ce original MRI image with the segmented form of the tumor in	
	the best-projected views - Patient's ID: 00070	9
2.6	T2 original MRI image with the segmented form of the tumor in the	
	best-projected views - Patient's ID: 00267	10
2.7	Overview of the pyradiomics process [21]	11
2.8	Correlation heatmap of top 12 ranked features of all mixed datasets	
	used for the model	13
2.9	Process of nested CV	15
2.10	Logistic Sigmoid activation function	16
2.11	Classification of data by (SVM)	18
2.12	Multi-layer Perceptron (MLP) [27]	20
3.1	FLAIR - Confusion Matrix for LR with 10 selected features	24
3.2	FLAIR - Confusion Matrix for SVM with 16 selected features	25
3.3	FLAIR - Confusion Matrix for MLP with 14 selected features	25
3.4	T1 - Confusion Matrix for LR with 19 selected features	26
3.5	T1 - Confusion Matrix for SVM with 16 selected features	27
3.6	T1 - Confusion Matrix for MLP with 16 selected features	27
3.7	T1ce - Confusion Matrix for LR with 3 selected features	28
3.8	T1ce - Confusion Matrix for SVM with 5 selected features	29
3.9	T1ce - Confusion Matrix for MLP with 4 selected features	29
3.10	T2 - Confusion Matrix for LR with 6 selected features	30
3.11	T2 - Confusion Matrix for SVM with 5 selected features	31
3.12	T2 - Confusion Matrix for MLP with 6 selected features $\hfill \ldots \ldots \hfill \ldots$	31

Acronyms

GBM

 $\operatorname{Glioblastomas}$

MGMT

 O^2 -methylguanine DNA methyltransferase+

MRI

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

FLAIR

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

T1

T1-weighted pre-contrast

T1ce

T1-weighted post-contrast

T2

T2-weighted

DICOM

Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine

NIFTI

Neuroimaging Informatics Technology Initiative

mpMRI

multi-parametric MRI

\mathbf{AI}

Artificial Intelligence

\mathbf{ML}

Machine Learning

\mathbf{IoT}

Internet of Things

\mathbf{CV}

cross-validation

\mathbf{SVM}

Support Vector Machine

\mathbf{MLP}

Multi-Layer Perceptron

\mathbf{LR}

Logistic Regression

\mathbf{std}

Standard Deviation

\mathbf{CNN}

Convolutional Neural Network

LoG

Laplacian of Gaussian

XGBoost

Extreme Gradient Boosting

\mathbf{PR}

Precision Recall

\mathbf{TR}

True Positive

\mathbf{FP}

False Positive

\mathbf{TN}

True Negative

\mathbf{FN}

False Negative

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Background

Brain Glioblastomas (GBM) tumors represent about 50% of all primary brain tumors [1], and due to the fact that it is the most aggressive and exceptionally invasive type of brain tumor, the treatment of GBM has still been believed to be the most challenging job in clinical oncology. GBM suffers an unfavorable prognosis despite all the worldwide efforts such as surgical excision, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy [2].

 O^2 -methylguanine DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) is a DNA repair enzyme that removes the guanine-alkyl group induced by alkylating agents such astemozolomide (TMZ) [3]. The methylation of the MGMT promoter, which epigenetically silences the MGMT gene, has become a reliable prognostic and predictive biomarker of the World Health Organization (WHO) [4–6]. Accordingly, measurement of MGMT promoter methylation status would be a proper approach to consider for clinical evaluation of glioma patients. The typical implementation of MGMT methylation status in clinical practice is challenging even though substantial evidence emphasizing its role in prognosis. Some mentionable controversial scenarios specifying the statuses of MGMT are the optimal cut-off methods and definitions by pyrosequencing in glioblastoma. The main significant challenge is a variation in diagnostic methods, which are not the same between different laboratories.

One of the most effective tools for diagnosis and monitoring the treatment is Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) which plays a vital role in glioma prognosis studies. In recent years, MRI-imaging features have been considered as predictive objective indications of the medical state of GBM. According to studies, imaging characteristics such as the extent of edema, preoperative tumor volume, degree of contrast enhancement, and necrosis have particular GBM predictive value [7]. That is why to illuminate the meaningful link between imaging features and survival or GBM genomic alterations, the accurate and reproducible measurement of MR features is required [8].

Radiogenomic analysis connected the MR characteristics to gene expression profiles in GBM, and by extracting them, the molecular properties of tumors could be predicted [9]. However, since these characteristics are often considered qualitatively, interpretation becomes crucial. To clarify the relevant relationship between imaging characteristics and survival or GBM genomic mutations, precise and repeatable evaluation of MR features is essential [10].

As stated by [11], remote-controlled robots will become more widespread in various fields in the near future. Recent studies demonstrate the engagement of several researchers in wireless communication [12], [13], and [14] improve an existing system by addressing pertinent difficulties. Machine learning (ML) and other data analysis techniques are being developed to process medical data analysis. The integration of Machine Learning and other technologies, such as the Internet of Things (IoT), resulted in the smart hospital development project developed by [15] in order to efficiently manage hospitalized patients. ML is equivalent to the research and creation of models and algorithms for automated gaining knowledge from massive, complex data sets. Most biological studies that analyze enormous amounts of data consider using computational techniques like ML. These methods have several advantages, including the ability to exploit complicated correlations in high resolution and enable the quantification of tumor approaches that rely on imaging.

1.2 Motivation and Goals

To predict the MGMT value (indicator for the presence of MGMT promoter methylation), in this study, several features have been extracted using the Brain Tumor Segmentation (BraTS) dataset provided by the Radiological Society of North America (RSNA) [16]. All BraTS multiparametric MRI (mpMRI) scans are accessible in Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) files (.dcm) for Task 2 (Prediction of the MGMT promoter methylation status) and NIfTI files (.nii.gz) for Task 1 (Brain Tumor Segmentation in mpMRI scans.) The dataset for each task provided is regarded separately, and that is why 26 patients from task 2 have not segmented form. Hence they are excluded from the dataset for this study since the segmented form is essential for the feature extraction stage. These mpMRI images were obtained using various clinical procedures and scanners from several institutions which describe the following sequence types:

- Fluid Attenuated Inversion Recovery (FLAIR), fluid suppression by setting an inversion time that nulls fluids.
- **T1-weighted pre-contrast (T1)**, measuring spin–lattice relaxation by using a short repetition time (TR) and echo time (TE).
- **T1-weighted post-contrast (T1ce)**, providing a novel approach to optimal myocardial nulling for late gadolinium enhancement imaging.
- **T2-weighted (T2)**, measuring spin–spin relaxation by using long TR and TE times.

Following the same annotation process, one to four raters manually segmented all imaging datasets, and expert board-certified neuroradiologists confirmed their annotations. Annotations comprise the GD-enhancing tumor (ET—label 4), the peritumoral edematous/invaded tissue (ED—label 2), and the necrotic tumor core (NCR—label 1). Visualizing the images and segmented area is a crucial aspect, that covered in this thesis. The best view of the tumor for each sequence typed has been found and presented to the final users.

By using the original images, the filtered versions (Laplacian of Gaussian and Wavelet), and their segmented form, raw data has been obtained using pyradiomics, an open-source python package for extracting radiomics features from medical imaging. The output data is formed by 11336 number of subjects and 1155 features, which are separated into different segmented areas for each MRI sequence type. Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost) classifier is used for feature selection in different files that eliminate unnecessary and worthless features and keeps only those that are relevant. The top 20 features were separated as a means to associate MGMT methylation status with glioma progression. Various classification models such as LR, SVM, and MLP are used to investigate the statistical metrics such as accuracy, F1 score, confusion matrix and etc.

Another essential ideal of the thesis is to evaluate the result for each MRI sequence type as a different scenario and compare the outcome to provide a better interpretation of the dataset for final users.

1.3 Related Studies

A few surveys addressing the topic of Machine Learning-based processes for MGMT methylation status prediction have been proposed in recent years. This section

provides an overview of relevant research and explains the critical points of previous surveys. One of the noticeable research in this area is MRI-based machine learning for determining quantitative and qualitative characteristics affecting the survival of glioblastoma multiforme [17]. In this article, it has been shown that MGMT promoter methylation is related to better outcomes in glioblastoma (GBM) patients and may be a measure of chemotherapy sensitivity. It has been shown that MGMT promoter methylation is related to better outcomes in glioblastoma (GBM) patients and may be a measure of chemotherapy sensitivity. MRI scans from GBM patients were retrospectively analyzed. ML techniques were used to create multivariate prediction models, which were then evaluated using information from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database. Accuracy of up to 73.6% was achieved in predicting the methylation status of the MGMT promoter. According to experimental investigation, the most critical factors affecting the level of MGMT promoter methylation in GBM were:

- The edema/necrosis volume ratio.
- Tumor/necrosis volume ratio.
- Edema volume.
- Tumor location and enhancement features.

The acquired findings provide further proof that MGMT methylation status in GBM and common preoperative MRI variables are related. The following research is MRI-based machine learning for determining quantitative and qualitative characteristics affecting the survival of glioblastoma multiforme [18] that aims to examine the image biomarkers taken from MRI pictures to see how they affect the survival of individuals with glioblastoma multiforme (GBM). Finding its biomarker aids in improved illness management and therapy evaluation. Imaging characteristics have been shown to be potential biomarkers. This research aims to examine the correlation between MRI and clinical characteristics as a biomarker for GBM survival. Five clinical factors, 10 pre-operative MRI imaging features, and six quantitative features derived from the BraTumIA software were taken into consideration for 55 patients. To identify key variables, ANN, C5, Bayesian, and Cox models were run in two stages. The first stage has chosen the quality features that appear in at least three models and are quantitative in two models. The probability value of variables in each model was then determined in the second part, which revealed that the greatest sizes of the breadth and length, radiation, the volume of enhancement, the volume of nCET, enhancing margin, and age feature are vital characteristics.

In the subsequent study, Machine learning-based radiomic evaluation of treatment response prediction in glioblastoma [19], ML-based models that include clinical,

radiomic, and genomic data have been investigated to differentiate between genuine early progression (tPD) and pseudoprogression (psPD) in patients with glioblastoma. Following chemoradiotherapy for glioblastoma, 76 patients (46 tPD, 30 psPD) with the early enhancing illness underwent a retrospective study. Following patients for six months after chemoradiotherapy, the result was assessed. In early post-chemoradiotherapy contrast-enhanced T1-weighted imaging (T1), T2-weighted imaging (T2), and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps, enhancing disease and perilesional oedema masks were used to extract 307 quantitative imaging features. These models also included clinical characteristics and the status of the (MGMT) promoter's methylation. A random forest approach was used for feature selection inside bootstrapped cross-validated recursive feature removal. The resultant model was validated using a naive Bayes five-fold cross-validation procedure.

In summary, evaluating different sequence types in mentioned research remains a nontrivial task. Therefore, in this work, the dataset went through specific preparation to separate each sequence and its association with MGMT value by exploiting only the top selected features.

Chapter 2 Materials & Methods

2.1 Dataset

The 559 MRI images that were taken into consideration for this study create the dataset. A five-digit number uniquely identifies each separate case's specific folder, which is the patient's ID number. Within each of these case folders, four sub-folders correspond to the structural multi-parametric MRI (mpMRI) scans in DICOM format. The header and image data are both contained in a single file in the DICOM format. The amount of header information affects how big the header will be. The patient's ID, patient name, modality, and other information are included in the header. It also specifies the resolutions and the number of frames that are included. Many DICOM files will be generated for a single acquisition.



Figure 2.1: Data distribution according to the target variable, MGMT value

One of the other formats used to store brain imaging data obtained using MRI is Neuroimaging Informatics Technology Initiative (NIFTI), which originates in neuro-imaging but can be used in other fields as well. A major feature is that the format contains two affine coordinate definitions which relates each voxel index (i, j, k) to a spatial location (x, y, z).

The primary distinction between DICOM and NIfTI is that NIfTI saves the raw image data as a 3D picture, whereas DICOM uses 2D image slices. Because NIFTI is represented as a 3D picture, it may be more suitable for ML applications than with respect to the DICOM format. Aslo, it is simpler to manage a single NIFTI file than several hundred DICOM files. Unlike DICOM, which uses several files for each 3D picture, NIFTI only uses two.

In this thesis, by using dicom2nifti and nibabel python packages, all the dataset have been converted to NIFTI format for further process.

2.2 Visualization

Medical visualization research to date has focused primarily on supporting physicians in diagnosis and treatment and, to a lesser extent-medical students, particularly for anatomy education [21]. That is why representing medical data clearly and effectively is a primary step before any processing.

The converted dataset to NIFTI format, was used to project 3D images in 3 planes, which are axial in an X-Y, sagittal in a Y-Z, and coronal in X-Z. Fig. 2.2 shows an MRI image of the patient's ID 00009. One of the privileges of the used dataset is the presence of segmented images that are not only used for feature extraction but also have a positive representation point for the final user. To better understand the original images and the segmented forms, it is a good idea to find the best view in each projected plane that shows more tumor area. A searching algorithm identifies different voxel values as tumor labels, and the best-projected view is found. The figs. 2.3 to 2.6 display the best view of the original and the whole segmented tumor for the different patient's ID, and different sequence types.



