

Design and application of aluminum foams for car body structures

Supervisor: prof. Giovanni Maizza

Zhao Yue

JULY 2021

ACKNOWLEGEMENTS

I would first like to thank my thesis advisors, Professor Giovanni Maizza of Politecnico di Torino for helping me in the research and accompanying me in writing. During this tough period 2020-2021, It is my great honor to follow such kind and responsible tutor to finish my final project. He is not only my study conductor but also bring large moral encouragement that I could not appreciate no more.

To my roommates, all my close friends, my boyfriend and my family for the great mental support and understanding letting me finish this job.

This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you.

Abstract

The objective of this research is to investigate on available aluminum foam samples properties software to determine whether their characteristics are suitable for car crash energy absorbing use. If not good fit with the target, design possible solution in Abaqus together with CES Edupack (a software selection of materials, manufacturing processes, joining, and finishing).

Three points bending test and compression tests were performed on samples. Applying MATLAB image analysis tool to recognize foam surface porosity and relevant calculation based on the Ashby theory (metal foam constitutive law are considered). Moreover, specimens were modeled for the finite element analysis in Abaqus to compare simulation results against experiments data. Applying the reasonable properties range provided by CES Edupack for foam building in Abaqus, the design phase will represent a reliable evaluation and good prediction for foam energy-absorbing ability during crash condition. Some possible improvements are discussed at the end.

Table of Contents

| ACKNOWLEGEMENTS | . 2 |
|---|----------|
| Abstract | . 3 |
| Intro | . 5 |
| Brief description of material of samples | . 5 |
| 1 Details of experiments | . 6 |
| 1 Three-point flexural (bending) test | . 6 |
| 1.1 test machine | 6 |
| 1.2 Sample dimension and procedure | 6 |
| 1.3 experiments results | 6 |
| the mechanic properties obtained from the test: peak force, peak stress, flexural stress and young's modulus. | 7 |
| 2 Compression tests with 3 samples | . 8 |
| 2.1 Test machine and sample installation presentation | 8 |
| The test machine MTS Criterion model 43 with a maximum load of 50KN was employed for | |
| compression test. uniaxial test with initial strain rate of 5 mm/min was conducted at room | |
| temperature. | 8 |
| 2.2 Sample dimension and procedure | 9 |
| 2.3 experiments figures and results | 9 |
| 2 MATLAB image analysis tool | 14 |
| | |
| 3 Abaqus simulation | 18 |
| 3 Abaqus simulation | 18 21 |

Intro

Aluminum foams are lightweight components and show excellent impact energy absorption.

Many efforts for the improvement in quality of metal foams have been made over the recent years. However, some deficits such as nonuniformities and imperfect mechanical properties in aluminum foams were observed. Fabrication method, architecture signifies the foam mechanical properties that, also improved by matrix reinforcement, e.g., adding fine ceramic particles or alloying elements in the base powder in the powder metallurgical route.

The most important characteristics that affects the mechanical properties of the foam is relative density (Relation Between the density of the form and that of the solid), we will discuss more details later.



Brief description of material of samples

ALUSION[™] Architectural Stabilized Aluminum Foam is produced by injecting air into molten aluminum, which contains a fine dispersion of ceramic particles. These particles stabilize the bubbles formed by the air, much like dry cocoa powder stabilizes bubbles when it is added to milk. Produced naturally, with a shiny shimmering silver skin, and featuring a unique waved pattern on the surface (most dense product). The higher the density, the smaller the cell size, the heavier and more robust the material is.

1 Details of experiments

1 Three-point flexural (bending) test

1.1 test machine



Figure 1 The test machine MTS Criterion model 43 equipped with maximum load of 50 KN

1.2 Sample dimension and procedure

| width | Thickness | Support span |
|---------|-----------|--------------|
| 25.4 mm | 12.7 mm | 120 mm |

Table 1 bending sample dimension

- 1) Measure the dimension of specimen (w=25.4mm, t=12.7mm). Then place it on the support, center of the specimen is aligning with the upper load point.
- 2) Start the test and observe the relation between load applied and deflection of the specimen.
- 3) Stop the test when crack occur obviously.

1.3 experiments results



Figure 2 loads versus elongation (left) and maximum strain versus maximum stress (right)



Figure 3 stress versus deformation [%]

1.4 data record

the mechanic properties obtained from the test: peak force, peak stress, flexural

stress and young's modulus.

| $F_{peak}[N]$ | $\sigma_{peak}[Mpa$ | $\sigma_f[Mpa]$ | E [Mpa] |
|---------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------|
| 269.99 | 11.9 | 11.86 | 3315 |

Table 2 the mechanical properties of bending sample

2 Compression tests with 3 samples

2.1 Test machine and sample installation presentation

The test machine MTS Criterion model 43 with a maximum load of 50KN was employed for compression test. uniaxial test with initial strain rate of 5 mm/min was conducted at room temperature.



