# POLITECNICO DI TORINO

Master's Degree in Electronics Engineering



# Master's Degree Thesis

# LoRaWAN for Air Quality Monitoring System

Prof. MAURIZIO REBAUDENGO

Amir Nagah ELGHONAIMY

March 2021

# Summary

This Thesis is an extension development work to the project that exists in[1] which aims to measure the air quality using some distributed sensor nodes that depend on Particulate Matter, Temperature, Relative Humidity, and Pressure sensor.



Figure 1: The System Block Diagram

Looking at figure 1, this study project focus mainly on Parts C, D, and E which are the physical and MAC layer's properties and implementation, also we will give attention to the practical implementation of F(The Things Network(TTN) configurations), G(Data Storage) and H(Data Visualization).

The sensor node in the meantime is transmitting its data (block D) over a "WiFi" connection which has a high throughput (supports real-time data monitoring) but it suffers from relatively short-range communications and it consumes a lot of the battery power of the station. The Thesis is a study for an alternative Wireless technology that can replace the "WiFi" efficiently by extending the transmission range and still able to have a sufficient throughput, latency, and consumes lower power. We choose the LoRaWAN technology for our project after examining the available LPWAN technologies in the market. LoRaWAN satisfies our system-critical parameters which are: A maximum communication range that can reach 0.6Km. The Maximum update time for the sensor data is 5 minutes(300 seconds). Technology's latency should not push the transmission to violate the update time. and advantage of LoRaWAN over other competitors is that it is working in the free ISM Band.

The hardware that was used is the Pycom-FiPy board which is based on the

ESP32  $\mu$ Controller combined with the SFX172 LoRa chip (LoRaWAN stack - Class-A).

The complete system looks as was shown in figure 1, the TTN was used as the web-server for its simplicity and free of charge. Node-Red was used as the application-server to store the data on a CSV file.

A field test was conducted in the city of Turin, the range test was made using two different packet lengths, 20 bytes (assuming all the possible data that can be included) and 51 byte which is the maximum payload that can be used with the TTN network at high-speed transmission Spreading Factor(SF) 7. we also used 3 different SF to examine the behavior of the LoRa modulation characteristics in a real situation.

Four different points were defined on the city of Turin which simulate Line of sight and non Line of sight scenarios. The range measurement was quantified using the Gateway(GW) registered under the name "polito" on the TTN website.

#### Range

the test showed that LoRa is indeed a long-range modulation (over 2Km) in urban areas, but it also showed that it suffers from the surrounding environment (metal, moving vehicles..etc), whoever this can become over by using more Gateways.

#### Throughput and update time

TTN restriction was a challenge for our packet since we were only allowed to send 417Msg/Day for 20 bytes, considering this limitation we end up using only SF=7 that achieves a message every 3.5 minutes. Then we suggested some techniques to reduce the packet size like skipping the redundant information (Like ID and Time) and introduced the concept of the Sensors States Byte(SSB) which can be seen in Figure 2, using those techniques we were able to reduce the update time of transmission from 3.5 minutes to 2.2 minutes for TTN web-server (few seconds for a private web-server).



Figure 2: The Sensors States Byte and an example of pattern transmission

Latency



Figure 3: Timing of a LoRaWAN Packet

The system as shown in figure3 using the same Network-Time-Protocol(NTP) server to sync the sensor node and the Node-Red clocks so results become more accurate(since we can't control the time source of the GW and TTN). Subtracting the timestamps of every stage we get the latency. to avoid negative timing we took the average of those measurements.

SF	TOA Latency	Other Latency components
7	100(milliseconds)	0.1(milliseconds)
9	330(milliseconds)	0.35(milliseconds)
12	2400(milliseconds)	0.45(milliseconds)

 Table 1: Latency components for every SF

The Conclusion is that LoRaWAN can be used as an LPWAN technology for the Node but using TTN web-server, the transmission must be restricted to only SF=7 with an update time = 2.2 min. changing the web-server to a private one would give a big restriction-free on the duty cycle that will be reduced from 3.3minutes to 5 seconds.

# Acknowledgements

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It was tough but it worth it..... Thank you Wael for all the helps and time, Osama my best friend who keep giving me positive support.Edoardo giusto for assisting me and give me valuable hints about how to organize my thesis, Mohammad ghazivakili for introduce me to Node-Red which made a lot of things easier and Gustavo Ramirez for the technical guidance you gave me when i get stuck. Thanks to Italy for such a wonderful and valuable experience to study in the poleticnico Di Torino.

# **Table of Contents**

Li	st of	Tables	s IX					
$\mathbf{Li}$	List of Figures x							
A	Acronyms							
1	Intr	oducti	ion 1					
	1.1	LPWA	AN 1					
<b>2</b>	LoF	ta and	LoRaWAN Technology 4					
	2.1	LoRa	vs. LoRaWAN					
		2.1.1	LoRa Modulation (Physical Layer)					
		2.1.2	LoRa Parameters					
		2.1.3	Coding $Rate(CR)$					
	2.2	LoRa	WAN (MAC Layer) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 9$					
		2.2.1	LoRaWAN Architecture					
		2.2.2	LoRaWAN Packet Format					
		2.2.3	Time On Air and Duty Cycle					
		2.2.4	LoRaWAN Power Consumption					
		2.2.5	LoRaWAN Classes					
	2.3	Authe	ntication And Encryption					
		2.3.1	Authentication with the Network Server					
		2.3.2	The Application Serve data Encryption					
		2.3.3	The Full LoRaWAN Frame					
	2.4	Activa	tion of LoRa Devices: ABP or OTAA					
		2.4.1	Activation By Personalization(ABP)					
		2.4.2	Over The Air Activation(OTAA)					
	2.5	LoRa	WAN networks and servers					
		2.5.1	The different types of networks					
	2.6	LoRa	Limitations $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $26$					
		2.6.1	ISM Band Limitations					