Figure 2.2: FLAIR MRI image in different planes



Figure 2.3: FLAIR original MRI image with the segmented form of the tumor in the best-projected views - Patient's ID: 00003



Figure 2.4: T1ce original MRI image with the segmented form of the tumor in the best-projected views - Patient's ID: 00014



Figure 2.5: T1ce original MRI image with the segmented form of the tumor in the best-projected views - Patient's ID: 00070



Figure 2.6: T2 original MRI image with the segmented form of the tumor in the best-projected views - Patient's ID: 00267

2.3 Feature Extraction

Increasing evidence suggests the strong impact of intra-tumor and inter-tumor heterogeneity on cancer treatment response. Radiomics aims to capture this heterogeneity by quantifying the tumor phenotype using high throughput mathematical algorithms applied to medical imaging data. These algorithms are either defined using engineered features requiring expert domain knowledge or deep learning methods that can automatically learn the feature representations from the data.



Figure 2.7: Overview of the pyradiomics process [21]

The pyradiomics package in python provides a pipeline to extract radiomic features from medical imaging data. It contains features for first-order [20] statistics as well as descriptors of the texture and shape of the region of interest. These features can either be extracted from the image directly or from images derived from the original image using a choice of available filters.

One of the filters used in this study is wavelet transformation which can provide comprehensive spatial and frequency distributions for characterizing intratumoral and peritumoral regions in terms of low and high-frequency signals. These properties may improve the performance of the radiomic model in term of compression, noise reduction and detection [23,24]. Another filter is Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) which is associated with image noise reduction and can improve the utility of the heterogeneity measures [25].

Once all features are extracted, results are combined and returned as a CSV file

which is used for prospective data processing.

2.4 Dimensionality Reduction

A dimensionality reduction technique is needed to transform the dataset from a high-dimensional space into a low-dimensional space so that the low-dimensional representation retains some meaningful properties of the original dataset. The variance threshold is a feature selector that removes all the low variance features from the dataset without significant modeling benefits. In the first step, the outcome of the feature extraction stage would be cleaned up by keeping only numerical features and applying a low variance threshold to reduce the number of features to 841. Since the number of features is still too high, the XGBoost classifier as a dimensionality reduction technique has been used, which will reduce the complexity of the model and remove some of the data noise. It also assists in reducing overfitting in this approach.

As part of its ensemble learning, the XGBoost classifier employs regularized learning and cache-aware block structure tree learning. L represents the loss function; f_t represents the tree and t - th tree and $\Omega(f_t)$ is regularized term. The second-order Taylor series of L at the t - th iteration is:

$$L^{(t)} \simeq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[l\left(y_i, y_i^{(t-1)}\right) + g_i f_t\left(x_i\right) + \frac{1}{2} h_i f_t^2(x) \right] + \Omega\left(f_t\right)$$
(2.1)

Gain is utilized to choose the best split node during XGBoost training where g_i and h_i stand for the first and second order gradients.

$$\operatorname{gain} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\left(\sum_{i \in I_L} g_i\right)^2}{\sum_{i \in I_L} h_i + \lambda} + \frac{\left(\sum_{i \in I_R} g_i\right)^2}{\sum_{i \in I_R} h_i + \lambda} - \frac{\left(\sum_{i \in I} g_i\right)^2}{\sum_{i \in I} h_i + \lambda} \right] - \gamma$$
(2.2)

$$I = I_L \cup I_R \tag{2.3}$$

Where I_L and I_R , respectively, represent samples of the left and right nodes after segmentation and γ and I are a penalty parameters. Gain is the score assigned to each split of a tree, and the average gain is used to determine the final feature importance score. The average gain equals the sum of all tree gains divided by the sum of feature splits. The matching feature is considered to be more significant and impactful the higher the feature significance score of XGBoost is. In order to describe MGMT status, the top-ranked features are acquired based on feature relevance.

Materials & Methods

	correlation heatmap for best features								_				
wavelet-LLL_firstorder_Kurtosis_cleaned_t2_seg_COR	- 1	0.058	-0.074								-0.047	-0.051	
log-sigma-2-0-mm-3D_gIcm_DifferenceVariance_cleaned_t2_seg_ET	- 0.058	1	0.13								-0.059	-0.15	
wavelet-HHH_gldm_DependenceVariance_cleaned_tice_seg	0.074	0.13	1		-0.14	-0.11	-0.17	-0.049	-0.27				
$wavelet \mbox{-} HHL_glszm_ZoneEntropy_cleaned_flair_seg$	0.0032		0.21	1		0.37	0.5	0.14	0.33		-0.15	-0.27	
log-sigma-5-0-mm-3D_firstorder_Maximum_cleaned_t1_seg	- 0.031		-0.14	0.24	1						-0.16	-0.46	
log-sigma-3-0-mm-3D_glszm_SizeZoneNonUniformity_cleaned_flair_seg_ET	- 0.03		-0.11		0.29	1		0.094			-0.052	-0.54	
original_glcm_SumEntropy_cleaned_tice_seg	0.012		-0.17			0.47	1	0.17			-0.18	-0.76	
<pre>svelet-HLH_gldm_LargeDependenceHighGrayLevelEmphasis_cleaned_t1ce_seg_ET</pre>	- 0.031		-0.049		0.14		0.17	1	0.11		-0.083	-0.14	
wavelet-HLH_gldm_DependenceEntropy_cleaned_t1ce_seg_ED	- 0.064		-0.27				0.83	0.11	1	0.12	-0.17	-0.78	
wavelet-LHL_glcm_ClusterShade_cleaned_flair_seg_ET	- 0.056		-0.017		0.022		0.1	0.09	0.12	1	-0.036	-0.18	
wavelet-HHL_firstorder_Skewness_cleaned_t2_seg_ED	-0.047	-0.059		-0.15	-0.16	-0.052	-0.18	-0.083	-0.17	-0.036	1		
log-sigma-2-0-mm-3D_firstorder_Mean_cleaned_flair_seg_ED	0.051	-0.15	0.32	-0.27	-0.46	-0.54	-0.76	-0.14	-0.78	-0.18	0.13	1	
	wavelet-LLL_firstorder_Kurtosis_cleaned_12_seg_COR -	log-sigma-2-0-mm-30_gicm_Difference/ariance_cleaned_12_seg_ET -	wavelet-HHH_gldm_DependenceVariance_cleaned_t1ce_seg -	wavelet-HHL_glszm_ZoneEntropy_cleaned_flair_seg -	log-sigma-5-0-mm-3D_firstorder_Maximum_cleaned_t1_seg -	log-sigma-3-0-mm-30_giszm_SizeZoneNonUniformity_cleaned_flair_seg_ET -	original_gicm_SumEntropy_cleaned_t1ce_seg -	velet-HLH_gldm_LargeDependenceHighGrayLevelEmphasis_cleaned_t1ce_seg_ET -	wavelet-HLH_gldm_DependenceEntropy_cleaned_ttce_seg_ED -	wavelet-LHL_glcm_ClusterShade_cleaned_flair_seg_ET -	wavelet-HHL_firstorder_Skewness_cleaned_t2_seg_ED -	log-sigma-2-0-mm-3D_firstorder_Mean_cleaned_flair_seg_ED -	

Figure 2.8: Correlation heatmap of top 12 ranked features of all mixed datasets used for the model

Fig. 2.8 shows correlation heatmap for the top 12 selected features in a mixed dataset containing all the MRI sequence types. These features will be used in binary classification to build the predictive model.

2.5 Classification

In this thesis, nested CV is considered as a splitting technique for evaluating the performance of ML algorithms. Nested CV is often used to train a model that needs its hyperparameters to be tuned. The generalization error of the underlying model and its hyperparameters search is estimated via nested CV. By selecting parameters that maximize non-nested CV, the model is biased toward the dataset and produces an excessively positive result.

The same data are used to adjust model parameters and assess model performance in model selection without a nested CV. As a result, the model may overfit the data, and information may leak into it. The size of the dataset and the model's stability has the most effects on the amount of this impact.

Nesting CV successfully uses a succession of train/validation/test set splits to get around this issue. The score is roughly maximized in the inner loop by fitting a model to each training set before being directly maximized by choosing hyperparameters across the validation set. The test set scores from various dataset splits are averaged in the outer loop to assess generalization error.

As it is shown in fig. 2.9, the whole dataset has been split in a way that the training part has 90% and the test 10%. The training part will go through a nested CV, in which the outer loop has 10 folds, and for the inner loop, 5 folds have been considered. The outer loop train the model with the best hyperparameters obtained with the inner loop and test on the held-back-test dataset. All average statistical measurements are reported separately for each classification approach through the outer loop iteration. In the following, a summary of applied classification methods has been reviewed.

2.5.1 Logistic Regression

Logistic Regression is a statistical learning technique in the category of supervised Machine Learning (ML) for classification problems. It is frequently used to calculate the likelihood that a certain instance belongs to a certain class. If the estimated probability exceeds 50%, the model predicts that the instance belongs to the positive class labeled "1". Otherwise, it predicts it belongs to the negative class labeled "0". This makes it a binary classifier. The Logistic Regression model computes a



Figure 2.9: Process of nested CV

weighted sum of the input features (plus a bias term), and the output is equation 2.4:

$$\hat{p} = h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma\left(\mathbf{x}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\theta}\right) \tag{2.4}$$

The logistic is a sigmoid function which is like an S-shaped that outputs a number between 0 and 1. It is defined as shown in equation 2.5 and fig. 2.10.

$$\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x)}}$$
(2.5)



Figure 2.10: Logistic Sigmoid activation function

The Logistic Regression model could easily predict once it has calculated the probability $\hat{p} = h_{\theta}(x)$, which, an instance x, belongs to the positive class.

$$\hat{y} = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } \hat{p} < 0.5\\ 1 \text{ if } \hat{p} \ge 0.5 \end{cases}$$
(2.6)

Equation 2.6 that $\sigma(t) < 0.5$ when t < 0, and $\sigma(t) \ge 0.5$ when $t \ge 0$, so a Logistic Regression model predicts 1 if $x^T \theta$ is positive and 0 if it is negative.

The sklearn.linear_model.LogisticRegression from sikit-learn package in Python has been used for creating LR model. The logistic model is penalized for having too many variables. As a regularization, this brings the coefficients of the less significant variables closer to zero. In this study, for specifying the norm of penalty, four parameters have been considered:

"penalty": ["none", "11", "12", "elasticnet"]

- "none": no penalty is added.
- "l1": penalizes the sum of absolute values of the weights.
- "l2": regularization penalizes the sum of squares of the weights.
- "elasticnet": penalized linear regression model that includes both the L1 and L2 penalties during training.

The second parameter is "C," which stands for the inverse regularization parameter. This control variable is positioned in the opposite direction from the Lambda regulator and maintains the regularization's strength modification.

$$C = \frac{1}{\lambda} \tag{2.7}$$

The relationship would be that lowering C would strengthen the Lambda regulator. The values considered for it are:

The third parameter is "solver," which allows for different gradient descent algorithms to set the β_i [26] in equation 2.5. Three solvers method has been taken into account:

- "newton-cg": Newton's technique that essentially employs an improved quadratic function minimization.
- "lbfgs": Limited-memory BFGS approximates the Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb– Shanno algorithm (BFGS) using a limited amount of computer memory.
- "liblinear": It is Library for Large Linear Classification, which uses a coordinate descent algorithm that is based on minimizing a multivariate function by solving univariate optimization problems in a loop.

2.5.2 Support Vector Machine

A separating hyperplane is the formal definition of a Support Vector Machine (SVM), as a discriminative classifier. In other words, the method produces an optimum hyperplane that classifies fresh samples when given labeled training data (supervised learning). This hyperplane divides a plane into two halves in two-dimensional space, with one class lying on either side of the line.

Equation 2.8 is of a hyperplane where w is a vector normal to the hyperplane and b is an offset.

$$w.x + b = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

Defining a decision rule to classify a point as negative or positive is an essential step.

$$y = \begin{cases} +1 \text{ if } \vec{X} \cdot \vec{w} + \mathbf{b} \ge 0\\ -1 \quad \text{if } \vec{X} \cdot \vec{w} + \mathbf{b} < 0 \end{cases}$$
(2.9)

To calculate 'd', as fig. 2.11 shows, the equation of L1 and L2 is needed. The assumption is that the equation of L1 is $w \cdot x + b = 1$, and for L2, it is $w \cdot x + b = -1$.



Figure 2.11: Classification of data by (SVM)

The sklearn.svm.SVC package from sikit-learn in Python is used for SVM classification. Parameters considered for the classification are "C," the same as the LR parameters, "gamma," and "kernel." "gamma" defines how far the influence of a

single training example reaches, and the values for it are:

"gamma": [1, 0.1, 0.01, 0.001, 0.0001]

The "kernel" parameters are used as a tuning parameter to improve the classification accuracy which are:

```
"kernel": ["rbf", "poly", "sigmoid"]
```

- "rbf": Radial Basis Function (RBF), has been utilized with great success in SVMs to differentiate across classes.
- "poly": To enable the learning of non-linear models, it denotes the similarity of vectors (training samples) in a feature space over polynomials of the original variables.
- "sigmoid": This function may be used to activate artificial neurons and is equal to a two-layer perceptron neural network model.