Figure 4 compression set up.

2.2 Sample dimension and procedure

| | Thickness [mm] | Length [mm] | Width [mm] |
|----------|----------------|-------------|------------|
| Sample 1 | 13.02 | 30.65 | 30.45 |
| Sample 2 | 13.17 | 30.08 | 30.85 |
| Sample 3 | 13.17 | 31.36 | 31 |

Table 3 dimension of samples used in compression test.

- 1) Measure the width and thickness of 3 samples.
- 2) Place the specimen in the test fixture and carefully align the specimen to the fixture to ensure concentric loading.
- 3) set the machine to strain the specimen at a rate of 5 mm/min.
- 4) As shown in the figure, sample 1 had been partially compressed, and other two are completely crushed during the test.



Figure 5 only first sample has been partially compressed, the others have totally been compressed.

2.3 experiments figures and results



Figure 7 stress versus strain (above left), young modulus variation (above right), stress versus deformation for sample 1(below)



Figure 8 stress versus strain (above left), young modulus variation (above right), stress versus deformation for sample 2(below)



Figure 9 stress versus strain (above left), young modulus variation (above right), stress versus deformation for sample 3 (below)

| Yield load [N] | Peak stress [Mpa] | Yield stress [Mpa] | Deformati on at peak load (%) | Elastic modulus E [MPA] |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 3364.2 | 8.6 | 3.6 | 32.2 | 103 |
| 4264.8 | 53.8 | 4.6 | 74.0 | 118 |
| 4791.2 | 50.5 | 4.9 | 67.9 | 130 |

Table 4 the mechanical properties of sample been uniaxial compressed

Marking plateau stress and densification strain of compression tests for 3 samples, aim to evaluate the Energy of absorption characteristics.



Figure 10 Compression test for sample 1: true stress [Mpa] versus strain: we could assume reasonably plateau stress and densification $\sigma_{pl1} = 3.51 Mpa$, $\varepsilon_{D1} = 0.125$



Figure 11 Compression test for sample 2: true stress [Mpa] versus strain: we could assume reasonably plateau stress and densification $\sigma_{pl2} = 4.868 Mpa$, $\varepsilon_{D2} = 0.26$



Figure 12 Compression test for sample 3: true stress [Mpa] versus strain: we could assume reasonably plateau stress and densification $\sigma_{pl3} = 4.435 Mpa$, $\varepsilon_{D3} = 0.182$

| | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-------|-----------|
| plateau stress σ_{pl} | 3.51 Mpa | 4.868 | 4.435 Mpa |
| densification strain ε_D | 0.125 | 0.26 | 0.182 |

Table 5 plateau stress and densification strain of compression tests for 3 samples

We could find that, every stress-strain cure has 3 stages, which a typical characteristics of metal foam: linear grow stage, where stress increases linearly with strain until reach the upper yield point; more or less saturation stage, where the flow stress changes little with the strain increasing; sharpe stage, where stress steeply with the string increasing slightly. Load and displacement were recorded automatically by computer. Stress and strain were calculated in MATLAB for each test parameters by take account initial dimensions of specimens.

2 MATLAB image analysis tool



Figure 13 image recognition by MATLAB

To determine more properties of foam during deformation, the key factor is knowing relative density of metal foam. Image analysis tool embed in MATLAB is capable to recognize foam surfaces and give rough approximation of pore area percentage, with assumption that every surface has similar pore distribution and neglect the pore inside the foam which brings the error between recognition by tool and real condition. By applying relevant expression, we could get relative density of sample.

We also use the density determination kit to detect foam density which value is closer to the practical case. We measure the pure weight of samples at the beginning and immerse it in the water completely, according to the weight change on the balance. The apparatus could calculate the foam density automatically, with smaller error compared to the MATLAB. but still cannot reach the pore deep inside the material.