		2.6.2	LoRa Technology Limitations	26			
3	Des	ign an	d Implementation	28			
	3.1	The Sy	ystem Design	28			
		3.1.1	Architecture	28			
		3.1.2	Components	29			
		3.1.3	TTN Limitations	37			
		3.1.4	Node-Red	38			
		3.1.5	Firmware Flowchart	40			
4	Mea	asurem	ents and Results	42			
	4.1	The Sv	vstem Criteria	42			
	4.2	Test S	cenarios	43			
		4.2.1	The Size of The Pavload	43			
		4.2.2	Measurements Points Geo-locations	44			
		4.2.3	Test setup	44			
		4.2.4	Range Test	48			
		4.2.5	Throughput of the System	51			
		4.2.6	Byte-Rate Suitability For The Task	52			
		4.2.7	Can we do better ?	54			
		4.2.8	The Latency	58			
5	Con	clusio	n and future work	64			
	5.1	Conclu	ision	64			
	5.2	Future	Work	65			
$\mathbf{A}$	$\mathbf{A}$			66			
F	Б						
в	В			76			
Bi	Bibliography 82						

# List of Tables

1	Latency components for every SF	iv
1.1	LPWAN Comparison	3
2.1	comparison between a private network and an dedicated network	26
4.1	Test Points of the Experiment	45
4.2	Short Payload Packet configurations	48
4.3	Long Payload Packet configurations	49
4.4	The Evaluated bit-rate for different SF	52
4.5	Timing Analysis for 20 byte Payload	54
4.6	Encoding of the Last 3 LSB of the SSB	56
4.7	Transmission of measurement $Packet(10+13)$ and $SSB(1+13)$	57

# List of Figures

1	The System Block Diagram	ii
2	The Sensors States Byte and an example of pattern transmission	iii
3	Timing of a LoRaWAN Packet	iv
1.1	Famous wireless Technologies[5]	2
2.1	The LoRa Chirp Signal characteristics[7]	5
2.2	Real LoRa Signal[8]	5
2.3	LoRa Chirps with different $SF[7]$	6
2.4	An un-modulated LoRa Chirp for $BW = 125 KHz \dots \dots \dots$	6
2.5	Every Chirp is divided into $2^2$ chips $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	7
2.6	Influence of the Coding Rate on the number of added bits[[10]]	9
2.7	LoRa and LoRaWAN on the OSI model [11]	9
2.8	LoRaWAN Architecture [12]	10
2.9	The Role of LoRaWAN Gateway	11
2.10	Network Session Key Authentication	11
2.11	Application Session Key Encryption	12
2.12	Lora Packet format	12
2.13	LoRaWAN Simple Packet format	13
2.14	Spectrum Analyzer view of the Frame[6]	13
2.15	Example of the format for a real LoRaWAN Packet	14
2.16	LoRa Duty Cycle restriction	14
2.17	Table – LoRaWAN spreading factor with TOA for 64-byte payload	
	For a B.W. = $125 \text{ kHz}$ for 500-meter distance [11]	15
2.18	the air time and fair access policy for different data rates for empty	
	payload packet	15
2.19	Energy Consumption by Device Class[18]	16
2.20	Class-A transmit/receive profile [19]	17
2.21	Class-B transmit/receive profile[19]	18
2.22	Class-C transmit/receive profile[19]	19
2.23	The MIC and frame authentication by the network server	20
2.24	Using the AppSKey to encrypt/decrypt the Data	20

2.25	Encryption followed by the Authentication process	21
2.26	Configuration of DevAddr, NwkSKey and AppSKey in ABP	22
2.27	Configuration parameters before the Join Request (OTAA)	22
2.28	Configuration parameters after the Join Request (OTAA)	23
2.29	Join Request - Join Accept in OTAA	24
2.30	The Infrastructure of a private LoRaWAN network	25
2.31	Infrastructure of a dedicated LoRaWAN network	26
2.32	LoRaWAN Duty Cycle and EIRP limitations for Up-Link and Down-	
	link [20]	27
3.1	"Polito" Gateway on Google map	29
3.2	The LoRaWAN System Architecture	29
3.3	TTN Map of the registered Gateways (near the test position in	
	Torino City)	30
3.4	FiPy board and its expansion board	31
3.5	FiPy System block diagram[21]	32
3.6	Lora 868MHz Antenna	32
3.7	TTN Console	33
3.8	registering our application in the TTN applications	34
3.9	Payload in hexadecimal representation	34
3.10	Payload decoder function	35
3.11	Payload in human readable format	36
3.12	An encrypted LoRaWAN Packet	36
3.13	The Metadata of the received packet	37
3.14	Node-Red Flow	38
3.15	Node-Red Flow for extracting the up-link messages from TTN	39
3.16	Tracking the timing of the LoRaWAN packet	40
3.17	LoRa Up-Link transmission Flowchart	41
11	Man of the Test Deinte in the site of Tenis [Coords Fouth]	4.4
4.1	Same Deduct received by two geterrors	44
4.2	The sup tracket received by two gateways	40
4.5	The un-trusted gateway's location w.r.t sensor node	40
4.4	Packet Deriver Rate with different test points	40
4.0	PDR for the Long payload	49
4.0	Bit Rate for $SF = 1$	51
4.1	Evaluating the Bit-rate for every SF, $(BW = 125 \text{Knz})$	- 33 E 4
4.8	Lora WAN Timing for 20 bytes Payload packet	54
4.9	An Example of our suggested Sensors State Byte	<b>55</b>
4.10	The $1\%$ duty cycle allows the transmission every 5 seconds for data	FC
1	$size = 10yte using SF( \dots \dots$	00 77
4.11	An Example of the transmission pattern of the sensor data	$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{C}}$