2.5.3 Multi-layer Perceptron

The feed forward neural network is supplemented by the multi-layer perceptron (MLP). As seen in fig. 2.12, it has three different kinds of layers: an input layer, an output layer, and a hidden layer. The input layer is where the input signal for processing is received. The output layer completes the necessary task, such as classification and prediction. The real computational engine of the MLP consists of an arbitrary number of hidden layers that are placed between the input and output layers. Data travels from the input to the output layer of an MLP in the forward direction, much like a feed forward network. With the help of the back propagation learning method, the MLP's neurons are trained. MLP may resolve issues that are not linearly separable since they are made to approximate any continuous function.



Figure 2.12: Multi-layer Perceptron (MLP) [27]

The parameters for MLP classifier are "batch_size", "momentum," "learning_rate_init," "solver", same as LR and SVM, "alpha," and "learnin_rate."

- "batch_size": The number of samples processed before the model is updated is 256.
- "momentum": The variant of the stochastic gradient descent. It replaces the gradient with a momentum which is an aggregate of gradients. 0.9 and 0.99 are considered for its values.
- "learning_rate_init": As initial learning rate, it controls the step size in updating the weights, which 0.001, 0.01, and 0.1 are considered for it.
- "alpha":
- "learnin_rate": It controls how quickly the model is adapted to the problem. ["constant", "adaptive"] are the hyper-parameters. 'constant' is a constant learning rate given by 'learning_rate_init'. 'adaptive' keeps the learning rate constant to 'learning_rate_init' as long as training loss keeps decreasing [28].

Chapter 3

Results

3.1 Performance Evaluation

Reporting the final results for each classification method needs statistical tools to demonstrate the details in each scenario. For this thesis, in contrast to accuracy, the confusion matrix, precision, recall, and F1 score provide a deeper understanding of the results of the prediction. By getting the confusion matrix, other evaluation metrics could be obtained.

		Predicted					
	Total population	Positive (P)	Negative (N)				
Truo	Positive (P)	True positive (TP)	False negative (FN)				
IIue	Negative (N)	False positive (FP)	True negative (TN)				

 Table 3.1: A 2x2 confusion matrix structure

Table 3.1 shows a 2x2 confusion matrix structure that consists of:

- True Positive (TP): model correctly predicts the positive class
- True Negative (TN): model correctly predicts the negative class
- False Positive (FP): model gives the wrong prediction of the negative class
- False Negative (FN): model wrongly predicts the positive class

Precision and recall are both essential for information retrieval, with positive class having a greater impact than negative. The goal of precision is to determine what proportion of all anticipated positives is actually positive. The precision value ranges from 0 to 1.

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \tag{3.1}$$

The goal of recall is to determine what proportion of overall positives are predicted positives.

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \tag{3.2}$$

The harmonic mean of recall and precision is the F1 score. It accounts for both false positives and false negatives. As a result, it works well with an unbalanced dataset. F1 score gives the same weigh to recall and precision.

$$F1score = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{Precision} + \frac{1}{Recall}} = \frac{2 * (Precision * Recall)}{(Precision + Recall)}$$
(3.3)

The final results for each classification methods are shown in tables 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4. Each table's first column shows the ideal combination of features that results in a higher F1 score with the average F1 score in each outer loop of the nested CV. The second column in the tables shows the average Standard Deviation (std) of the F1 score in each outer loop of nested CV.

	num. of features / F1-score for LR	std for LR
All mixed dataset	12 / 0.57	0.018
FLAIR	10 / 0.55	0.01
T1	19 / 0.59	0.2
T1ce	3 / 0.57	0.009
Τ2	6 / 0.55	0.046

 Table 3.2: Final results for LR classification

As table 3.2 demonstrates, the highest F1 score belongs to the T1 sequence type with 0.59 for the LR method. The optimal number of features to reach the result is 19. Although the T1ce type has the F1 score of 0.57, the optimal number of features is the least among all the other types with only 3 features, which also is the more stable result with an std of 0.009.

Results

	num. of features / F1-score for SVM	std for SVM
All mixed dataset	10 / 0.6	0.021
FLAIR	16 / 0.58	0.048
T1	16 / 0.68	0.07
T1ce	5 / 0.6	0.067
Τ2	5 / 0.59	0.063

Table 3.3: Final results for SVM classification

The T1ce type has the best F1 score with 0.68 in SVM outcome according to table 3.3. The lowest number of the optimal selected features is for both T1ce and T2 types, which is 5. The most stable result, considering the std, is for the FLAIR type, which is equal to 0.58 F1 score.

	num. of features / F1-score for MLP	std for MLP
All mixed dataset	12 / 0.59	0.035
FLAIR	14 / 0.6	0.055
T1	16 / 0.59	0.09
T1ce	4 / 0.55	0.011
Τ2	6 / 0.62	0.066

Table 3.4: Final results for MLP classification

The outcome for the MLP approach shows the best result considering the F1 score for the T1 type, but the std for it is the highest with respect to others, which is equal to 0.09. The lowest std and number of optimal features needed to reach the better result belong to the T1ce type, which are 4 features and 0.011. The following will report the confusion matrix for each MRI sequence type.

Table 3.5 shows the list of top-ranked features used for the SVM classifier and has the best outcome for T1ce. This is a sample for presenting the results to the radiologists as final users.
Results

Rank	Feature Class	Feature Name	Image Type
1	Gray Level Dependence Matrix (GLDM)	Dependence Variance (DV)	Wavelet - Tumor Edematous
2	First Order Features	Mean	Wavelet - Enhancing Tumor
3	First Order Features	Entropy	LoG - Tumor Edematous
4	First Order Features	90th percentile	LoG - Whole Tumor's Core
5	Gray Level Run Length Matrix (GLRLM)	Long Run Emphasis (LRE)	Wavelet - Enhancing Tumor
6	Gray Level Dependence Matrix (GLDM)	Dependence Non-Uniformity (DN)	LoG - Enhancing Tumor
7	First Order Features	Median	LoG - The Whole Image
8	First Order Features	Range	LoG - Tumor Edematous
9	First Order Features	Mean	Wavelet - Tumor Edematous
10	First Order Features	Mean	LoG - Tumor Edematous
11	First Order Features	Median	Wavelet - Whole Tumor's Core
12	Shape Features (3D)	Maximum 3D diameter	Original - Enhancing Tumor
13	Gray Level Dependence Matrix (GLDM)	Large Dependence High Gray Level Emphasis (LDHGLE)	Wavelet - Tumor Edematous
14	Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM) Features	Contrast	Wavelet - The Whole Image
15	First Order Features	Kurtosis	Wavelet - Tumor Edematous
16	First Order Features	Mean	LoG - Tumor Edematous

Table 3.5: List of top-ranked features for the best outcome

3.1.1 FLAIR

The confusion matrix related to each binary classification approach's result are shown in the figs. 3.1 to 3.3.



Figure 3.1: FLAIR - Confusion Matrix for LR with 10 selected features

The first number for each cell of the confusion matrix is the number of patients classified as TP, TN, FP, or FN.

The best selected hyperparameters for LR are: ['C': 0.09, 'penalty': 'l2', 'solver': 'liblinear']





Figure 3.2: FLAIR - Confusion Matrix for SVM with 16 selected features

The best selected hyperparameters for SVM are: ['C': 10, 'gamma': 0.1, 'kernel': 'rbf']



Figure 3.3: FLAIR - Confusion Matrix for MLP with 14 selected features

The best selected hyperparameters for MLP are: ['alpha': 0.0001, 'batch_size': 256, 'learning_rate': 'constant',| 'learning_rate_init': 0.1, 'momentum': 0.9, 'solver': 'adam']

3.1.2 T1

The confusion matrix related to each binary classification approach's result are shown in the figs. 3.4 to 3.6.



Figure 3.4: T1 - Confusion Matrix for LR with 19 selected features

```
The best selected hyperparameters for LR are:
['C': 1, 'penalty': 'l1', 'solver': 'liblinear']
```



Figure 3.5: T1 - Confusion Matrix for SVM with 16 selected features

The best selected hyperparameters for SVM are: ['C': 10, 'gamma': 1, 'kernel': 'poly']



Figure 3.6: T1 - Confusion Matrix for MLP with 16 selected features

The best selected hyperparameters for MLP are: ['alpha': 0.0001, 'batch_size': 256, 'learning_rate': 'constant',| 'learning_rate_init': 0.01, 'momentum': 0.9, 'solver': 'adam']

3.1.3 T1ce

The confusion matrix related to each binary classification approach's result are shown in fig. 3.7, fig. 3.8, and fig. 3.9.



Figure 3.7: T1ce - Confusion Matrix for LR with 3 selected features

```
The best selected hyperparameters for LR are:
['C': 1, 'penalty': 'l2', 'solver': 'newton-cg']
```





Figure 3.8: T1ce - Confusion Matrix for SVM with 5 selected features

The best selected hyperparameters for SVM are: ['C': 10, 'gamma': 1, 'solver': 'rbf']



Figure 3.9: T1ce - Confusion Matrix for MLP with 4 selected features

The best selected hyperparameters for MLP are: ['alpha': 0.05, 'batch_size': 256, 'learning_rate': 'constant', 'learning_rate_init': 0.01, 'momentum': 0.9, 'solver': 'adam']

3.1.4 T2

The confusion matrix related to each binary classification approach's result are shown in fig. 3.10, fig. 3.11, and fig. 3.12.



Figure 3.10: T2 - Confusion Matrix for LR with 6 selected features

```
The best selected hyperparameters for LR are:
['C': 1, 'penalty': 'l2', 'solver': 'liblinear']
```





Figure 3.11: T2 - Confusion Matrix for SVM with 5 selected features

The best selected hyperparameters for SVM are: ['C': 100, 'gamma': 1, 'solver': 'rbf']



Figure 3.12: T2 - Confusion Matrix for MLP with 6 selected features

The best selected hyperparameters for MLP are:

['alpha': 0.0001, 'batch_size': 256, 'learning_rate': 'constant',| 'learning_rate_init': 0.001, 'momentum': 0.9, 'solver': 'adam']

3.2 Comparison

There are some points to b considered as a comparison between the different type's results:

- Considering the average statistical measurement for each MRI sequence type, T1 has shown to be more informative for predicting MGMT value.
- The T1ce and T2 types need fewer number of features to get their best results compare to FLAIR and T1 types.
- Although T1ce does not have the best result, it has the most stable outcomes by having the lowest std among all types.
- In average for all the types, the SVM classifier's results are superior with respect to other methods.

Chapter 4

Conclusion and Future Works

4.1 Summary and Conclusion

This study demonstrates the application of radiomics-based machine learning models to predict MGMT promoter methylation status in mpMRI scans. By extracting features through **pyradiomics** package, using Wavelet and LoG filters, the whole output metadata was analyzed and prepared for applying ML binary classification method. The data preparation stage focused on feature selection techniques in order to reduce the dimension by the XGBoost classifier. Three classification approaches were considered for the prediction: Logistic Regression, Support Vector Machine, and Multi-layer Perceptron.

Different evaluation metrics have been taken into account for analyzing the results, mainly focused on the confusion matrix, precision, recall, and F1 score, which deliver additional insights into the prediction than accuracy performance metrics. The proposed solution could reach 68.7% of the F1 score with the Support Vector Machine method for the T1ce MRI sequence type, which also has more acceptable outcomes in Deep Learning classification methods among all the MRI sequence types [29].

Using a 2-D Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) for the same dataset provided by the Radiological Society of North America (RSNA) results in better outcomes (74.8%) comparing to the ML-based radiomics approach [31]. The ML classification model, however, is a promising technique for identifying brain cancers when taking into account the data at hand. In the future, results might be more reliable by using a larger dataset with well-balanced and high-quality data to improve performance.

4.2 Future Works

To compare the outcomes of this work and enhance the performance of the model, it could be useful to take into account larger datasets. The effectiveness of a machine-learning model is closely correlated with the quality, and quantity of the data, making it a crucial component of any AI or ML application. This effect is more noticeable in radiology research related to a computer-aided approach. As a study shows [32], 1016 diffuse glioma patients were collected retrospectively from Beijing Tiantan Hospital in China. Deep convolutional neural networks (DCNN)-based predictive models and radiomics-based predictive models were created, respectively, and their effectiveness was evaluated. In conclusion, both the radiomics and DCNN models could preoperatively predict the molecular subtypes of diffuse glioma. This emphasizes the data quality since different medical protocols produce MRI images, and various scanners are used to obtain them.

Dealing with high dimensional data, which refers to the number of attributes or features a data collection may contain, is one of the major challenges in machine learning. Besides the XGBosst classifier, this study could process various feature selection algorithms, such as Recursive Feature Elimination, Cross-Validated (RFECV), and compare the results with the current ones.

Moreover, the segmented tumor images used in this study could be obtained directly from the task 2 dataset to guarantee the consistency and quality of the images, which leads to a more accurate outcome in the feature extraction stage.