Figure 14 configuration of Sartorius YDK03 and sample been immersed to read density

We got the final mechanical properties based on Ashby theory with reasonable relative

density, see following table :

| average value of porosity P_{avg} | 0.75 | | plateau stress σ_{pl} (from theoretical formula) | 4.11e+06 | Mpa |
|---|----------|--------|---|----------|-----|
| standard deviation of pore area fraction ρ_{std} | 0.1084 | | densification strain ε_D (from theoretical formula) | 0.59 | |
| relative density ρ_{rela} | 0.25 | | yield strength of foam | 5812500 | Ра |
| density of foam ρ_{avg} | 675 | kg/m^3 | tensile strength of aluminum σ_t (too large) | 4.09e+07 | Pa |
| young's modulus $E *$ | 5.96e+09 | Ра | hardness H (too large) | 5.12e+07 | Pa |
| young's modulus for compression of foam $E_c{}^\ast$ | 3.6e+09 | Ра | compressive strength of aluminum σ_c (too large) | 3.41e+07 | Pa |
| shear modulus for compression of foam ${\cal G}_c$ | 5.62e+08 | Ра | bulk modulus (chosen not calculate) | 5 | Gpa |

 \ast With standard deviation of porosity, also have exist max and min of young's modulus E , Ec

Hardness and tensile strength of aluminum σ_t both are related to compressive strength

Table 6 mechanical properties of test samples

We compared the results with CES: Brief description for CES ADD CES figure, edit into excel summation.