4.12	Timing of a LoRaWAN Packet	58
4.13	the data as constructed by Node-Red	59
4.14	Latency algorithm for constructing the Packet	61
4.15	Latency algorithm for analyzing the data of the received packet	62
4.16	The TOA contribution part to the latency	63
4.17	Other Latency components	63

# Acronyms

#### ABP

Activation by Personalization

### ACK

Acknowledgment

#### $\mathbf{BLE}$

Bluetooth low Energy

### $\mathbf{bps}$

bit per seconds

#### $\mathbf{BW}$

Band Width

#### $\mathbf{CRC}$

cyclic redundancy check

#### $\mathbf{CR}$

Code Rate

#### $\mathbf{CSS}$

Chirp Spread Spectrum

#### $\mathbf{csv}$

Comma Separated Values

#### $\mathbf{DevAdd}$

Device Address

#### $\mathbf{DL}$

Down-Link

### $\mathbf{DC}$

Duty Cycle

#### EIRP

Effective Isotropic Radiated Power

#### ETSI

The European Telecommunications Standards Institute

#### FEC

forward error correction

#### $\mathbf{Km}$

Kilo Meter

#### $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{W}$

GateWay

#### LOF

Line of Sight

#### $\mathbf{MSG}$

Message

#### npreamble

Number of Preamble symbols

### $\mathbf{NTC}$

Negative Temperature Coefficient

### NTP

Network Time Protocol

#### NLOS

Non Line of Sight

## MQTT

Message Queuing Telemetry Transport

#### Min

Minutes

#### MPD

Messages per day

#### SFD

start frame delimiter

#### $\mathbf{SNR}$

Signal to Noise ratio

#### $\mathbf{SF}$

Spreading Factors

### $\mathbf{SP}$

Server Provider

#### $\mathbf{ISM}$

Industrial, scientific and medical

#### IoT

Internet of Things

#### $\mathbf{IP}$

Internet Protocol

The Symbol duration

The Chip duration

#### TOA

Timer On Air

#### $\mathbf{TTN}$

The Things Network

### $\mathbf{TP}$

Test Point

### OTAA

Over the Air Activation

#### $\mathbf{OSI}$

Open Systems Interconnection

#### LPWAN

Low Power Wide Area Network

#### LoRa

Long Range

#### LOS

Line of Sight

#### $\mathbf{PDR}$

Packet Deliver Rate

# RTC

Real-time clock

#### $\mathbf{UI}$

User Interface

#### $\mathbf{UL}$

UP-Link

#### $\mathbf{WS}$

Weather Station

# Chapter 1 Introduction

Recently, the fast spread of COVID-19 has threatened all humanity around the globe. After the start of its first wave (around Nov-2019), a lot of studies were conducted to understand the new pandemic's characteristics, causes, and effects. A study [2] shows a relation between air pollution (NO2 levels) and air currents in Italy with confirmed deaths related to COVID-19, another study from Harvard analyzed air pollution and COVID-19 deaths concluded "A small increase in long-term exposure to PM2.5 leads to a large increase in the COVID-19 death rate." [3, 4]. The advancements that happen in recent years on many aspects of technologies like Embedded systems, Low power wireless technology, and the sensor's design had lead to a new era of systems to appears that are able to sense the environment around and send the data for a long-distance using only a portable battery and those devices are able to work for several years, from this advancements came the LPWAN.

# 1.1 LPWAN

The modern consistency development of Engineers for new wireless technologies had led to upraise the Low Power Wide Area NetworkLPWAN technology which becomes the workhorse for the Long-range communications for almost all the modern IoT systems for its tremendous capabilities to achieve the communications between sensors that are separated apart with long-distance (that sometimes rise up to hundreds of kilometers) surviving on the available batteries' technologies, this opens the doors for whole new applications and services.

The LPWAN has some attributes that define it from other wireless technologies as mentioned before but it'll summarize here as it's the main characteristic of the systems that are based on this technology [2]:

1. Long Range: The operating range of LPWAN technology varies from a few



Figure 1.1: Famous wireless Technologies [5]

kilometers in urban areas to over 30 km in rural settings. It can also enable effective data communication in previously infeasible indoor and underground locations.

- 2. Low Power: Optimized for power consumption, LPWAN transceivers can run on small, inexpensive batteries for up to 10 years.
- 3. Low Cost: LPWAN's simplified, lightweight protocols reduce complexity in hardware design and lower device costs. Its long-range combined with a star topology reduces expensive infrastructure requirements, and the use of license-free or licensed bands reduces network costs.
- 4. Low Data Rate: Optimized to communicate for very small data rates, for a few Euro cents a month.