Appendix A Technicalities

Pre-processing class is used for different purposes:

- Conversion which is used to change the DCIOM datatype to NIFTI.
- Best View which is used to get the most informative view of the pictures.
- Visualization which is used to represent the data in JPG or PNG.
- Image Info which is used to extract the volume and size of images.

```
0.0.0
 libraries : data pre-processing
2
 H H H
3
 import os
4
 import dicom2nifti
5
 import pandas as pd
6
 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
7
 import nibabel as nib
 import numpy as np
g
 """ train_df : patients lables
     patientID : list of patiend's ID in input
12
    folder
     train path : DICOM format path
13
     train path nifti : NIFTI format path -->
14
    output for conversion function
```

```
visualization_path : JPG format path -->
    output for visualization function
      sequence_types : sequence type of mMRI
    pictures as a list of string
 н н н
17
18
19
 class PreProcess:
20
      def init (
21
          self,
          train df,
23
          patientID,
24
          train path,
25
          train path nifti,
26
          visualization path,
          sequence types,
28
      ):
29
30
          self.train_df = pd.read_csv(
31
               "...[path to patient labels]"
32
          )
33
          self.patientID = os.listdir(
34
               "... [list of patients's ID]"
35
          )
36
          # self.train path = (
37
                 "...[path to raw dataset DICOM
          #
38
    format]"
          # )
39
          # self.visualization path = "... [path
40
    to save the visualized images]"
          # self.train_path_nifti = "...
                                             [path to
41
     convertd dataset to NIFTI format]"
          self.sequence_types = ["FLAIR", "T1w",
42
    "T1wCE", "T2w"]
```

```
43
      """<<conversion>> is iterating over patient
44
    's ID and the sequence type (inner iteration
    )
      to convert each picture into NIFTI format
45
    and save it in "converted path"
      0.0.0
46
47
      def conversion(self, original path,
48
    converted path):
49
          for patient in self.patientID:
50
               try:
51
                   for types in self.
    sequence_types:
                        os.makedirs(
                            converted path +
54
    patient + "/" + types + "/", exist_ok=True
55
                        dicom2nifti.
56
    dicom series to nifti(
                            original_path + patient
     + "/" + types,
                            os.path.join(
58
                                 converted_path,
                                 patient + "/" +
60
    types + "/" + patient + types,
                            ),
61
                        )
62
               except:
63
                   continue
64
65
```

```
"""<<visualization>> is iterating over
66
    patient's ID and the sequence type (inner
    iteration)
      to convert each picture into JPG format and
67
     save it in "jpg path" for visualization
      containing : MGMT value status for each one
68
      0.0.0
69
      def visualization(self, nifti path,
71
    jpg_path):
72
          for patient in self.patientID:
73
               try:
74
                   for types in self.
75
    sequence_types:
                        img = nib.load(
76
                            os.path.join(
77
                                 nifti path,
78
                                 patient + "/" +
79
    types + "/" + patient + types + ".nii",
                            )
80
                        )
81
                        img data = img.get fdata()
82
83
                        # extracting different
84
    slice of the brain for three dircetion views
                        slice 0 = img data[img.
85
    shape[0]
             // 2, :, :]
                        slice 1 = img data[:, img.
86
    shape[1] // 2, :]
                        slice 2 = img data[:, :,
87
    img.shape[2] // 2]
88
                        # plotting 3 views
89
```

fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 90 3) fig.set_figheight(17) 91 fig.set figwidth(40) 92 axes[0].imshow(93 slice_0.T, cmap="gray", 94 origin="lower", aspect="auto") 95 axes[0].set title("Sagittal 96 fontweight="bold") ", fontsize=30, axes[1].imshow(97 slice 1.T, cmap="gray", 98 origin="lower", aspect="auto" 99 axes[1].set title("Coronal" 100 , fontsize=30, fontweight="bold") axes[2].imshow(slice_2.T, cmap="gray", origin="lower", aspect="auto" axes[2].set title("Axial", fontsize=30, fontweight="bold") plt.suptitle(f"Axial, Sagittal and 106 Coronal view of the patient's brain nPatient ID : {patient} MGMT_value : {int(self.train df.loc[self.train df['BraTS21ID'] == int(patient)]['MGMT_value'])} Type: { types} ", fontsize=38, fontweight="bold", 108) 109 os.makedirs(jpg_path + 110 patient + "/" + types + "/", exist ok=True)

```
fig.savefig( # saving the
111
    jpg format with info.
                              jpg_path
112
                              + patient
113
                              + "/"
114
                              + types
                              + "/"
                              + patient
                              + types
118
                              + ".jpg",
119
                              dpi=300,
120
                         )
121
                except:
                     continue
124
      11 11 11
      <<best view>> finds the best postion view
126
    in each direction of sagittal,
      coronal and axial. It is done by counting
127
    all the non-zero cell and storing the
      maximum value for each direction.
128
      Args:
130
      img : NIFTI image as an input
131
132
      return --> best_postions as a list containg
133
     the best postion view for visualization
      0.0.0
134
135
      def best view(self, img):
136
137
           img_data = img.get_fdata()
138
           count_sag, count_axi, count_cor = [],
139
     [],
        []
```

```
140
           for i in range(0, img.shape[0]):
141
               count_sag.append(np.count_nonzero(
142
    img data[i, :, :]))
143
           for j in range(0, img.shape[1]):
144
               count_cor.append(np.count nonzero(
145
    img data[:, j, :]))
146
           for k in range(0, img.shape[2]):
147
               count axi.append(np.count nonzero(
148
    img data[:, :, k]))
149
           position cor = np.argmax(count cor)
           position_sag = np.argmax(count_sag)
           position axi = np.argmax(count axi)
           return [position_sag, position_cor,
154
    position_axi]
155
      """ <<image info>> function extracts the
156
    volume and size of images + single
           vocxel in each one and store it in a
157
    csv file.
      0.0.0
158
      def image info(self):
160
161
           df = pd.DataFrame(
               columns=[
163
                    "image",
164
                    "voxel volume",
165
                    "voxel size",
166
                    "image volume",
167
```

```
"image size",
168
                 ]
169
            )
170
171
            for patient in self.patientID:
172
                 try:
173
                      for types in self.sqtypes task2
174
     :
                            img = nib.load(
                           #
                                  train_path_nifti
                           #
176
                                  + patient
                           #
177
                                  + "/"
                           #
178
                           #
                                  + patient
179
                                  + ' '
                           #
180
                           #
                                  + types
181
                                  + ".nii.gz"
                           #
182
                           # )
183
                           img = nib.load(
184
                                os.path.join(
185
                                     self.
186
     train_path_nifti,
                                     patient + "/" +
187
     types + "/" + patient + types + ".nii",
                                )
188
                           )
189
190
                           voxel_size = list(img.
191
    header.get zooms())
                           voxel_volume = np.prod(img.
192
    header["pixdim"][1:4])
193
                           image_shape = list(img.
194
     shape)
```

```
voxel_count = np.
195
     count_nonzero(img.get_data())
                          image_volume = voxel_volume
196
      * voxel count
                          image size = (
197
                               image shape[0] *
198
     voxel size[0],
                               image_shape[1]
                                                *
199
     voxel size[1],
                               image_shape[2] *
200
     voxel size[2],
                          )
201
202
                          df = df.append(
203
                               {
204
                                    "image": patient +
205
     types,
                                    "voxel volume":
206
     voxel_volume,
                                    "voxel size":
207
     voxel size,
                                    "image volume":
208
     image_volume,
                                    "image size":
209
     image_size,
                               },
210
                               ignore index=True,
211
                          )
212
                except:
213
                     continue
214
215
           df.to csv("
216
     imageInfo_resampled_task2_data.csv", index=
     False)
```

libraries_pre.py

Pyradiomics class **separates** the different areas of the segmented images and **generates** a csv file containing all the dataset and segmented images directory. The primary function is the **feature extractor** which gets all the radiomics features as a csv file:

```
н н н
 libraries : Pyradiomics
 0.0.0
 import os
 import collections
5
 import csv
6
 import logging
7
 import SimpleITK as sitk
8
 import radiomics
9
10 from radiomics import featureextractor
in import glob
 import numpy as np
13
 """ path result : storing the result in a
14
    specific directory
      seg path : different segmented images
15
     patientID : list of patiend's ID - Task 1/2
     train task1 : NIFTI images for task 1
    dataset
      sequence types : sequence type of mMRI
18
    pictures
 н н н
20
 class Pyradiomics:
     def __init__(self, path_result, seg_path,
23
    train task1, sequence types, patientID):
```

```
24
          self.path_result = r"...[path to store
25
    the result]"
          self.seg path = r"... [path to
26
    segmented dataset]"
          self.train task1 = r"path to task 1
27
    dataset"
          self.sequence types = ["flair", "t1", "
28
    t1ce", "t2"]
          self.patientID = os.listdir(
29
               r"... [path to list of patient's ID
30
     of task 1/2]"
          )
31
      """ << generate csv >> function generate a
33
    csv file with two columns: Image and Mask
          directory of different sequence type
34
    and different segmented brain
          tumor will be added as a new row to the
35
     csv file.
36
          outcome:
               create a csv file with original
38
    image and different masks.
      0.0.0
39
40
      def generate_csv(self):
41
          with open(
42
               os.path.join(self.path_result, "
43
    radiomics features task1.csv"),
               "a",
44
              newline="",
45
          ) as csvfile:
46
```

```
# creating the column heads
48
               writer = csv.writer(csvfile)
49
               writer.writerow(["Image", "Mask"])
50
               # filling each cell with the path
52
    of image and mask
               try:
                   for patient in self.patientID:
54
                        for types in self.
    sequence types:
56
                            img = os.path.join(
57
                                 self.train task1,
58
                                 patient + "\\" +
    patient + " " + types + ".nii.gz",
                            )
60
61
                            mask = os.path.join(
62
                                 self.train task1,
63
    patient + "\\" + patient + " seg.nii.gz"
                            )
64
                            mask_ED = os.path.join(
65
                                 self.train_task1,
66
                                 patient + "\\" +
67
    patient + "_seg_ED.nii.gz",
                            )
68
                            mask ET = os.path.join(
69
                                 self.train task1,
70
                                 patient + "\\" +
71
    patient + "_seg_ET.nii.gz",
72
                            mask NCR = os.path.join
73
    (
                                 self.train task1,
74
```

```
patient + "\\" +
75
    patient + "_seg_NCR.nii.gz",
76
71
                            writer.writerow([img]
                                                    +
78
     [mask])
                            writer.writerow([img]
                                                    +
     [mask_ED])
                            writer.writerow([img]
                                                    +
80
     [mask ET])
                            writer.writerow([img] +
81
     [mask NCR])
               except:
82
                   pass
83
84
      """ Separating the segmented images of task
85
     1 into four parts:
          1. image with the whole tumor (labes :
86
    1+2+4)
          2. image with necrotic (NCR) parts of
87
    the tumor (labes : 1)
          3. image with peritumoral edematous/
88
    invaded tissue (ED) (labes : 2)
          4. image with enhancing tumor (ET) (
89
    labes : 4)
          5. image with tumor core (COR) (labels
90
    : 1+4)
      0.0.0
91
92
      def separate seg(self):
93
          #%% loop through the input path folder
94
    to separate different area
              and relable it to 1 for feature
          #
95
    extraction
```

```
96
           for patient in self.patientID:
97
98
               # reading the original image
99
               img = sitk.ReadImage(
100
                    os.path.join(
101
                        self.train_task1,
                        patient + "/" + patient + "
    _seg.nii.gz",
                    )
104
               )
105
106
               # getting the image data
107
               img data = sitk.GetArrayFromImage(
108
    img)
               # relabel the whole tumor to 1
110
               img whole data = np.where((img data
111
     != 0), 1, img_data)
112
               # keeping the nerotic part of the
113
    tumor and removing the rest
               img_NCR_data = np.where((img_data
114
    != 1), 0, img_data)
115
               # extracting the edema (label 2)
    and relabel it to 1
               img ED data = np.where(
117
                    (np.where((img_data != 2), 0,
118
    img data) == 2),
                    1,
119
                    np.where((img data != 2), 0,
120
    img_data),
               )
```

```
# extracting the enhacing part of
123
    the tumor (label 4) and relabel it to 1
               img_ET_data = np.where(
124
                    (np.where((img data != 4), 0,
125
    img data) == 4),
                    1,
126
                    np.where((img data != 4), 0,
    img_data),
               )
128
129
               # extracting the core of the tumor
130
    (label 1 & 4) and relabel it to 1
               img COR data = (
                    np.where((img_data == 2), 0,
132
    img data)
                    & np.where((img data == 1), 1,
133
    img data)
                    & np.where((img_data == 4), 1,
134
    img data)
               )
135
136
               # getting the metadata of the
137
    original image and assign it to
               # new segmented area as NIFTI file
138
               img_whole = sitk.GetImageFromArray(
139
    img whole data)
               img whole.CopyInformation(img)
140
               img NCR = sitk.GetImageFromArray(
141
    img NCR data)
               img NCR.CopyInformation(img)
142
               img ED = sitk.GetImageFromArray(
143
    img_ED_data)
               img ED.CopyInformation(img)
144
```

img ET = sitk.GetImageFromArray(145img_ET_data) img_ET.CopyInformation(img) 146 img COR = sitk.GetImageFromArray(147 img COR data) img COR.CopyInformation(img) 148 149 # saving all the nifti files in 150output path sitk.WriteImage(151img whole, os.path.join(153 self.train task1, patient + 154"/" + patient + " seg whole.nii.gz"),) 156 157 sitk.WriteImage(158 img_NCR, 159 os.path.join(160 self.train task1, patient + 161 "/" + patient + "_seg_NCR.nii.gz"),) 163 164 sitk.WriteImage(165 img ED, 166 os.path.join(167 self.train_task1, patient + 168 + patient + "_seg_ED.nii.gz" / 11), 169) 170 171 sitk.WriteImage(172

```
img_ET,
173
                    os.path.join(
174
                         self.train_task1, patient +
175
     "/" + patient + "_seg_ET.nii.gz"
                    ),
176
                )
177
178
                sitk.WriteImage(
                    img COR,
180
                    os.path.join(
181
                         self.train task1, patient +
182
     "/" + patient + "_seg_COR.nii.gz"
                    ),
183
                )
184
185
      """ <<feature extraction>> function uses
186
    the csv file which contains the
           directory to segmented images and
187
    differemt masks in order to extract
           radiomics features of each nifti image.
188
189
           outcome:
190
               create a csv file with all the
191
    features related to origanl images (and
                its filters)
192
      0.0.0
193
194
      def feature extraction():
195
196
           os.chdir(r"... [path to save the
197
    pyradiomics results]")
           outPath = r"... [path to save the
198
    pyradiomics results]"
199
```

```
filescsv = glob.glob("
200
    radiomics features task1.csv")
201
           # filescsv=glob.glob('Radiomics *.csv')
202
          for inFile in filescsv[:]:
203
               inputCSV = os.path.join(outPath,
204
    inFile)
               outputFilepath = os.path.join(
205
              "Results " + inFile)
    outPath,
               progress filename = os.path.join(
206
              "pyrad log.txt")
    outPath,
               params = os.path.join(outPath, "
207
    exampleSettings", "Params.yaml")
208
               # Configure logging
209
               rLogger = logging.getLogger("
    radiomics")
211
               # Set logging level
212
               # rLogger.setLevel(logging.INFO)
                                                     #
213
     Not needed, default log level of logger is
    INFO
214
               # Create handler for writing to log
215
     file
               handler = logging.FileHandler(
216
    filename=progress filename, mode="w")
               handler.setFormatter(
217
                    logging.Formatter("%(levelname)
218
    s:%(name)s: %(message)s")
               )
               rLogger.addHandler(handler)
220
221
```