| where the method is unlike a state of | and at the the | 1143.0 | | a di mana Wasana and man Mada ma sa s | CALIFORNIA DE LA RECENTION DE LA RECENTIVA RECENTION DE LA RECENTION DE LA RECENTION DE LA RECENTION DE LA RECENTIDA RECENTIVA RECENTIVA RECENTIVA RECENTIRICATA RECENTIVA REC | disa - Manatan da safata a ina | COLUMN OF REAL PROPERTY. | A | univated and loans amongs a | a part of the second part of the destan | tother of here | bearing his | | |
|--|----------------|----------|-------------------------|---|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| Alagan Nop Xiani Nop | | | statt likp | | layak 1 da | | agan up | | | | | | | |
| etene 🔝 tehn få turbet 🖉 tøren | er 🚍 tear 🗄 | · rest 6 | G terrer () | Martinez 2 Seter 6 Contact & So | unber 🔲 bare 3% ben + 🔂 teringe 🗇 | I Canar I Scher 12 Contact @ Se | ernetter 🖸 teen 🔅 bein 🖸 Settigt 🛞 | atalan 🔯 tean A terdati A te | rrater 🗍 Lan 🔅 Sola - 🕤 Serter 🛞 | utere 🔛 town pin to have 🖉 synt | teter 🗆 inc | n 25 mi | . O | Settige @ wa - |
| Carata Biret Stores B | the public of | - 44 | price + Hell Gillion of | 3 Statute Start Bloorge | Q apart de States de la | Statute Hiter Street | E for and firefor . @ fa patientita ad ana . | Stamarhand and Smith | Starailara Bispath - E | . Gargerifindes Singelantille | | - E - | angenetik' | when Baranatas |
| foam predict Closed-cell loam (0,2) | | | | foam predict Closed-cell foam (0, | 22) | foam predict Closed-cell toam (0 | (,25) | fram predict Closed-cell foam (0 | 1,28) | foam predict Closed-cell foam (0, | 3) | | | |
| taster lex 4 strate | •) | | O les inte | Canadiana dan di amitana | • My Crow Sco. Q Resident • | Destes Asc 4 stilled | • Entry the Construct | Destruction Allocations | • 22 Post in O faither | V September swice all worksche | | · W SNG | 1.4 0 | Creater + |
| Typestals a Sufficient of Cititate declary of the | | | | Physical properties | | English accepter | | Physical properties. | | Physical properties | | | | |
| | | | | UNIN U | (1) 275 - 127 345/97 | 189.0V | (f) 141 240 http:// | forway. | 00 221 Mill By Mark | Detally | 0 | 281 | 1 301 | Appert . |
| Physical propaction | | | | TO/Band Shrang | () 9112 | he identified a | CD HOME | Reductor dears by | 60 1.97E | Dee re-levely | õ | 2,1 | | |
| Derth | <u>ça</u> | 14 | if your all | Machinel acception | | and the second se | | Bash salad a superior | | and a second second second | | | | |
| Rottien trivity | 00 0 | 6199 | | Second for properties | C 0.14 400 100 | Mechanical properties | | Necionica properves | a | raecuance properties | | | | 11.12 |
| Machanical properties | | | | Very character interest land | C 4115 414 50 | Marghereralus | Q 1.01 - 1.21 GPN | 127 95 1130065 | 0 120 - 104 019 | Easte toppe. | | 1.49 | 199 | 140 |
| and the second sec | 0.0 | 1.144 | 100.0 | Tends strengt | C 15 16 10 | Yaldabargh (darb led) | Q 1.1 - 122 M26 | THE A SIGN DEAL BY D | (j) 1.20 - 1,22 Mills | Rold Storight Lood C BAR | | 1.07 | | 66-3 |
| No formation and | | | 100 100 | Annual to should be | C 0.110 414 900 | Tende divergin | Q 22 - 107 M78 | www.siteda | (j) 2.56 - 3,52 Mills | New C-Shire(# | | 2745 | 344 | 96-3 |
| line have | | | 10. 10. | Constant and the | () A14 400 500 | Corgenedia strengt | Q 1.1 - 122 MPH | COLORAN MARK | (j) 1.20 - 1,22 PF 1 | Colle Nee Londo | | 1,47 | | Me.) |
| Contraction of the second s | ě., | | 100 100 | Annual second brock has a second | C 411 411 411 | Departed Percenter | Q 1,00 - 121 GPK | FEGIE INJURS | 0 02 - 04 019 | House Yill BY | 0 | 1,05 | 110 | (49 |
| Compression as a straight | | | | financial and a second s | () ATA AND AD | Desired energie (reschike plan pare) | Q U - 122 M26 | Finderingen (18 State of Option) | (j) (20 - 1,22 Hit | House and process if notice | - 0 | 3,57 | | MP3 |
| | | | 100 000 | A Description | () 474 - 6,40 - 674 | Stee melite | Q 0.49/ - 0.150 GFx | DIALE (1033KG | (j) CHEC - 0,041 CPV | Stor score. | 0 | 9(12) | 0.05 | 199 |
| The state of the second state of the second | | | 100 100 | Subarah anta | () A10 - 10 - 10 | Fakterine | Q 1,00 - 1,21 GPs | DUB INJURS | () (22 - 1.44 Grs | B.B. YOSAK | 0 | 1,00 | 165 | 699 |
| Cree factor | | | The De | | A. 1999 | Folkerik mits | © 610 | Twoath we | (j) 6,000 | Powers one | 0 | 0/444 | | |
| And the second | | | | impact & tracture properties | | Internet & Internet on Antonia State | | beaust & francher as properties. | | Inspart & fracture accounties | | | | |
| T CALL TRAD | | (111 · | | Product Institute | 00 0.907 0.902 THAT MALE | subsce s macente lucheuses | 44 | Courses involvers. | (i) 116 133 MELECE | Looker in famou | 0 | | | Minute N |
| Impact & fracture properties | | | | | | P-00/010(10/2 | 0 000 00 0000 | - | | | ~ | | (| |
| Design to store | 61 5 | 10.0 | 1011 VIA 1101 | Thermal properties | | Thormal properties | | Thermal properties | | Thermal properties | | | | |
| and the second se | | | | Thermal conductory | (i) 194 - 3/6 45%*C | Darrad analysis for | Q 441 + 741 20470 | The multi solid ad why | 10 235 8,18 TAN TO | Therein contestions | 0 | 5,00 | 33.0 | Wm Y. |
| Thermal properties | | | | Specific heel capacity | C 914 - 920 39910 | Court in family and the | 0 MD + MH - AMPC | Second real research: | 10 73 940 Not | South the specity | 0 | 440 | 960 | 148.2 |
| Internal conductory: | 0 | \$21 | 0.16 What'd | Thermal expension operficient | 2" 103 - 165 pdres"C | Dertainmenter and test | O BA - WA INSTITUTE | Institution and the second second | (0 165 152 paser*C | There is a configuration of the second secon | 0 | \$5.5 | 245 | 1000112 |
| Coopie had supering | 0 | 910 | 203 3.847.15 | Management operations | | | | - | | | | | | |
| Increation coefficient | 0 | 15,2 | US ziun'o | encoren braharme | | Electrical properties | | Electrical properties | | Electrical properties | | | | |
| Part of a second bar | | | | TELBO OVER | 30 181 53.5 4310.00 | Festive with the | (i) 65.6 29.7 (when ex- | The struct managery | LO 117 - KS printing | Dadrice markety | 0 | 50,1 | - 2/,3 | - palle all |
| and the second s | | | | | | | | | | 8 | | | | |

As we mentioned before, relative density is crucial to the mechanical properties. lower the relative density of foam, which means lower the porosity, brings higher young's modulus and strength.