	NB-IOT	Sigfox	LoRaWAN	
Range(Km)	1(urban), 10(rural)	10(urban), 40(rural)	5(urban), 20(rural)	
Channel BW(Hz)	200k	100	250k and $125k$	
Data-Rate(bps)	200K	100	$50\mathrm{K}$	
Frequency(MHz)	LTE	433,868,915	433, 868, 915	
Bidirectional	Yes/Half-duplex	Limited/Half-duplex	Yes/Half-duplex	
Max.payload(bytes)	1600	12(UL), 8(DL)	243	
Maximum msg/day	Unlimited	140(UL), 4(DL)	depends on web-server	

To be able to make a suitable choice we have to compare those technologies in terms of their specifications, we will make a comparison as in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: LPWAN Comparison

Besides the added cost to the NB-IoT, it was logistically not easy to have this service at the time of writing this thesis. We go with the LoRaWAN (only) as it excels over the SigFox in many areas.

The organization of this thesis is as follows: Chapter 2 discusses the characterization and Limitations of the LoRaWAN technology. Chapter 3 describe the testbed and the configurations the web-server and the application-server that will be used during the field-test. Chapter 4 will demonstrate the results and the measurements that had been captured during the field-test and finally Chapter 5 is the conclusion and suggestion for future work to improve the system.

# Chapter 2 LoRa and LoRaWAN Technology

In this section, we are going to discuss LoRa and LoRaWAN Technology which are used to establish communications from the Sensor nodes to the Application Server.

# 2.1 LoRa vs. LoRaWAN

LoRa and LoRaWAN are sometimes get misled, in this section we're going to define each one of them and discuss the main characteristics for those different layers but we can generally say that LoRa is a modulation that is used to send data between a transmitter and a receiver while LoRaWAN protocol allows a LoRaWAN Device to transmit data to a LoRaWAN server.

## 2.1.1 LoRa Modulation (Physical Layer)

LoRa(Trademark of Semtech) is a Modulation technique that is derived from the Chirp Spread Spectrum(CSS) modulation, hence it describes the physical layer (bits layer implementation) in the OSI Model. The protocol was designed to satisfy the requirements of the IoT battery enabled devices (Low Power Consumption Protocol). One of the known characteristics of the CSS is the ability to establish long-range communication with high resilience to interference. A single Gateway can cover entire cities or hundreds of square Kilometers[6].

Although the technology range mainly depends on the environment and is severely affected by the obstacles[9], However according to Semtech (SX1272/73 DataSheet[20]) LoRa modulation can achieve a link budget of 157 dB. Figure 2.1. shows how a LoRa chirp looks like. LoRa operates in the ISM band over 433, 868 MHz (in Europe), and 915 MHz.



Figure 2.1: The LoRa Chirp Signal characteristics[7]



Figure 2.2: Real LoRa Signal[8]

#### 2.1.2 LoRa Parameters

In LoRa, Chirp and symbol (both) are used to refers to the Modulated Signal (which carries the Data). The transmission of the LoRa signal can be characterized by several parameters Like the Spreading Factors SF which are defined to control the bit rate, improve the range and decrease energy consumption, also the Bandwidth(BW), Frequency channel, and the Transmission Power, all those parameters can be





Figure 2.3: LoRa Chirps with different SF[7]

controlled in the physical layer.

#### Chirp Chips and Spreading Factor

To clarify the two technical terms, Chirp(symbol) is the signal that sweeps through the whole transmission BW of the LoRa Signal.



Figure 2.4: An un-modulated LoRa Chirp for BW= 125KHz

The chirp could be an up-Chirp as in 2.1 or a Down-Chirp, depending on the Spreading Factor, a LoRa symbol can hold several bits equal to the SF. If SF=7 this means a LoRa symbol can hold 7 raw bits, for example, 0011010(26 in decimal).

This means that the symbol can be encoded with 127 values (for SF7), or we can say the Chirp is divided into 127 chips.



**Figure 2.5:** Every Chirp is divided into  $2^2$  chips

Just for simplicity, Figure 2.5 demonstrate a Modulated Up-Chirp with 2 bits which shall make a symbol that holds 4 chips. The minimum LoRa raw bits a symbol can hold is 7 and the maximum is 12.

SF is on the angle of the chirps(how to spread out the chirp would be). It indicates how many bits the chirp includes. It also determines the data-rate and it has a direct effect on the transmission distance (Fixing the transmitting power and B.W ), for example, SP=7 means the chirp represents 7 bits hence  $2^{SF}$  number of starting and ending frequency positions. Increasing the SF by one will result of doubling the time it takes to transmit a chirp(assume all other parameters remains the same), by doubling the chirp time, receivers will have more time to sample the received signal and hence an increase in the Signal to Noise ratio(SNR) which means better reception and longer distance (but the downside is the power consumption)[9]. Observing a LoRa signal on spectrogram we'll see a signal like in Figure 2.2 which shows a combination of up-chirps and down-chirps (represents the preamble, sync, and the data). LoRa supports six different spreading factors ranging from SF7 to SF12, with SF7 having the highest data-rate, Lowest range, Energy consumption, Time on Air, and highest Sensitivity(For an SF7 signal, the receiver needs a higher SNR).

The Spreading factor defines two values:

- 1. The Number of Raw bits that can be encoded by that symbol.
- 2. Each LoRa symbol can hold  $2^{SF}$  chips.