Initialize logging for batch log 222 messages logger = rLogger.getChild("batch") 223 224 # Set verbosity level for output to 225 stderr (default level = WARNING) radiomics.setVerbosity(logging.INFO 226) 227 logger.info("pyradiomics version: % 228 s", radiomics. version) logger.info("Loading CSV") 230 flists = [] 231 try: with open(inputCSV, "r") as inFile: cr = csv.DictReader(inFile, 234 lineterminator = " \n ") flists = [row for row in cr 235] except Exception: 236 logger.error("CSV READ FAILED", 237 exc info=True) 238 logger.info("Loading Done") 239 logger.info("Patients: %d", len(240 flists)) 241 if os.path.isfile(params): 2.42 extractor = featureextractor. 243 RadiomicsFeatureExtractor(params) else: # Parameter file not found, 244 use hardcoded settings instead

```
settings = {}
245
                    # settings['binWidth'] = 25
246
                    #
                     settings['
247
    resampledPixelSpacing'] = [0.75, 0.75, 1]
                                                     #
     [3,3,3]
                    # settings['interpolator'] =
248
    sitk.sitkBSpline
                    # settings['correctMask'] =
249
    True
                    settings["geometryTolerance"] =
250
     1
                    # settings['enableCExtensions']
251
       True
     =
252
                    extractor = featureextractor.
253
    RadiomicsFeatureExtractor(**settings)
                    # extractor.enableInputImages(
254
    wavelet= {'level': 2})
255
                   logger.info('Enabled input
               #
256
    images types: %s', extractor.
    enabledImageTypes)
                   logger.info('Enabled features: %
               #
251
    s', extractor.enabledFeatures)
                   logger.info('Current settings: %
               #
258
    s', extractor.settings)
259
               headers = None
260
261
               for idx, entry in enumerate(flists,
262
     start=1):
263
                    logger.info(
264
```

"(%d/%d) Processing Patient 265 (Image: %s, Mask: %s)", idx, 266 len(flists). 267 entry["Image"], 268 entry["Mask"], 269) 270 imageFilepath = entry["Image"] 272 maskFilepath = entry["Mask"] 273 label = entry.get("Label", None 274) 275 if str(label).isdigit(): 276 label = int(label) else: 278 label = None 279 280 if (imageFilepath is not None) 28 and (maskFilepath is not None): featureVector = collections 282 .OrderedDict(entry) featureVector["Image"] = os 283 .path.basename(imageFilepath) featureVector["Mask"] = os. 284 path.basename(maskFilepath) 285 try: 286 featureVector.update(287 extractor.execute(288 imageFilepath, maskFilepath, label)) 289 290

```
with open(
291
    outputFilepath,
                      "a")
                            as outputFile:
                                  writer = csv.writer
292
    (outputFile, lineterminator="\n")
                                  if headers is None:
293
                                       headers = list(
294
    featureVector.keys())
                                       writer.writerow
295
    (headers)
296
                                  row = []
297
                                  for h in headers:
298
                                       row.append(
299
    featureVector.get(h, "N/A"))
                                  writer.writerow(row
300
    )
                         except Exception:
301
                             logger.error("FEATURE
302
    EXTRACTION FAILED", exc_info=True)
```

libraries_pyradiomics.py

DataProcess class tries to generate a clean dataset before applying any Machine Learning classification method. **numeric_data** will keep only numerical data type and remove the other unusable types. The other functions such as **mean_conf** or **make_confusion_matrix** used to get confusion matrix in proper way through different nested folds algorithms. **top_features** functions and also **low_variance** considered for choosing best and the most informative features.

```
"""
libraries : data processing and applying ML
algorithms
"""
import os
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
```

```
7 from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
s from sklearn.model_selection import KFold
from xgboost import XGBClassifier
10 from sklearn.feature selection import
    VarianceThreshold
 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
12 from sklearn.model selection import
    StratifiedKFold
13 import seaborn as sns
14 from sklearn.feature selection import RFE
15 from sklearn.feature selection import RFECV
 """ separated_extracted_data_list: list of
17
    separated csv file names
      separated extracted data path: path of
18
    separated csv file names
 н н н
19
20
 class DataProcess:
21
     def __init__(
22
          self, separated extracted data list,
23
    separated_extracted_data_path, save_path
     ):
24
          self.separated extracted data list = os
26
    .listdir(
              r"... [path to list of separateed
27
    csv files name]"
          )
28
          self.separated extracted data path = (
20
              r"...[path to directory of the
30
    separated csv files]"
31
```

```
self.save_path = r"... [path to store
32
    the result]"
33
      def numeric data(self, file name):
34
          """ << numeric data >>: this function help
36
     to eliminate the columns which does not
    have
          numeric type of data:
37
38
          parameter --> file (csv)
39
          return --> output dataset with only
40
    numerical data type
          0.0.0
41
          df raw = pd.read csv(self.
43
    separated extracted data path + file name)
44
          # excluding the image IDs
45
          df = df raw.drop(["Image"], axis=1)
46
47
          # excluding all the object types of
48
    data + adding back the ID column
          df_numeric = df.select_dtypes(exclude="
49
    object")
          df_numeric.insert(0, "Image", df_raw["
50
    Image"])
51
          return df numeric
      def low variance(self, file name):
54
          н н н
56
```

<<low variance>>: this function check 57 feathurs' variance and based on the defined threshold, remov the low 58 variance features which give less information about the data (the numeric data that has obtained.) 0.0.0 # getting numerical data with numeric data function df_numeric = DataProcess.numeric_data(63 file name) 64 # Removing both constant and quasi-65 constant features var thr = VarianceThreshold(threshold =0.25) var_thr.fit(df numeric) 68 concol = [column for column in df numeric.columns if column not in df numeric.columns 72 [var_thr.get_support()] ٦ df_numeric = df_numeric.drop(concol, 74 axis=1) 75 # save the new updated dataset df numeric.to csv(os.path.join(self. save_path, "cleaned_" + file_name)) 78 def mean conf(self, confusion matrix): 79

80
```
н н н
81
           <<mean conf>> simply gets the mean of
82
    each element in confiusion matrixs
           which are the outcome in each k-subset
83
    cross validaion.
84
           return --> mean of all confision
85
    matrics
           11.11.11
86
           # empty lists to fill up every elements
87
     of the different confusion matrics
           e1, e2, e3, e4 = [], [], [], []
88
          for i in range(0, len(confusion matrix)
89
    ):
               e1.append(confusion matrix[i
90
    1[0][0]
               e2.append(confusion matrix[i
91
    ][0][1])
               e3.append(confusion_matrix[i
92
    ][1][0])
               e4.append(confusion matrix[i
93
    ][1][1])
           # getting mean of each element
94
           mean matrix = [
9.5
               [round(np.mean(e1)), round(np.mean(
96
    e2))],
               [round(np.mean(e3)), round(np.mean(
97
    e4))],
98
           return mean matrix
99
100
      def make confusion matrix(cf, group names,
    categories, title):
           н н н
```

103	This function will make a pretty plo	t
	of an sklearn Confusion Matrix cm using	
104	a Seaborn heatmap visualization.	
105		
106	Parameters	
107		
108	cf: confusion matrix to b	е
	passed in	
109	group_names: List of strings that	
	represent the labels row by row to be show	n
	in each square.	
110	categories: List of strings	
	containing the categories to be displayed	on
	the x,y axis.	
111	cmap: Colormap of the value	S
	displayed from matplotlib.pyplot.cm.	
112	See http://matplotlib	•
	org/examples/color/colormaps_reference.htm	11
113	title: Title for the heatmap	•
114		
115	Returns	
116		
117	None	
118		
119	$group lobolg = \left[\ \{l \} \mid l \} \right]$	
120	for value in group named	
	101 Value in group_names]	
121	r_{roup} porceptor $= [$	
122	$group_percentages = [$	in
123	cf flatten() / nn sum(cf)	111
104		
124	$group counts = \left[f'' \left\{ round \left(559 * y_2 \right) \right\} \right\}$	\n"
125	for value in cf flatten()]	(11
	<pre>for value in cf.flatten()]</pre>	

```
126
          box labels = [
127
               f"{v1}{v2}{v3}".strip()
128
               for v1, v2, v3 in zip(group labels,
129
     group counts, group percentages)
130
           box labels = np.asarray(box labels).
    reshape(cf.shape[0], cf.shape[1])
           # Accuracy is sum of diagonal divided
    by total observations
           accuracy = np.trace(cf) / float(np.sum(
134
    cf))
           # Metrics for Binary Confusion Matrices
136
           precision = cf[1, 1] / sum(cf[:, 1])
           recall = cf[1, 1] / sum(cf[1, :])
138
           f1 score = 2 * precision * recall / (
139
    precision + recall)
           stats text = "\n\nAccuracy={:0.3f}\
140
    nPrecision={:0.3f}\nRecall={:0.3f}\nF1 Score
    = \{:0.3f\}".format(
               accuracy, precision, recall,
141
    f1_score
           )
142
143
           # Make the heatmap visualization
144
           plt.figure(figsize=None)
145
           sns.heatmap(
146
               cf,
147
               annot=box_labels,
148
               fmt="",
149
               cmap="Blues",
150
               cbar=True,
```

```
xticklabels="auto",
                 yticklabels=categories,
153
                 vmin=0,
154
                 vmax=1,
155
            )
156
157
           plt.ylabel("True label")
158
           plt.xlabel("Predicted label" +
     stats text)
160
            plt.title(title)
161
162
       def correlation map(df, list im feat,
163
     save_path=None, title=None):
            0.0.0
164
            Parameters
165
            _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _
166
            df: DataFrame
167
                dataframe as an input
168
            list im feat: List
169
                 set of features name extracted from
170
      a dataframe (by feature selectors)
            save path: str
171
                 directory to save the output as a
172
     JPG (default is None)
            title: str
173
                title for the heatmap (default is
174
    None.)
175
            Returns
176
            _____
177
            None.
178
179
            0.0.0
180
```

```
# dividing the whole dataframe into the
181
      small part containing only top features
           selected df = df[list im feat].copy()
182
183
           fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(15, 12)
184
    )
185
           # plotting correlation heatmap
186
           dataplot = sns.heatmap(
187
                selected df.corr(), cmap="YlGnBu",
188
    annot=True, vmin=-1, vmax=1
           )
189
190
           if save path != None and title != None:
191
                plt.title(title)
192
                plt.savefig(save path, dpi=300,
193
    bbox inches="tight")
194
           # displaying heatmap
195
           plt.show()
196
197
      def top_features_XGB(self, df, number_feat,
198
     target var):
199
           н н н
200
           This function finds common top i
201
    features (by XGBoost classifier) and
           returns them as a list of strings that
202
    are the features' names.
203
204
           Parameters
205
              _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _
206
                df: DataFrame
207
```

```
dataframe as an input
208
                number feat: int
209
                    number of features needed to be
210
     ranked
                target_var: str
211
                    target variable
212
213
           Returns
214
            _____
215
                im feat: list
216
                    list of i top-ranked features
217
           0.0.0
218
219
           # defining the target value and
     separate it
           y = df[target var]
221
           X = df.drop([target var], axis=1)
223
           kf = KFold(n_splits=5, shuffle=True)
224
           for train index, test index in kf.split
225
     (X):
                X train, X test = X.iloc[
    train index, :], X.iloc[test index,
                                             :]
                y_train, y_test = y.iloc[
227
    train_index], y.iloc[test_index]
228
                # declare parameters
229
                params = {
230
                    "objective": "binary:logistic",
231
                    "max depth": 4,
                    "alpha": 10,
                    "learning rate": 1.0,
234
                    "n estimators": 100,
235
                }
236
```

```
237
               # instantiate the classifier
238
               xgb clf = XGBClassifier(**params)
239
240
               # fit the classifier to the
241
    training data
               xgb clf.fit(X train, y train)
242
243
               # list of features name
244
               feat names = list(X train.columns)
245
246
               feats = {} # a dict to hold
247
    feature name: feature importance
               for feature, importance in zip(
248
    feat names, xgb clf.feature importances ):
                    feats[feature] = importance
                                                    #
249
    add the name/value pair
               # appending the dictionary of
250
    features with their scores by each k subset
               feats.update({x: y for x, y in
251
    feats.items() if y != 0})
252
          # sort the features based on their
253
    importance
           im feat = sorted(feats.items(), key=
254
    lambda feats: feats[1], reverse=True)[
               :number feat
255
          ]
256
           # im feat.sort(key = lambda x: x[1],
257
    reverse=True)
           im feat = [item for sublist in im feat
258
    for item in sublist]
           im_feat = [elm for elm in im_feat if
259
    isinstance(elm, str)]
```

```
260
            # the list of most i-th top ranked
261
     features
           return im feat
262
263
       def top features RFE(self, df, number feat,
264
      target var):
            0.0.0
265
            This function finds top features using
266
     the Recursive Feature Elimination
            approach and returns a list of str.
267
268
            Parameter
269
            _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _
270
            df: DataFrame
271
                dataframe as an input
272
            number feat: int
273
                number of features needed to be
274
     ranked
            target_var: str
275
                target variable
276
277
            Return
278
            _____
279
            best feat: list
280
                list of top features' name
281
282
            н н н
283
284
            # defining the target value and
285
     separate it
            y = df[target var]
286
           X = df.drop([target_var], axis=1)
287
288
```

```
best feat = []
                             # list of faetures'
289
    name
290
           rfe = RFE(
291
               estimator=DecisionTreeClassifier(),
292
     step=1, n features to select=number feat
           )
293
294
           # fit RFE
295
           rfe.fit(X, y)
296
297
           # get the score for the top selected
298
    features
           feature_importance = rfe.estimator_.
299
    feature importances
           # sort the reanking out with its index
300
    number (first one is the best feature)
           feature importance sorted = sorted(
301
               enumerate(feature_importance), key=
302
    lambda x: x[1], reverse=True
           )
303
           # extract the index of ranking among
304
    the top features
           top_n_idx = [idx for idx, _ in
305
    feature importance sorted[:]]
306
          # based on index get the name of the
307
    features
           top_n_feat_idx = [rfe.get_support(1)[i]
308
     for i in top n idx]
309
           for item in top n feat idx:
310
               best_feat.append(X.iloc[:, int(item
311
    )].name)
```

```
312
           return best feat[:number feat]
313
314
      def top features RFECV(self, df,
315
    number feat, target var):
           н н н
316
           This function finds top features using
317
    the Recursive Feature Elimination
           in a cross-validation loop to find the
318
     optimal number of features and returns
           them as a list of str.
319
320
           Parameter
321
           _____
           df: DataFrame
323
                dataframe as an input
324
           number feat: int
325
                number of features needed to be
326
    ranked
           target_var: str
321
                target variable
328
           Return
330
           _____
331
           best feat: list
332
                list of top features' name
333
334
           11.11.11
335
336
           # defining the target value and
337
     separate it
           y = df[target var]
338
           X = df.drop([target_var], axis=1)
339
340
```

```
best feat = []
                            # list of faetures'
341
    name
342
           # define RFECV
343
           rfecv = RFECV(
344
               estimator=DecisionTreeClassifier(),
34!
               cv=StratifiedKFold(5),
346
               scoring="accuracy",
347
               min features to select=number feat,
348
           )
349
350
           # fit RFECV
351
           rfecv.fit(X, y)
352
353
           # get the score for the top selected
354
    features
           feature importance = rfecv.estimator .
355
    feature importances
           # sort the reanking out with its index
356
    number (first one is the best feature)
           feature importance sorted = sorted(
351
               enumerate(feature importance), key=
    lambda x: x[1], reverse=True
359
           # extract the index of ranking among
360
    the top features
           top n idx = [idx for idx, in
361
    feature importance sorted[:]]
362
           # based on index get the name of the
363
    features
           top n feat idx = [rfecv.get support(1)[
364
    i] for i in top_n_idx]
           for item in top n feat idx:
365
```

```
best_feat.append(X.iloc[:, int(item
)].name)
```

```
return best feat[:number feat]
```