Some of values are difference from CES predict range + explanation.

| Image: Control and Provide Prov | syoungVScompreeS - GRANTA EduPack 2021 R1 - [Ma Sile Edit View Select Tools Window Setup | laterialU | niverse:\My records\Synthesized\Cellular structures\ | Closed-cell foam\fe | oam predi | ct] | | | | | _ 0 X |
|--|---|--|--|----------------------|------------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Image: Description: | A Harra 100 Select Tools window Feature | re Reque | est Help | | 93 T- | | 6 | Cattinus | | | - 6 |
| With Amage It based is the amage | La nome La prowse & search 105 ch | ant/sele | Solver 2 € Eco Audit 2 Synthes | izer 🗌 Learn | 60 10 | iois • | 00 | settings | G Help | _ | |
| Normalization of market and an analysis of the second of the se | Browse | | luna smaples 🖉 Stage 1 🗃 test samples 4 | > foam predict Close | d-ce | Con | nparison - | MaterialU | Iniverse 🔄 samples | foam predict Closed-ce | Foam predict |
| Image: Image | Table. Material Inverse | fc | pam predict Closed-cell foam (0,25 | 5) | | | | | | | |
| Private or entrance Private or entrance Private or entrance Private or entrance Private or entrance Private or entr | Subset: Foams | - Da | atasheet view: All attributes | - | K Sho | w/Hid | 0 | Find Sin | nilar 🔻 | | |
| Private receive Private receive Private receive <td>A sampler</td> <td></td> | A sampler | | | | | | | | | | |
| Image: control is a control is c | / test samples | P | hysical properties | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Image: Section of carbon | Synthesized | De | ensity | 0 | 241 | | 255 | kg/m^: | 3 | | |
| Image peaks Image change pea | Closed-cell foam | The second secon | stative density | U | 0,240 | | | | | | |
| <p< td=""><td>ioam predict</td><td>M</td><td>lechanical properties</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></p<> | ioam predict | M | lechanical properties | | | | | | | | |
| | 0,1 (relative density) | Yo | oung's modulus | () | 1,08 | - | 1,21 | GPa | | | |
| | 0,12 (relative density) | Te | insile strength | 0 | 22 | - | 3.07 | MPa | | | |
| Image: Sector | 🤣 0,13 (relative density) | C | ompressive strength | 0 | 1,1 | - | 1,32 | MPa | | | |
| | 0.14 (relative density) | Fk | exural modulus | () | 1,08 | - | 1,21 | GPa | | | |
| | © 0,15 (relative density) | Fl | exural strength (modulus of rupture) | () | 1,1 | - | 1,32 | MPa | | | |
| • Life feature density • Life feat | 🤗 0,17 (relative density) | Sł | hear modulus | 0 | 0,404 | - | 0,453 | GPa | | | |
| | 0,18 (relative density) | B | uik modullus | 0 | 1,08 | 1 | 1,21 | GPa | | | |
| 123 (petative ensity) Umpact & fracture properties 123 (petative ensity) 0.976 · 1,17 MPan*0.5 123 (petative ensity) 0.907 · 1,17 Mpa*2 124 (petative ensity) 0.907 · 1,17 Mpa*2 124 (petative ensity) 0.907 · 1,15 Mpa*2 <tr< td=""><td> 0,15 (relative density) 0,2 (relative density) </td><td>10</td><td>555501 5 TBUU</td><td>U</td><td>0,333</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<> | 0,15 (relative density) 0,2 (relative density) | 10 | 555501 5 TBUU | U | 0,333 | | | | | | |
| 222 (petitude dentity) 223 (petitude dentity) 233 (petitude dentity) 233 (petitude dentity) 233 (petitude dentity) 063,6 72,7 234 (petitude dentity) 063,6 72,7 244 (petitude dentity) 0 063,6 72,7 244 (petitude dentity) 0 064 | 0,21 (relative density) | In | npact & fracture properties | | | | | | | | |
| 121 concurse eventy 115 concurse eventy 123 concurse eventy 190 concurse eventy 123 concurse eventy 0 concurse eventy 123 concurse eventy 123 concurse eventy 124 concurse eventy 123 concurse eventy 125 concurse eventy 123 concurse eventy 124 concurse eventy 124 concurse eventy 124 conconcurse eventy 124 concurse eventy | 0,22 (relative density) | Fr | acture toughness | (i) | 0,976 | | 1,17 | MPa.n | n^0.5 | | |