The bit Rate can be calculated by

$$R_b(bit/sec) = SF \times \frac{BW}{2^{SF}} \times \frac{4}{(4+CR)}$$
(2.1)

Where:

- 1. SF: Spreading Factor (7-12)
- 2. BW: Bandwidth (Hz) or Chips/Sec
- 3. CR: 1-4 (Explained in 2.1.3)

Every Chirp in the LoRa Signal contains  $2^{SF}$  Chip, if we want to know how to calculate the Time a LoRa symbol would take then we can use the following equation:

First, we find the chip duration time

$$T_c(sec) = \frac{1}{BW} \tag{2.2}$$

Then by knowing the SP that we use in the transmission, the Chirp(Symbol) duration would be:

$$T_s(sec) = \frac{2^{SF}}{BW} \tag{2.3}$$

from Eq 2.3 we can see the direct effect of the SF and BW on the symbol timing which will have a big roll in controlling the TOA for a LoRaWAN Packet which is a very important parameter that is considered one of the Limitations of using LoRaWAN Network (TTN in our Case).

#### 2.1.3 Coding Rate(CR)

LoRa Modulation adds forward error correction (FEC) in every symbol transmission. The Coding Rate is a ratio that adds more bits to the transmitted bits for error detection/correction. LoRa Modulation allows the Code rate for the values of CR = 4/5, 4/6, 4/7, or 4/8. The general formula for the coding rate is:  $CRC = \frac{4}{(4+CR)}$  where CRC stands for Cyclic coding rate.

The adding of the FEC bits shall increase the signal immunity against noise but it reduces the transmission throughput and increases the transmission time.

CodingRate (RegModemConfig1)	Cyclic Coding Rate	Overhead Ratio	
1	4/5	1.25	
2	4/6	1.5	
3	4/7	1.75	
4	4/8	2	

Figure 2.6: Influence of the Coding Rate on the number of added bits[[10]]

# 2.2 LoRaWAN (MAC Layer)

LoRaWAN is a data-link protocol that manages how LoRa devices enter the network and how they exchange data, how much data-rate can be send/receive, the addresses they use, encryption, and other packet configurations. LoRaWAN could be compared to IP protocol while LoRa could be compared with Ethernet(As a physical layer).



Figure 2.7: LoRa and LoRaWAN on the OSI model [11]

#### 2.2.1 LoRaWAN Architecture

The Architecture of LoRaWAN has a topology of a star of the star (Which enhances a lot the reception of the data, since one packet can be received by many Gateways which increases the "success of packet delivery"). Architecture can be seen as in Fig 2.8.

It is worth to mention that unlike other LPWAN protocols(Like Sigfox), Lo-RaWAN is a bidirectional protocol that can give a good range of applications (

#### Architecture



Figure 2.8: LoRaWAN Architecture [12]

and algorithms) that needs two-way communications between the sensor node and the server.

#### LoRa Devices(End Devices)

They are electronic IoT embedded system that has the features of been low power consumption, small size, and low cost. To transmit through LoRa protocols, those devices must have a LoRa Radio.

#### LoRa Gateway

They are Electronic devices that have the ability to listen over multiple channels at the same time and on all spreading factors. Once they receive a LoRa frame they transmit its content to the Web-server using the internet. It is the link between the LoRa modulation and IP communication. Each LoRa Gateway has a unique identifier (64-bit EUI). This identifier is useful for register and activates a Gateway on a Network Server (We will talk about that in later chapters) Figure 2.9 describes the steps that a LoRa frame went through.



Figure 2.9: The Role of LoRaWAN Gateway

#### The Network Server

The Network Server receives the message from the Gateways, then it drops the duplicated messages (which came from different Gateways). The LoRaWAN Packet is authenticated using a 128-bit AES key called Network Session Key: NwkSKey.



Figure 2.10: Network Session Key Authentication

#### The Application Server

It separates the applications from each other. The registered LoRa devices can store their data (Frame Payload) using the Application server. The messages here are encrypted using a 128-bit AES key called Application Session Key: AppSKey.



Figure 2.11: Application Session Key Encryption

# 2.2.2 LoRaWAN Packet Format

We simply don't send the data directly using the LoRa Modulation, instead, there must be a frame for our data. The Frame of the LoRa Packet consists of:

- A preamble to allow the receiver to synchronize.
- Optional header (Used in the explicit mode)
- Data Payload
- CRC fields (checking the integrity of the frame).

The LoRa protocol data is called PHY Payload (physical layer data). a general overview of the LoRa frame would look as in fig2.12 As LoRaWAN is a different



Figure 2.12: Lora Packet format

layer protocol than LoRa then the frame has to be modified. A simple general view for the LoRaWAN frame would look like in fig2.13



Figure 2.13: LoRaWAN Simple Packet format



Figure 2.14: Spectrum Analyzer view of the Frame<sup>[6]</sup>

The Preamble is mandatory for every transmission to synchronize the receiver with the incoming data flow. By default, the packet is configured with 12.25 symbols long sequence[13]:

- 8 configurable symbols (npreamble)
- 2 sync word symbols
- 2.25 SFD symbols

The Structure of the LoRaWAN packet is well-defined in[14], the important thing to know is that sending an empty up-link message (with no payload data) will always have an overhead of  $13^1$  bytes(2.15) which we must include in our analysis when we want to calculate the Packet size or the Time On Air.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>13 bytes due to [MHDR(1) + DevAddr(4) + FCtrl(1) + FCnt(2) + Fport(1) + MIC(4)] in a packet with no options ( the payload size is independent from the encryption.)

```
        example
        : 80C02301260021000266EEA76CCE0C1BBC7A36F69F

        80
        C0230126
        00
        2100
        02
        66EEA76CCE0C1BBC
        7A36F69F

        MTYPE
        devaddr
        FCtrl
        FCnt
        FPort
        DATA
        MIC
```

Figure 2.15: Example of the format for a real LoRaWAN Packet

#### 2.2.3 Time On Air and Duty Cycle

The LoRaWAN standard requires that a LoRa Device does not transmit more than 1% of the time. This is called the Duty Cycle(DC). A Duty Cycle of 1% means that if the device transmits for 1 (time unit), it must stay off for 99% (time unit). This can be represented by  $\frac{T_{ON}}{(T_{ON}+T_{OFF})}$  while the Time On-air is the amount of time a packet(or signal) takes during its trip from the transmitter's antenna to the receiver's antenna.