366

367

368

 $libraries_data.py$

```
#%% main part
     Splitting the dataset and applying k-fold
 н н н
    cross validation
     Feature selection by XGBoost method
     Fitting different model: SVM,
   LogisticRegression, Random forest, NN
     Changing the numbere of features to see the
5
     idieal number
 н н н
6
 # defining a new empty dataframe to fill with
7
    different metrics
 metrics = pd.DataFrame(
8
     columns=[
g
          "features number",
          "mean accuracy NN",
11
          "std_accuracy_NN",
          "mean f1score NN",
          "std f1score NN",
          "confusion NN",
          "mean accuracy SVM",
          "std_accuracy_SVM",
          "mean_f1score_SVM",
18
          "std f1score_SVM",
          "confusion SVM",
20
          "mean accuracy LR",
          "std accuracy LR",
          "mean f1score LR",
23
          "std f1score LR",
24
```

```
"confusion LR",
25
           "mean accuracy MLP",
26
           "std_accuracy_MLP",
27
           "mean f1score_MLP",
28
          "std f1score MLP",
29
           "confusion MLP",
30
      ]
 )
32
33
   defining a new empty dataframe for filling
 #
34
    best parameters in each iteration
 parameters = pd.DataFrame(
35
      columns=[
36
           "features number",
37
           "Nearest Neighbor",
38
           "Support Vector Machine",
39
           "Logistic Regresion",
40
           "Multi-layer Perceptron",
41
          "Best Selected Features",
42
      ]
43
 )
44
45
 # copy the dataframe for mean and std of
46
    metrics
47 train metrics = metrics.copy()
 test_metrics = metrics.copy()
48
49
 # reading and splitting the edataset into train
50
     and test
<sup>51</sup>df = pd.read csv("/content/drive/MyDrive/data/
    all best data.csv")
53 # getting the top features
```

```
54 list_im_feat = top_features_XGB(df, 20,
    MGMT value")
55 print("check here:", list_im_feat)
56
57 # defining the target value and separate it
58 y = df["MGMT value"]
59 X = df.drop(["MGMT_value", "Unnamed: 0"], axis
    =1)
60
61
62 # dataset with best features
<sub>63</sub> X = X[list im feat].copy()
64
[65] # splitting the whole dataset into train (80%)
   and test (20%)
66 X_tr, X_ts, y_tr, y_ts = train_test_split(X, y,
     test_size=0.2, random_state=0)
67
68 # transform data: final test
69 scaler = MinMaxScaler()
70 X ts = scaler.fit transform(X ts)
71
_{72} # convert the test set to the dataframe in
    order to use it in the while loop
73 X_ts = pd.DataFrame(X_ts, columns=X_tr.columns)
74
<sup>75</sup> # applying k-fold cross validation (K=10) -->
   outer loop
r6 cv outer = KFold(n_splits=10, shuffle=True)
77
78 # iteration over number of features i
_{79} i = 20
so while i != 0:
81
```

```
# defining performance metrics lists for
82
    training
      conf_NN_tr, conf_SVM_tr, conf_LR_tr,
83
    conf MLP tr = [], [], [], []
      acc_NN_tr, acc_SVM_tr, acc_LR_tr,
84
    acc_MLP_tr = [], [], [], []
      f1 NN tr, f1 SVM tr, f1 LR tr, f1 MLP tr =
85
    # defining performance metrics lists for
87
    test
      conf NN ts, conf SVM ts, conf LR ts,
88
    conf MLP ts = [], [], [], []
      acc NN ts, acc SVM ts, acc LR ts,
89
    acc_MLP_ts = [], [], [], []
      f1 NN ts, f1 SVM ts, f1 LR ts, f1 MLP ts =
90
    [], [], [], []
91
      # defining best paramters list to store for
92
     traing\test
      best_par_NN, best_par_SVM, best_par_LR,
93
    best_par_MLP = [], [], [], []
94
      # configuring thee cross-validation outer
95
    loop
      for train_index, test_index in cv_outer.
96
    split(X tr):
          X train, X test = X tr.iloc[train index
97
    , :], X_tr.iloc[test_index, :]
          y_train, y_test = y_tr.iloc[train_index
98
    ], y_tr.iloc[test_index]
90
          # configuring the cross-validation
100
    procedure (inner loop)
```

```
cv_inner = KFold(n_splits=5, shuffle=
101
    True, random_state=1)
102
          # keep the most top i ranked features
103
          X_train = X_train[list_im_feat[:i]].
    copy()
          X test = X test[list im feat[:i]].copy
    ()
          X ts = X ts[list im feat[:i]].copy()
106
          # transform data
108
          X train = scaler.fit transform(X train)
109
          X test = scaler.fit transform(X test)
111
          #%% Nearst neighbor:
          # Create and train the
113
    KNeighborsClassifier on the train\test set
          model NN = KNeighborsClassifier()
114
115
          # Set up possible values of parameters
116
    to optimize over
          parameters NN = {
117
               "n neighbors": [3, 5, 11, 19],
118
               "weights": ["ubiform", "distance"],
119
               "metric": ["euclidean", "manhattan"
120
    ],
          }
121
          # define search
          classifier NN = GridSearchCV(
124
               model NN, parameters NN, scoring="
    accuracy", cv=cv inner, refit=True
126
```

```
# execute search
128
          result NN = classifier NN.fit(X train,
129
    y train)
130
          # get the best performing model fit on
    the whole training\test set + save the best
    parameters
          best model NN = result NN.
132
    best_estimator
          best_par_NN.append(classifier_NN.
133
    best params )
134
          # make a prediction on the validation
    set and then check model performance (train)
          y pred NN = best model NN.predict(
136
    X train)
137
          acc NN tr.append(accuracy score(y train
138
    , y_pred NN))
          conf NN tr.append(confusion matrix(
139
    y_train, y_pred NN, normalize="all"))
          f1_NN_tr.append(f1_score(y_train,
140
    y pred NN))
141
          # make a prediction on the validation
142
    set and then check model performance (test)
          y pred NN = best model NN.predict(X ts)
143
144
          acc_NN_ts.append(accuracy_score(y_ts,
145
    y pred NN))
          conf NN ts.append(confusion matrix(y ts
146
    , y_pred_NN, normalize="all"))
          f1 NN ts.append(f1 score(y ts,
147
    y pred NN))
```

```
148
          #%% Support Vector Machine:
149
          # build the SVM classifier and train it
150
     on the entire training\test data set
          model SVM = SVC()
          # Set up possible values of parameters
    to optimize over
           parameters_SVM = {
154
               "C": [0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1000],
               "gamma": [1, 0.1, 0.01, 0.001,
156
    0.0001],
               "kernel": ["rbf", "poly", "sigmoid"
157
    ],
          }
158
          # define search
160
           classifier_SVM = GridSearchCV(
161
               model_SVM, parameters_SVM, scoring=
162
    "accuracy", cv=cv inner, refit=True
           )
163
164
          # execute search
           result_SVM = classifier_SVM.fit(X_train
166
    , y_train)
167
          # get the best performing model fit on
168
    the whole training \test set + save the best
    parameters
           best model SVM = result SVM.
169
    best estimator
           best par SVM.append(classifier SVM.
170
    best_params )
171
```

```
# get predictions on the test set and
172
    store the performance metrics (train)
          y pred SVC = best model SVM.predict(
173
    X train)
174
          acc SVM tr.append(accuracy score(
    y train, y pred SVC))
          conf SVM tr.append(confusion matrix(
    y_train, y_pred_SVC, normalize="all"))
          f1 SVM tr.append(f1 score(y train,
177
    y pred SVC))
178
          # get predictions on the test set and
    store the performance metrics (test)
          y_pred_SVC = best_model SVM.predict(
180
    X ts)
181
          acc SVM ts.append(accuracy score(y ts,
182
    y_pred SVC))
          conf SVM ts.append(confusion matrix(
183
    y ts, y pred SVC, normalize="all"))
          f1 SVM ts.append(f1 score(y ts,
184
    y pred SVC))
185
          #%% Logistic Regession:
186
          # build the classifier and fit the
187
    model
          model LR = LogisticRegression()
188
189
          # Set up possible values of parameters
190
    to optimize over
          parameters LR = {
191
               "penalty": ["none", "11", "12", "
192
    elasticnet"],
```

```
"C": [0.001, 0.009, 0.01, 0.09, 1,
193
    5, 10, 25, 50, 75, 100],
               "solver": ["newton-cg", "lbfgs", "
194
    liblinear"],
          }
195
196
          # define search
197
           classifier LR = GridSearchCV(
198
               model LR, parameters LR, scoring="
199
    accuracy", cv=cv inner, refit=True
200
201
          # execute search
202
          result LR = classifier LR.fit(X train,
203
    y train)
204
          # get the best performing model fit on
205
    the whole training set + save the best
    parameters
           best model LR = result LR.
206
    best estimator
          best_par_LR.append(classifier_LR.
207
    best params )
208
          # prediction and store performance
209
    metrics (train)
           y pred LR = best model LR.predict(
210
    X train)
211
           acc LR tr.append(accuracy score(y train
    , y_pred LR))
           conf LR tr.append(confusion matrix(
213
    y_train, y_pred_LR, normalize="all"))
```

```
f1 LR tr.append(f1 score(y train,
214
    y pred LR))
215
           # prediction and store performance
216
    metrics (test)
           y pred LR = best model LR.predict(X ts)
217
218
           acc_LR_ts.append(accuracy_score(y_ts,
    y pred LR))
           conf LR ts.append(confusion matrix(y ts
220
    , y pred LR, normalize="all"))
           f1 LR ts.append(f1 score(y ts,
221
    y pred LR))
           #%% Neural Network:
           # create a MLPClassifier and fit the
224
    model
           model MPL = MLPClassifier(
225
               solver="lbfgs", alpha=1e-5,
226
    hidden_layer_sizes=(6,), random_state=1
           )
22
           # Set up possible values of parameters
229
    to optimize over
           parameters_MLP = {
230
               "batch size": [256],
231
               "momentum": [0.9, 0.99],
232
               "learning rate init": [0.001, 0.01,
233
     0.1],
               "solver": ["adam"],
234
               "alpha": [0.0001, 0.05],
               "learning rate": ["constant", "
236
    adaptive"],
           }
237
```

```
238
           # define search
239
           classifier_MLP = GridSearchCV(
240
               model MPL, parameters MLP, scoring=
241
    "accuracy", cv=cv inner, refit=True
           )
242
243
           # execute search
244
           result MLP = classifier MLP.fit(X train
245
    , y_train)
246
           # get the best performing model fit on
247
    the whole training set + save the best
    parameters
           best model MLP = result MLP.
248
    best estimator
           best par MLP.append(classifier MLP.
249
    best params )
250
           # prediction and store preformance
251
    metrics (train)
           y_pred_NN = best_model MLP.predict(
252
    X train)
253
           acc_MLP_tr.append(accuracy_score(
254
    y_train, y_pred_NN))
           conf MLP tr.append(confusion matrix(
255
    y_train, y_pred NN, normalize="all"))
           f1_MLP_tr.append(f1_score(y_train,
256
    y pred NN))
257
           # prediction and store preformance
258
    metrics (test)
```