| Immunic conductivity 0 4.04 - 7.04 Wim *C Immunic conductivity 0 18.5 19.5 justain/*C Immunic conductivity 0 6.0 7.2.7 justain/*C Immunic conductivity 0 6.0 7.0 | 0,25 (relative density) | Т | hermal properties | | | | | | | | |
| Specific heat capacity 910 900 J80 J | 📮 0,25 (relative density) | Th | nermal conductivity | () | 4,64 | | 7,61 | W/m.ª | °C | | |
| A day by theme ensures/ A day by theme ensures/ B christer density Dechnoi of results density Dechnoi of results density B christer density Dechnoi of results density Dechnoi of results density B christer density Dechnoi of results density Dechnoi of results density B christer density Dechnoi of results density B christer density Dechnoi of results density B christer density Dechnoi of Worker Resett Heip Dechnoi of Reset Resett Heip Dechnoi of Reset Resett Heip Dechnoi of Reset Resett Heip Dechnoi of Reset Resett Heip Dechnoi of Reset Resett Heip Dechnoi | 0.26 (relative density) | Sp | pecific heat capacity | () | 910 | - | 960 | J/kg.° | С | | |
| Dag (relative density) Decision result | 0,27 (relative density) | T | nermal expansion coefficient | () | 18,5 | - | 19,5 | µstrair | n/°C | | |
| International model with the standard of the standa | 🤣 0,29 (relative density) | E | lectrical properties | | | | | | | | |
| Automa NUM young/ScompresS - GRANTA Edu/back 2021 R1 - (MaterialUniverse My records) Image: Comparison of the state | Ø,3 (relative density) III | E | ectrical resistivity | (i) | 63,6 | - | 72,7 | µohm. | cm | | |
| itory itory itory itory itory itory itory itory itory itory itory itory itory | Home Browse Q Search 🕸 Ch | nart/Sele | ect 🕼 Solver 💭 Eco Audit 🔗 Synthes | sizer 🗍 Learn | 🛞 То | ols 🔻 | 6 | Settings | ⑦ Help ▼ | | |
| bachese: texel 3 Aurospace Table: Ta | Browse | | × Home Aluminum foam (0.5) | Aluminum foam (1.0) | 🗟 luna | a smaple | es 🎽 🔡 | Stage 1 | 🖏 Stage 2 📑 test | samples | |
| Waterializaverse | Database: Level 3 Aerospace | Change | luna smaples | | | | | | | | |
| Detatative view: Detatative view: Material Universe Caranica and glasses Wyrecords Viue smaples Synthesized Detatative view: Material family Welal (non-ferrous) Base material O Al (Aluminum) Physical properties Density O effet view: O losed-cell Cell type Cell type Cell type Cell size Yied Simplify Yied Simplify O table Physical properties Density O effet effet Cell type Cell type Cell size Yied Simplify (elastic limit) O table Orapressive modulus Ourpressive modulus Ourpressive modulus Outpression View defined record User defined record | Table: MaterialUniverse | | | | | | | | N | | |
| MetrainUniverse Carrencia glasses Hybrids: composites, foams, honeycombs, natural matridi My recods Samples Synthesized Material family Density Organization Porsity Oldesnity | Subset: Foams | | Datasheet view: All attributes | | • | | Show/Hi | ide 🕻 | 🕈 Find Similar 👻 | | |
| Projects: Composites toams, noneycomos, natural material Composites toams, noneycomos, natural material W records Image: Ima | MaterialUniverse | | My records > | | | | | | | | |
| I una smaples amples I orm ① Foam Set it amples ① Metal (non-terrous) Base material ① Al (Akuminum) Physical properties ① Density ① 668 - 681 kg/m*3 Porosity (closed) 0 64 - 85 % Cell type ① Closed-cell Cell type ① 12,7 mm Mechanical properties Ompressive modulus Compressive modulus ① 1,15 - 7,4 GPa Compressive modulus ① 1,15 - 7,4 GPa Density ① 0,182 - 0,26 Notes 2 samples for compression | Hydros: composites, toams, noneycombs, natura My records | n materi | Composition overview | | 0 | | | | | | |