The formulas to calculate the Time on air are:

- 1. Calculate the Symbol Rate as  $R_s = \frac{BW}{2^{SF}}$
- 2. Calculate the time of one symbol as  $T_s = \frac{1}{R_s}$
- 3. Calculate the Preamble duration as  $T_{Preamble} = (n_{Preamble} + 4.25)T_s$
- 4. Calculate the Number of Payload Symbols as  $N_{PayloadSymbols} = 8 + max(ceil(\frac{8PL-4SF+28+16-20H}{4(SF-2DE)})(CR+4),0)^{2}$
- 5.  $T_{Packet} = N_{PayloadSymbols} \times T_s$
- 6. Time on Air for LoRa Packet will be  $T_{packet} = T_{preamble} + T_{Packet}$

However we will use the fast approach with the air time calculator in [16]



#### Figure 2.16: LoRa Duty Cycle restriction

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> for details about the parameters definitions [15, 9].

If the maximum duty-cycle in a sub-band is denoted by "D" and the packet transmission time (Time On Air), each device must be silent in the sub-band for a minimum off-period  $T_{off} = TOA(\frac{1}{D} - 1)$ . TOA is proportionally affected by the Spreading Factor, longer TOA for higher SF and consequently longer off duration; this can be seen in the table below:

Data Rate (Spreading Factor)	Sensitivity	Time On Air	
SF7	-123.0 dBm	41 ms	
SF8	-126.0 dBm	72 ms	
SF9	-129.0 dBm	144 ms	
SF10	-132.0 dBm	288 ms	
SF11	-134.5 dBm	577 ms	
SF12	-137.0 dBm	991 ms	

Figure 2.17: Table – LoRaWAN spreading factor with TOA for 64-byte payload For a B.W. = 125 kHz for 500-meter distance [11]

We're going to use the "Air Time Calculator" in [17] to calculate the TOA concerning its payload size. The TOA for a packet that has no payload (only the overhead = 13 bytes) with different Spreading factors:

Airtime calculator for LoRaWAN									
AS923         AU915         AU915         DL         CN470         EU868         IN865         KR920         US915         US915         DL									
EU863-870 uplink and downlink									
			Overhead size <sup>⊕</sup>	Payload	l size <sup>©</sup>				
		- 13		+ - 0	+				
data rata	DR6 <sup>(i)</sup>	DR5	DR4	DR3	DR2	DR1 <sup>(i)</sup>	DR0 <sup>(i)</sup>		
uutu rute	<b>SF7</b> <sup>BW</sup> <sub>250</sub>	SF7 <sup>BW</sup> <sub>125</sub>	SF8 BW 125	SF9 <sup>BW</sup> <sub>125</sub>	SF10 <sup>BW</sup> <sub>125</sub>	SF11 <sup>BW</sup> <sub>125</sub>	SF12 <sup>BW</sup> <sub>125</sub>		
airtime	23.2 ms	46.3 ms	82.4 <sub>ms</sub>	164.9 <sub>ms</sub>	288.8 <sub>ms</sub>	577.5 <sub>ms</sub>	1,155.1 ms		
1% max	2.3 sec	4.6 <sub>sec</sub>	8.2 sec	16.5 sec	28.9 sec	57.8 <sub>sec</sub>	115.5 sec		
duty cycle	$1,553^{msg}$ /hour	776 <sup>msg</sup> /hour	436 <sup>msg</sup> /hour	218 <sup>msg</sup> /hour	124 <sup>msg</sup> /hour	62 <sup>msg</sup> /hour	31 /hour		
	66.7 <sup>sec</sup> (avg)	133.4 <sup>sec</sup> (avg)	$237.4_{\text{(avg)}}^{\text{sec}}$	$474.8_{\text{(avg)}}^{\text{sec}}$	831.7 <sup>sec</sup> (avg)	1,663.3 <sup>sec</sup> (avg)	3,326.6 <sup>sec</sup> (avg)		
fair access policy	54.0 <sup>avg</sup> /hour	27.0 <sup>avg</sup> /hour	15.2 <sup>avg</sup> /hour	7.6 <sup>avg</sup> /hour	4.3 <sup>avg</sup> /hour	2.2 <sup>avg</sup> /hour	1.1 avg /hour		
	1,294 <sup>msg</sup> /24h	647 <sup>msg</sup> /24h	363 /24h	181 <sup>msg</sup> /24h	103 <sup>msg</sup> /24h	51 <sup>msg</sup> /24h	25 <sup>msg</sup> /24h		

Figure 2.18: the air time and fair access policy for different data rates for empty payload packet

## 2.2.4 LoRaWAN Power Consumption

The consumption of a LoRa system depends on several parameters:

- 1. The quantity of data to be sent (Payload).
- 2. The Spreading Factor.
- 3. Any collisions during transmission (and therefore retransmission).
- 4. The request for acknowledgment of the frames sent.
- 5. The Duty-Cycle.
- 6. The transmission power of the transceiver.
- 7. The power consumed in standby between 2 transmissions.