<pre>) acc_MLP_ts.append(accuracy_score(y_t y_pred_NN)) conf_MLP_ts.append(confusion_matrix) y_ts, y_pred_NN, normalize="all")) f1_MLP_ts.append(f1_score(y_ts, y_pred_NN)) # storing result of evaluation metrics i dataframe for furthre anlysis # trainging results train_data_to_store = { "features_number": f"{i}", "mean_accuracy_NN": np.mean(acc_NN_tr) "std_accuracy_NN": np.std(acc_NN_tr) "std_f1score_NN": np.std(f1_NN_tr), "confusion_NN": mean_conf(conf_NN_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr)), "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr)), "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr)), "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr)), "confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr)" "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)"</pre>	t(X_ts
<pre>acc_MLP_ts.append(accuracy_score(y_t y_pred_NN)) conf_MLP_ts.append(confusion_matrix(y_ts, y_pred_NN, normalize="all")) f1_MLP_ts.append(f1_score(y_ts, y_pred_NN)) # storing result of evaluation metrics f dataframe for furthre anlysis # trainging results train_data_to_store = { "features_number": f"{i}", "mean_accuracy_NN": np.mean(acc_NN_tr) "std_accuracy_NN": np.std(acc_NN_tr) "std_f1score_NN": np.std(f1_NN_tr), "confusion_NN": mean_conf(conf_NN_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr), "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr), "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr), "confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_tr), "std_f1score_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.mean(acc_MLF)" "std_f1score_LR": np.mean(acc_MLF)" "std_f1score_LR": np.mean(f1_LR_tr), "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr) "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)"</pre>	
<pre>y_pred_NN)) y_pred_NN), normalize="all")) y_ts, y_pred_NN, normalize="all")) y_ts, y_pred_NN, normalize="all")) y_ts, y_pred_NN) y= y= y_pred_NN)) y= y=</pre>	vts.
<pre>202 conf_MLP_ts.append(confusion_matrix) y_ts, y_pred_NN, normalize="all")) f1_MLP_ts.append(f1_score(y_ts, y_pred_NN)) 203 # storing result of evaluation metrics f dataframe for furthre anlysis 206 # train_data_to_store = { "features_number": f"{i}", "mean_accuracy_NN": np.mean(acc_NN_tr) "std_accuracy_NN": np.std(acc_NN_tr) "std_f1score_NN": np.std(f1_NN_tr), "confusion_NN": mean_conf(conf_NN_tr) "mean_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.mean(acc_LR_tr) "std_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr) "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr) "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF) "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF) "mean_accuracy_MLF)" "mean_accuracy_MLF)" "mean_accuracy_MLF)" "mean_accuracy_MLF] "mean_accuracy_MLF] "mean_accuracy_MLF] "mean_accuracy_MLF] "mean_accuracy_MLF</pre>	5
<pre>y_ts, y_pred_NN, normalize="all")) f1_MLP_ts.append(f1_score(y_ts, y_pred_NN)) # storing result of evaluation metrics f dataframe for furthre anlysis # trainging results train_data_to_store = { "features_number": f"{i}", "mean_accuracy_NN": np.mean(acc_NN_tr) "std_accuracy_NN": np.std(acc_NN_tr) "std_f1score_NN": np.std(f1_NN_tr), "confusion_NN": mean_conf(conf_NN_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) , "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) , "mean_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) , "mean_f1score_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": np.mean(acc_MLF) </pre>	ix(
<pre>f1_MLP_ts.append(f1_score(y_ts, y_pred_NN)) f std_accuracy_NN": np.mean(acc_NN_tr) "std_accuracy_SVM": np.std(acc_SVM_tr) "std_accuracy_SVM": np.std(acc_SVM_tr) "std_accuracy_SVM": np.std(acc_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(acc_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr) "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF) </pre>	
<pre>y_pred_NN)) y_pred_NN)) y_pred_NN)) y_pred_NN)) y std_arcuracy_NN and the state of the</pre>	
<pre># storing result of evaluation metrics : dataframe for furthre anlysis # trainging results train_data_to_store = { "features_number": f"{i}", "mean_accuracy_NN": np.mean(acc_NN_tr) "std_accuracy_NN": np.std(acc_NN_tr) "std_flscore_NN": np.std(fl_NN_tr), "std_flscore_NN": np.std(fl_NN_tr), "confusion_NN": mean_conf(conf_NN_tr) "mean_flscore_SVM": np.mean(fl_SVM_tr)), "std_flscore_SVM": np.std(fl_SVM_tr) "std_flscore_SVM": np.std(fl_SVM_tr) "std_flscore_SVM": np.std(fl_SVM_tr) "std_flscore_SVM": np.std(fl_SVM_tr) "confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_tr) "std_flscore_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "std_flscore_LR": np.std(fl_LR_tr), "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr) "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF) "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF) "mean_accuracy_MLF)": np.mean(acc_MLF)</pre>	
<pre>dataframe for furthre anlysis # trainging results train_data_to_store = { "features_number": f"{i}", "mean_accuracy_NN": np.mean(acc_NN_tr) "std_accuracy_NN": np.std(acc_NN_tr) "mean_f1score_NN": np.std(f1_NN_tr), "std_f1score_NN": np.std(f1_NN_tr), "confusion_NN": mean_conf(conf_NN_tr "mean_accuracy_SVM": np.mean(acc_SVM_tr) "std_accuracy_SVM": np.mean(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(acc_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr), "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr) "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr) "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF) "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF) "mean_accuracy_MLF)": np.mean(acc_ML</pre>	s in
<pre>266 # trainging results 267 train_data_to_store = { 268 "features_number": f"{i}", 269 "mean_accuracy_NN": np.mean(acc_NN_t) 270 "std_accuracy_NN": np.std(acc_NN_t) 271 "mean_f1score_NN": np.mean(f1_NN_t), 272 "std_f1score_NN": np.std(f1_NN_t), 273 "confusion_NN": mean_conf(conf_NN_t) 274 "mean_accuracy_SVM": np.mean(acc_SVM_t) 275 "std_accuracy_SVM": np.mean(acc_SVM_t) 276 "std_accuracy_SVM": np.std(acc_SVM_t) 277 "std_f1score_SVM": np.mean(f1_SVM_t) 278 "confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_t) 279 "mean_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_t) 280 "std_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_t) 281 "mean_f1score_LR": np.mean(f1_LR_t), 282 "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_t), 283 "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_t) 284 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" 284 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" 285 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" 286 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" 287 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" 288 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" 289 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" 280 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" 281 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" 282 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" 283 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" 284 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" 285 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" 286 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" 287 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" 288 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)" 289 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)"</pre>	
<pre>zef train_data_to_store = { "features_number": f"{i}", "mean_accuracy_NN": np.mean(acc_NN_t) "std_accuracy_NN": np.std(acc_NN_t) "mean_f1score_NN": np.mean(f1_NN_t), "std_f1score_NN": np.std(f1_NN_t), "confusion_NN": mean_conf(conf_NN_t) "mean_accuracy_SVM": np.mean(acc_SVM_t) "mean_f1score_SVM": np.mean(f1_SVM_t) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_t) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_t) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_t) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(acc_LR_t) "mean_f1score_LR": np.std(acc_LR_t) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_t), "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_t) </pre>	
<pre>268 "features_number": f"{i}", "mean_accuracy_NN": np.mean(acc_NN_tr) "std_accuracy_NN": np.std(acc_NN_tr) "mean_f1score_NN": np.mean(f1_NN_tr), "std_f1score_NN": np.std(f1_NN_tr), "confusion_NN": mean_conf(conf_NN_tr "mean_accuracy_SVM": np.mean(acc_SVM_t), 275 "std_accuracy_SVM": np.std(acc_SVM_t), 276 "std_accuracy_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr), 277 "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr), 278 "confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_tr), 279 "mean_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr), 280 "std_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr), "mean_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), 281 "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), 282 "std_f1score_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr), 283 "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr), 284 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)]</pre>	
<pre>"mean_accuracy_NN": np.mean(acc_NN_t "std_accuracy_NN": np.std(acc_NN_tr) "mean_f1score_NN": np.mean(f1_NN_tr) "std_f1score_NN": np.std(f1_NN_tr), "confusion_NN": mean_conf(conf_NN_tr "mean_accuracy_SVM": np.mean(acc_SVM_t)), "std_accuracy_SVM": np.mean(f1_SVM_t), "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_tr) "confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": mp.std(f1_LR_tr), "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr)</pre>	
<pre>"std_accuracy_NN": np.std(acc_NN_tr) "mean_f1score_NN": np.mean(f1_NN_tr) "std_f1score_NN": np.std(f1_NN_tr), "confusion_NN": mean_conf(conf_NN_tr "mean_accuracy_SVM": np.mean(acc_SVM_t), "std_accuracy_SVM": np.std(acc_SVM_t "mean_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_t), "mean_accuracy_LR": np.mean(acc_LR_tr) "mean_f1score_LR": np.mean(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.mean(acc_MLF")]</pre>	N_tr),
<pre>"mean_f1score_NN": np.mean(f1_NN_tr) "std_f1score_NN": np.std(f1_NN_tr), "confusion_NN": mean_conf(conf_NN_tr "mean_accuracy_SVM": np.mean(acc_SVM)), "std_accuracy_SVM": np.std(acc_SVM_t "mean_f1score_SVM": np.mean(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_ , "mean_accuracy_LR": np.mean(acc_LR_tr) "std_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "std_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.mean(f1_LR_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)")</pre>	tr),
<pre>"std_flscore_NN": np.std(f1_NN_tr), "confusion_NN": mean_conf(conf_NN_tr "mean_accuracy_SVM": np.mean(acc_SVM_), "std_accuracy_SVM": np.std(acc_SVM_t "mean_flscore_SVM": np.mean(f1_SVM_tr) "std_flscore_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_ , "mean_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "std_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "std_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "std_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "std_flscore_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "std_flscore_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF)")</pre>	tr),
<pre>"confusion_NN": mean_conf(conf_NN_tr "mean_accuracy_SVM": np.mean(acc_SVM)), "std_accuracy_SVM": np.std(acc_SVM_t "mean_f1score_SVM": np.mean(f1_SVM_tr) "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_ , "mean_accuracy_LR": np.mean(acc_LR_tr) "std_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "mean_f1score_LR": np.mean(f1_LR_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": mp.std(f1_LR_tr), "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr)</pre>),
<pre>"mean_accuracy_SVM": np.mean(acc_SVM)), "std_accuracy_SVM": np.std(acc_SVM_t "mean_f1score_SVM": np.mean(f1_SVM_t "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_ , "mean_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) std_accuracy_LR": np.mean(f1_LR_tr), "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr) "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLR")]</pre>	_tr),
<pre>), "std_accuracy_SVM": np.std(acc_SVM_t "mean_f1score_SVM": np.mean(f1_SVM_t "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_ , "mean_accuracy_LR": np.mean(acc_LR_t "std_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "mean_f1score_LR": np.mean(f1_LR_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr) "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLR_tr)</pre>	SVM_tr
<pre>275 "std_accuracy_SVM": np.std(acc_SVM_t "mean_f1score_SVM": np.mean(f1_SVM_t "std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_tr) "confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_ "confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_ "std_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "mean_f1score_LR": np.mean(f1_LR_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr) "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLR)</pre>	M +)
<pre>276 277 278 278 279 279 279 279 279 279 280 279 281 280 281 282 282 282 282 282 283 284 284 284 284 284 285 285 285 285 285 285 285 285 285 285</pre>	M_{tr}
<pre>277 278 278 278 279 279 279 279 279 279 279 279 281 281 282 282 282 282 282 282 283 284 284 284 284 285 284 285 285 285 285 285 285 285 285 285 285</pre>	M_UL),
<pre>278 "Confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_ , 279 "mean_accuracy_LR": np.mean(acc_LR_t 280 "std_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) 281 "mean_f1score_LR": np.mean(f1_LR_tr) 282 "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), 283 "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr 284 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLR)</pre>	UT), VM +)
<pre>, "mean_accuracy_LR": np.mean(acc_LR_t "std_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) "mean_f1score_LR": np.mean(f1_LR_tr) "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLR)</pre>	VM_UL)
<pre>280 "std_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_tr) 281 "mean_f1score_LR": np.mean(f1_LR_tr) 282 "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), 283 "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr 284 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLR)</pre>	R tr),
<pre>281 282 283 283 284 "mean_f1score_LR": np.mean(f1_LR_tr), 283 284 "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr) 284 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLR)</pre>	tr),
<pre>282 "std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_tr), 283 "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr 284 "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLR)</pre>	tr),
<pre>283 284 "confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_tr "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLR)")</pre>),
²⁸⁴ "mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLF	_tr),
	MLP_tr
),	