| samples media (Inti-Her IOB) Test samples media (Inti-Her IOB) Synthesized Physical properties Density 0 669 - 681 kg/m^3 Relative density 0 0.18 - 0.25 Cell type 0 Closed-cell - - Cell size 0 12,7 mm Mechanical properties - - - - Yield strength (deastic limit) 0 155 MPa - Compressive modulus 0 1,15 - 7,4 GPa Compressive strength 0 0,182 0,26 - - Densification strain 0 0,182 0,26 - - Iter compressive modulus 0 1,15 - 7,4 GPa Compressive strength 0 0,182 0,26 - - Densification strain 0 0,182 0,26 - - - User defined record User defined record - - - - | 🥖 luna smaples | | Form Material family | | 0 | Foa | im iol (non | forroug | \ \ | | |
| Synthesized Physical properties Density ① 069 - 681 kg/m*3 Relative density ① 0,18 -0,25 Post Providy (closed) ① 64 -85 % Cell type ① Closed-cell Closed-cell Cell size ① 12,7 mm Mechanical properties Vield strength (elastic limit) ① 155 MPa Compressive strength ① 22,2 -44,9 MPa Densification strain ① 0,182 0,26 Notes User defined record User defined record | Samples | | Base material | | 0 | AL (| Aluminu | im) |) | | |
| Physical properties Density 0 669 - 661 kg/m³3 Relative density 0 0,18 - 0,25 Porosity (closed) 0 64 - 85 % Cell type 0 12,7 mm Cell size 0 12,7 mm Mechanical properties - - 7.4 GPa Compressive modulus 0 1,15 - 7.4 GPa Compressive modulus 0 0,182 - 0,26 Notes 2 2,22 - 44,9 MPa Densification strain 0 0,182 - 0,26 User defined record | Synthesized | | | | | . = (| | | | | |
| Unensity ① 0699 - 641 kg/m*3 Porosity (closed) ① 0.18 - 0.25 Porosity (closed) ① 64 - 85 % Cell type ① Closed-cell - - - Cell type ① 12,7 mm - - - Mechanical properties · 12,7 mm - | - | | Physical properties | | 0 | 000 | | 004 | 1. co / on 1.2 | | |
| Parosity (closed) 0 64 - 85 % Cell type 0 Closed-cell Closed-cell Cell size 0 12,7 mm Mechanical properties Yield strength (elastic limit) 0 155 MPa Compressive modulus 0 1,15 - 7,4 GPa Compressive strength 0 0,182 - 0,26 Densification strain 0 0,182 - 0,26 Notes 2 samples for compression User defined record | | | Density Relative density | | 0 | 669 | - 0 | 681 | kg/m ^{*3} | | |
| Cell type ① Closed-cell Cell size ① 12,7 mm Mechanical properties Yield strength (elastic limit) ① 155 MPa Compressive modulus ① 1,15 - 7,4 GPa Compressive strength ① 22,2 - 44,9 MPa Densification strain ① 0,182 - 0,28 Notes 2 samples for compression User defined record | | | Porosity (closed) | | 0 | 64 | - 0 | 85 | % | | |
| Cell size ① 12,7 mm Mechanical properties MPa Yield strength (elastic limit) ① 155 MPa Compressive modulus ① 1,15 - 7,4 GPa Compressive strength ① 22,2 - 44,9 MPa Densification strain ① 0,182 - 0,28 Notes User defined record User defined record | | | Cell type | | 0 | Clos | sed-cell | | | | |
| Mechanical properties Yield strength (elastic limit) ① 155 MPa Compressive modulus ① 1,15 7,4 GPa Compressive strength ① 2,22 44,9 MPa Densification strain ① 0,182 - 0,28 Notes User defined record User defined record | | | Cell size | | (i) | 12, | 7 | | mm | | |
| Yield strength (elastic limit) ① 155 MPa Compressive modulus ① 1,15 7,4 GPa Compressive strength ① 22,2 44,9 MPa Densification strain ① 0,182 - 0,26 Notes User defined record | | | Mechanical properties | | | | | | | | |
| Compressive modulus ① 1,15 - 7,4 GPa Compressive strength ① 22,2 - 44,9 MPa Densification strain ① 0,182 - 0,26 Notes | | | Yield strength (elastic limit) | | (i) | 155 | | | MPa | | |
| Compressive strength ① 22,2 - 44,9 MPa Densification strain ① 0,182 - 0,26 Notes User defined record User defined record | | | Compressive modulus | | <u>(</u>) | 1,1 | 5 - | 7,4 | GPa | | |
| Densification strain O(182 - 0,28 Notes 2 samples for compression User defined record | | | Compressive strength | | (j) | 22,2 | 2 - | 44,9 | MPa | | |
| Notes 2 samples for compression User defined record | | | Densification strain | | (i) | 0,18 | 82 - | 0,26 | | | |
| 2 samples for compression User defined record | | | Notes | | | | | | | | |
| User defined record | | | 2 samples for compression | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Hear do | fined rec | ord | | | | | |
| | | | | 0561.06 | med rec | oru | | | | | 100 |