Figure 2.19: Energy Consumption by Device Class[18]

To give a brief idea about the different levels of power consumption for each of the different end-device classes, see Fig 2.19.

#### 2.2.5 LoRaWAN Classes

End Devices in LoRaWAN also come in three classes, based on the profile of each class the reception window for Down-Link messages (from the Network) is defined, and also the Energy consumption( which reflect on the battery's life for the sensor). We are going to talk briefly about Class B, C and give more attention to class-A since it's the default mode for all Sensor Nodes.

#### Class A (All): Minimal power Application



Figure 2.20: Class-A transmit/receive profile [19]

All End devices must support class-A communications (our LoRa module uses that Class for transmission). It follows the "Aloha" transmission scheme which means any device can transmit it is message arbitrarily. The transmission profile of class-A is shown in fig 2.20. Class-A devices are sleeping most of the time, they wake up to transmit their up-link messages after that they start to listen after a programmed waiting time for predefined two consecutive receive windows(Rx1 and Rx2), the waiting time depends on regional parameters and they are defined for Europe to be 1 second for Rx1 and 2 seconds for Rx2. The Gateway can send on Rx1 or Rx2 but not both.

The duration of the Rx windows must be at least the duration of reception of a preamble. A preamble lasts  $12.25T_S$  (where  $T_S$  is the symbol time) and therefore depends on the Data Rate.

First reception window:

- 1. Slot RX1 is programmed by default at 1 second + / 20  $\mu s$  after the end of the Uplink transmission.
- 2. The frequency and the Data Rate (DR) are the same as those chosen during the transmission phase(Uplink).

Second reception window:

- 1. Slot RX2 is programmed by default at 2 seconds +/-20 µs after the end of the Uplink transmission.
- 2. The frequency and the Data Rate (DR) are configurable but also fixed.

The advantage of using Class-A is that it is the most power-efficient class among the three classes but it comes with a downside which is the Downlink messages are queued until the next time an uplink message is received(and an Rx window is open) if the up-link message was not successfully received, also a LoRa Device that only uses Class A cannot receive if it didn't transmit. hence it is not easily reachable.

#### Class B(Beacon)

Class B Devices behave the same as for Class A Devices, but other Reception windows are scheduled at specific times. To synchronize those Receiving windows, the Gateway must send beacons regularly. A Class B LoRa Device can be contacted regularly without necessarily being an uplink transmission. On the other hand, it consumes more than a class A device.



Figure 2.21: Class-B transmit/receive profile[19]

#### Class C (Continuous)

End devices in Class C mode are used when extremely low power consumption is not an issue, and latency needs to be minimized. The server-side application determines that it is managing class C devices during the join procedure. End devices operating in Class C mode have received windows that are almost always open. These windows close only when the device is transmitting. Because of this,
Class C end devices use more power to operate than Class A or Class B devices. However, in turn, they offer the lowest latency for communication from the server to an end device.



Figure 2.22: Class-C transmit/receive profile[19]

The Gateway used for the Downlink(DL) is the one that received the last message from the LoRa Device. If the LoRa Node never sends an Uplink, it can not ever have a Downlink, regardless of its class A, B, or C.

# 2.3 Authentication And Encryption

In this section we are going to discuss the issues related to LoRaWAN transmission security.

#### 2.3.1 Authentication with the Network Server

The Network Session Key(NwkSKey) is used to guarantee the authentication between the LoRa Device and the Network Server. To perform this authentication, a MIC field(Message Integrity Control) is added to the frame. It is calculated based on the data transmitted and the NwkSkey. On the receiver, the same calculation is made. If the NwkSkey is equivalent in the Device and the Network Server then the two calculated MICs must match. The process is shown in figure 2.23.



Figure 2.23: The MIC and frame authentication by the network server

# 2.3.2 The Application Serve data Encryption

The Application Session Key(AppSKey) is used for encrypting the data between the LoRa Device and the Application Server. Only if the data has the same key, it will be decoded. Data encryption/decryption is done as in figure 2.24.



Figure 2.24: Using the AppSKey to encrypt/decrypt the Data

## 2.3.3 The Full LoRaWAN Frame

The LoRaWAN Frame at the end will look like 2.25.



Figure 2.25: Encryption followed by the Authentication process

# 2.4 Activation of LoRa Devices: ABP or OTAA

In LoRaWAN, there are three essential elements for the communication to happens:

- 1. The DevAddr for Device identification.
- 2. NwkSKey for authentication.
- 3. The AppSKey for encryption.

LoRaWAN offers two methods to share those elements before the data transmission begin:

- 1. Activation By Personalization(ABP).
- 2. Over The Air Activation(OTAA).

# 2.4.1 Activation By Personalization(ABP)



Figure 2.26: Configuration of DevAddr, NwkSKey and AppSKey in ABP

It is the simplest of the two methods. It is very suitable to be used in the case of a LoRaWAN prototype test or communication setup. Its simplicity comes due to the fact that the LoRa Device, The Network server, and the Application Server, both have the DevAddr, the AppSKey, and the NwkSKey before any communication start. This can be shown in figure 2.26

# 2.4.2 Over The Air Activation(OTAA)



Before the Join Requist of the LoRa Device to the server

Figure 2.27: Configuration parameters before the Join Request (OTAA)

In the OTAA the DevAddr, the AppSKey, and the NwKSKey will be generated during the join request of the LoRa Device (at the beginning of the transmission) as can be seen in figure 2.27.