285	"std_accuracy_MLP": np.std(acc_MLP_tr),
286	<pre>"mean_f1score_MLP": np.mean(f1_MLP_tr),</pre>
287	"std_f1score_MLP": np.std(f1_MLP_tr),
288	<pre>"confusion_MLP": mean_conf(conf_MLP_tr)</pre>
	,
289	}
290	
291	# test results
292	<pre>test_data_to_store = {</pre>
293	"features_number": f"{i}",
294	<pre>"mean_accuracy_NN": np.mean(acc_NN_ts),</pre>
295	"std_accuracy_NN": np. <mark>std</mark> (acc_NN_ts),
296	<pre>"mean_f1score_NN": np.mean(f1_NN_ts),</pre>
297	"std_f1score_NN": np. <mark>std</mark> (f1_NN_ts),
298	"confusion_NN": mean_conf(conf_NN_ts),
299	"mean_accuracy_SVM": np.mean(acc_SVM_ts
),
300	"std_accuracy_SVM": np.std(acc_SVM_ts),
301	<pre>"mean_f1score_SVM": np.mean(f1_SVM_ts),</pre>
302	"std_f1score_SVM": np.std(f1_SVM_ts),
303	"confusion_SVM": mean_conf(conf_SVM_ts)
	,
304	<pre>"mean_accuracy_LR": np.mean(acc_LR_ts),</pre>
305	"std_accuracy_LR": np.std(acc_LR_ts),
306	<pre>"mean_f1score_LR": np.mean(f1_LR_ts),</pre>
307	"std_f1score_LR": np.std(f1_LR_ts),
308	"confusion_LR": mean_conf(conf_LR_ts),
309	<pre>"mean_accuracy_MLP": np.mean(acc_MLP_ts</pre>
),
310	"std_accuracy_MLP": np.std(acc_MLP_ts),
311	<pre>"mean_f1score_MLP": np.mean(f1_MLP_ts),</pre>
312	"std_f1score_MLP": np.std(f1_MLP_ts),
313	"confusion_MLP": mean_conf(conf_MLP_ts)
	,

```
}
314
315
      # store best parametrs
316
      par to store = {
317
           "features number": f"{i}",
318
           "Nearest Neighbor": best_par_NN,
319
           "Support Vector Machine": best par SVM,
           "Logistic Regresion": best_par_LR,
321
           "Multi-layer Perceptron": best par MLP,
322
           "Best Selected Features": list im feat
323
    [:i],
      }
324
325
      train metrics = train metrics.append(
326
    train_data_to_store, ignore_index=True)
      test metrics = test metrics.append(
327
    test data to store, ignore index=True)
      parameters = parameters.append(par to store
328
    , ignore_index=True)
329
      # reducing number of features for next
330
    iteration
      i -= 1
331
332
  # save the data as a csv file
333
  train_metrics.to_csv("... [path to save
334
    training result]")
 test metrics.to_csv("... [path to save tst
335
    result]")
336 parameters.to csv("... [path to save best
    hypreparametre]")
```

libraries_result.py

Bibliography

- M. Tovi, MR imaging in cerebral gliomas analysis of tumour tissue components, Acta Radiologica. Supplementum, vol. 384, pp. 1, 1993.
- [2] S. Lapointe, A. Perry, N.A. Butowski, Primary brain tumours in adults, Lancet (London, England) 392 (10145) (2018) 432–446.
- [3] M.E. Hegi, A.C. Diserens, T. Gorlia, M.F. Hamou, N. de Tribolet, M. Weller, J.M. Kros, J.A. Hainfellner, W. Mason, L. Mariani, J.E. Bromberg, P. Hau, R.O. Mirimanoff, J.G. Cairncross, R.C. Janzer, R. Stupp, MGMT gene silencing and benefit from temozolomide in glioblastoma, The New England journal of medicine 352 (10) (2005) 997–1003.
- [4] E.H. Bell, P. Zhang, B.J. Fisher, D.R. Macdonald, J.P. McElroy, G.J. Lesser, J. Fleming, A.R. Chakraborty, Z. Liu, A.P. Becker, D. Fabian, K.D. Aldape, L.S. Ashby, M. Werner-Wasik, E.M. Walker, J.P. Bahary, Y. Kwok, H.M. Yu, N.N. Laack, C.J. Schultz, H.J. Gray, H.I. Robins, M.P. Mehta, A. Chakravarti, Association of MGMT Promoter Methylation Status With Survival Outcomes in Patients With High-Risk Glioma Treated With Radiotherapy and Temozolomide: An Analysis From the NRG Oncology/RTOG 0424 Trial, JAMA oncology (2018).
- [5] W. Wick, C. Hartmann, C. Engel, M. Stoffels, J. Felsberg, F. Stockhammer, M.C. Sabel, S. Koeppen, R. Ketter, R. Meyermann, M. Rapp, C. Meisner, R.D. Kortmann, T. Pietsch, O.D. Wiestler, U. Ernemann, M. Bamberg, G. Reifenberger, A. von Deimling, M. Weller, NOA-04 randomized phase III trial of sequential radiochemotherapy of anaplastic glioma with procarbazine, lomustine, and vincristine or temozolomide, Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 27 (35) (2009) 5874–5880.
- [6] T. Gorlia, M.J. van den Bent, M.E. Hegi, R.O. Mirimanoff, M. Weller, J.G. Cairncross, E. Eisenhauer, K. Belanger, A.A. Brandes, A. Allgeier, D. Lacombe, R. Stupp, Nomograms for predicting survival of patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma: prognostic factor analysis of EORTC and NCIC trial 26981-22981/ CE.3, The Lancet. Oncology 9 (1) (2008) 29–38.
- [7] Cui Y, Tha KK, Terasaka S, Yamaguchi S, Wang J, Kudo K, et al. Prognostic

imaging biomarkers in glioblastoma: development and independent validation on the basis of multiregion and quantitative analysis of MR images. Radiology 2016;278(2):546–53.

- [8] Rios Velazquez E, Meier R, Dunn Jr W, et al. Fully automatic GBM segmentation in the TCGA-GBM dataset: prognosis and correlation with VASARI features. Sci Rep 2015;5:16822.
- [9] Gutman DA, Dunn Jr WD, Grossmann P, et al. Somatic mutations associated with MRI-derived volumetric features in glioblastoma. Neuroradiology 2015;57(12):1227–37.
- [10] Rios Velazquez E, Meier R, Dunn Jr W, et al. Fully automatic GBM segmentation in the TCGA-GBM dataset: prognosis and correlation with VASARI features. Sci Rep 2015;5:16822.
- [11] Akbarzadeh, Omid. "Evaluating Latency in a 5G Infrastructure for Ultralow Latency Applications - Webthesis." webthesis.biblio.polito.it. www.webthesis.biblio.polito.it/id/eprint/22652.
- [12] Keshavarz, S., R. Keshavarz, and A. Abdipour, "Compact active duplexer based on CSRR and interdigital loaded microstrip coupled lines for LTE application," Progress In Electromagnetics Research C, Vol. 109, 27-37, 2021. doi:10.2528/PIERC20112307
- [13] Khosravi MR, Samadi S, Akbarzadeh O (2017) Determining the optimal range of angle tracking radars. In: IEEE International Conference on Power, Control, Signals and Instrumentation Engineering (ICPCSI 2017), pp 3132–3135
- [14] S. Keshavarz, H. M. Kadry and D. L. Sounas, "Four-port Spatiotemporally Modulated Circulator with Low Modulation Frequency," 2021 IEEE Texas Symposium on Wireless and Microwave Circuits and Systems (WMCS), 2021, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/WMCS52222.2021.9493276.
- [15] Hamzehei, Sahand. "Gateways and Wearable Tools for Monitoring Patient Movements in a Hospital Environment - Webthesis.", www.webthesis.biblio.polito.it/id/eprint/22711.
- [16] www.med.upenn.edu/cbica/brats2021/
- [17] Vasileios G. Kanas, Evangelia I. Zacharaki, Ginu A. Thomas, Pascal O. Zinn, Vasileios Megalooikonomou, Rivka R. Colen, Learning MRI-based classification models for MGMT methylation status prediction in glioblastoma, Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, Volume 140, 2017, Pages 249-257, ISSN 0169-2607,
- [18] Mahdie Jajroudi, Milad Enferadi, Amir Azar Homayoun, Reza Reiazi, MRIbased machine learning for determining quantitative and qualitative characteristics affecting the survival of glioblastoma multiforme, Magnetic Resonance Imaging, Volume 85, 2022, Pages 222-227, ISSN 0730-725X.
- [19] M. Patel, J. Zhan, K. Natarajan, R. Flintham, N. Davies, P. Sanghera, J. Grist, V. Duddalwar, A. Peet, V. Sawlani, Machine learning-based radiomic

evaluation of treatment response prediction in glioblastoma, Clinical Radiology, Volume 76, Issue 8, 2021, Pages 628.e17-628.e27, ISSN 0009-9260.

- [20] www.pyradiomics.readthedocs.io/en/latest/features.html
- [21] Monique Meuschke, Laura A. Garrison, Noeska N. Smit, Benjamin Bach, Sarah Mittenentzwei, Veronika Weiß, Stefan Bruckner, Kai Lawonn, Bernhard Preim, Narrative medical visualization to communicate disease data, Computers & Graphics, Volume 107, 2022, Pages 144-157, ISSN 0097-8493.
- [22] "Radiomics." Radiomics, www.radiomics.io/pyradiomics.html.
- [23] Majeed Alneamy JS, A Hameed Alnaish Z, Mohd Hashim SZ, Hamed Alnaish RA. Utilizing hybrid functional fuzzy wavelet neural networks with a teaching learning-based optimization algorithm for medical disease diagnosis. Comput Biol Med. 2019;112:103348.
- [24] Das DK, Dutta PK. Efficient automated detection of mitotic cells from breast histological images using deep convolution neutral network with wavelet decomposed patches. Comput Biol Med. 2019;104:29–42. doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2018.11.001.
- [25] Ganeshan B, Hosur A, Skogen K, Tasker F, Dizdarevic S, Miles KA. Multiparametric FDG PET-CT in thoracic malignancies: opportunities for combined prognostic imaging biomarkers. Presented at: UK Radiological Congress 2012, Manchester, UK.
- [26] R.O. Sinnott, H. Duan, Y. Sun, Chapter 15 A Case Study in Big Data Analytics: Exploring Twitter Sentiment Analysis and the Weather, Editor(s): Rajkumar Buyya, Rodrigo N. Calheiros, Amir Vahid Dastjerdi, Big Data, Morgan Kaufmann, 2016, Pages 357-388.
- [27] www.javatpoint.com/multi-layer-perceptron-in-tensorflow
- [28] www.scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.neural_network.MLPClassifier.html
- [29] Chen S, Xu Y, Ye M, Li Y, Sun Y, Liang J, Lu J, Wang Z, Zhu Z, Zhang X, Zhang B. Predicting MGMT Promoter Methylation in Diffuse Gliomas Using Deep Learning with Radiomics. J Clin Med. 2022 Jun 15;11(12):3445. doi: 10.3390/jcm11123445. PMID: 35743511; PMCID: PMC9224690.
- [30] www.kaggle.com/code/juliojuse/single-model-0-747-2dcnn-inference
- [31] www.kaggle.com/code/juliojuse/single-model-0-747-2dcnn-inference
- [32] Li, Y., Wei, D., Liu, X., Fan, X., Wang, K., Li, S., Zhang, Z., Ma, K., Qian, T., Jiang, T., Zheng, Y. and Wang, Y., 2022. Molecular Subtyping of Diffuse Gliomas Using Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Comparison and Correlation Between Radiomics and Deep Learning. Beijing Neurosurgical Institute, Capital Medical University;7 No. 119 South Fourth Ring West Road;8 Beijing 100070, China;