Description of How I obtain the following charts.



Yong's modulus and compression strength calculated by Ashby formula are much higher than Abaqus.

3 Abaqus simulation

The constitutive models described here are available in ABAQUS for the analysis of crushable foams typically used in energy absorption structures. Two phenomenological constitutive models are presented: the volumetric hardening model and the isotropic hardening model. And in my case, is volumetric hardening.

The volumetric hardening model is motivated by the experimental observation that foam structures usually experience a different response in compression and tension. In compression the ability of the material to deform volumetrically is enhanced by cell wall buckling processes as described by <u>Gibson et al. (1982)</u>, <u>Gibson and Ashby (1982)</u>, and <u>Maiti et al. (1984)</u>. It is assumed that the foam cell deformation is not recoverable instantaneously and can, thus, be idealized as being plastic for short duration events.

The volumetric hardening model assumes a perfectly plastic behavior in hydrostatic tension, and the evolution of the yield surface is controlled by the volumetric plastic strain experienced by the material: compactive inelastic strains produce hardening while dilatant inelastic strains lead to softening.



V=10mm/s, inertia1500 [change to standard name]





After above simulations, we may have design concept for our foams to meet some real crash condition.

When talking about automotive crash, there are several entities responsible to access the crash performance of road vehicles. The standard tests regulated by United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (ECE) and National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) in the U.S.A..

In these tests, the setup is defined by standard regulations and the results are compared to maximum values of accelerations and forces suffered by the dummies during the crash. Currently in Europe, 2020 Test Procedures are shown following: Mobile progressive deformable barrier

The test car is propelled at 50 km/ h (31 mph) into a moving deformable barrier mounted on an oncoming 1400 kg trolley, also traveling at 50 km / h at a 50% overlap. This represent shitting a mid-size family car. Two adult male THOR-50M dummies are seated in the front and two child dummies are placed in the back. The aim is to assess the crumple zones and the compatibility of the test car.

Full width rigid barrier.

The test car is driven into a rigid barrier with full overlap at a speed of 50 km / h (31 mph). A small female dummy is seated in the driving position and in the rear seat. The aim is to test the car's restraint system, such as airbags and seat belts.

Mobile side impact barrier A deformable barrier is mounted on a trolley and is driven at 60 km/ h (37 mph) into the side of the stationary test vehicle at a right angle. This is meant to represent another vehicle colliding with the side of a car.

Side pole [18] The car is propelled sideways at 32 km / h (20 mph) against a rigid,

narrow pole at a small angle away from perpendicular to simulate a vehicle traveling sideways into roadside objects such as a tree or pole.

4 Conclusion

Over on the results show good agreement Between the numerical simulation and the experiment test .in numeric simulations Some factors are not take into account such as the friction Between the test machine and foam faces, pore deep inside the materials, etc. these factors can also consume the energy in the compression test.

Various studies were tried out to increase the energy absorption of thin-walled tubes with the use of fillers. The filling of cellular materials, such as Honeycombs and foams to the tubular structures is a common method which may tremendously improve energy absorption efficiency of the tubes. Not Only the filler itself absorb Energy by plastic deformation but also the interaction Between the tube and filler make change The Original collapse mode of the tube Into a More efficient collapse mode.

5 Reference

- Crashworthiness and lightweight optimization to applied multiple materials and foam-filled front end structure of auto-body Zhaokai Li, Qiang Yu, Xuan Zhao, Man Yu, Peilong Shi and Cilei Yan. Advances in Mechanical Engineering 2017, Vol. 9(8) 1–21
- 2. Deformation and energy absorption of aluminum foam-filled tubes subjected to oblique loading Zhibin Li a , Jilin Yu a,n , Liuwei Guo a,b. Available online 28 September 2011
- Effects of porosity and pore size on the compressive properties of closed-cell Mg alloy foam. X.C. Xia a, *, X.W. Chen a , Z. Zhang a , X. Chen a,b , W.M. Zhao a, *, B. Liao a , B. Hur
- 4. A-242 Aluminium Alloy Foams Manufacture from the Recycling of Beverage Cans Nallely Montserrat Trejo Rivera * , Jesús Torres Torres and Alfredo Flores Valdés
- 5. Mechanical Properties of Aluminum Foam Fabricated by Aluminum Powders with Na or Carbamide Replica G. Kavei
- Numerical study on mechanical behavior of foam core sandwich plates under repeated impact loadings Kailing Guoa,b , Ling Zhua,c,* , Yinggang Lia,b , T.X. Yud
- 7. CES edupck guide
- 8. Abaqus user's manual and online vedieo
- 9. Metal Foams: A Design Guide M.F. Ashby, A.G. Evans, N.A. Fleck, L.J. Gibson,

J.W. Hutchinson and H.N.G. Wadley

10. Applying the investment methodology for materials(IMM) to aluminum foams