It happens only once at the 1st communication. But there are other parameters that must be known for both the LoRa Device and the Web Server before the communication starts, those are:

- 1. DevEUI: It is a unique identifier for the LoRa device Some LoRa Devices already have a factory supplied DevEUI.
- 2. AppEUI: A unique identifier for the server application.
- 3. Appkey: An AES 128 key used to generate the MIC (Message Code Integrity) during the Join Request. It is shared with the Network server.





After the negotiation of the LoRa Device to join the Network Server(Join Request), both the LoRa Device and the server will generate the essential parameters: DevAddr, NwkSKey, and AppSKey as can be seen in figure 2.28.

The generated parameters after the join request are:

- 1. NwkSKey: Used for authentication with the Network Server.
- 2. AppSKey: Used for data encryption.
- 3. DevAddr: Unique 32-bit identifier within a LoRa network.



Figure 2.29: Join Request - Join Accept in OTAA

The Scenario of the OTAA joint request as in (2.29) goes like this:

- 1. The LoRa Device initiates the Join-Request by sending DevEUI, AppEUI, and AppKey information to the server.
- 2. The Network Server then authenticates the Join Request and validates it. Then it generates a NwkSKey, an AppSKey, and a DevAddr.
- 3. The Network Server returns the DevAddr, as well as other parameters. Those parameters allow the LoRa Device to generate the same NwkSKey and AppSKey as the Web server.

# 2.5 LoRaWAN networks and servers

#### 2.5.1 The different types of networks

LoRaWAN networks can be used in three configurations:

- 1. Using the operated LoRaWAN networks offered by a telecom operators.
- 2. Using a private LoRaWAN network.
- 3. Using a dedicated network.

At the meantime there is no telecom operators in Italy that supports LoRaWAN coverage. We are going to demonstrate only the private LoRaWAN networks and the dedicated networks.

#### Private LoRaWAN networks



Figure 2.30: The Infrastructure of a private LoRaWAN network

Private networks give the implementation of the Gateway and the servers to the user, so the whole infrastructure to communicate with a LoRa device can be defined by the user. There are some well known open source servers like "Chirp Stack" [https://www.chirpstack.io/].

#### The dedicated LoRaWAN network

In this case, the Infrastructure of the LoRaWAN server is managed by the server provider(SP), while it gives the user the ability to install their own Gateways (and also using other users gateways), it's more like a gateway sharing community. The Things Network(TTN) is an example of that https://www.thethingsnetwork.org/ and we are going to use it in our test.



Figure 2.31: Infrastructure of a dedicated LoRaWAN network

	Private networks	Dedicated networks
Infrastructure Cost	GW and servers fees	only GW if user uses his own
Coverage	Optimized to user needs	Depends on the SP
UpLink MSG	Unlimited (but respect $1\%$ DC)	Depends on the SP
DownLink MSG	Unlimited (but respect 1% DC)	Depends on the SP

Table 2.1: comparison between a private network and an dedicated network

# 2.6 LoRa Limitations

Knowing the Limitations of technology is a key element to define whether or not it's going to satisfy the need or not. And like all other technologies, LoRaWAN has its own pros and cons, and in this section, we're going to mention them.

## 2.6.1 ISM Band Limitations

According to The European Telecommunications Standards Institute ETSI to work in the ISM Band must follow some rules to avoid the over-crowded and interference when using the band, there are two main restrictions that LoRaWAN must follow for working on this Band which are:

- 1. 1% Duty Cycle (for the standard sub-Bands)
- 2. EIRP of 14dBm (25mW) in Europe

#### 2.6.2 LoRa Technology Limitations

1. LoRa has a maximum Data Rate of 11Kb/sec (SF = 7)



Figure 2.32: LoRaWAN Duty Cycle and EIRP limitations for Up-Link and Down-link [20]

2. Packet size can't exceed 256 bytes/transmission

There are other limitations that are imposed by the network server provider as we will show in the next chapter.

# Chapter 3 Design and Implementation

In this section, we're going to describe our System component and their interaction by presenting the system architecture and how the implementation process was done.

# 3.1 The System Design

We start here by describing the System architecture and the involved components.

# 3.1.1 Architecture

Our system is composed of:

- 1. Sensor Node: Which is based on the FiPy Board with its Expansion board 3.1 to collect the sensors data and handle the transmission of the packets via LoRaWAN Transceiver (embedded module).
- 2. The Gateway:

LoRaWAN architecture allows the packets to be received by more than one Gateway, The Gateway "Polito" which is established in the Politecnico Di Torino was considered to be the system gateway for the Tests.

- 3. **TTN Web Server**: It's a web server that provides open tools and a global, open network to build IoT applications at low cost, featuring maximum security and ready to scale (but that also comes with more limitations).
- 4. Node-Red: It is a visual tool designed for the IoT, It quickly assembles flows of various services. It enables users to stitch together Web services and hardware by replacing common low-level coding tasks, and this can be



Figure 3.1: "Polito" Gateway on Google map

done with a visual drag-drop interface. Various components in Node-RED are connected to create a flow. Most of the code needed is created automatically.



Figure 3.2: The LoRaWAN System Architecture

Figure 3.2 represents the generic interconnection of the elements. The Sensors are sending packets to TTN through the TTN registered gateways (gateways that are registered on the TTN web-server), the locations of the gateways nearby in the city of Turin are shown in figure 3.3, after that, we use the feature that TTN provides which is the integration with the Node-Red through the MQTT Protocol. Once the packet arrives Node-Red platform, it can be stored, analyzed, or visualized with many possibilities.

#### 3.1.2 Components

#### LoRaWAN Sensors Node

FiPy is the first 5-network development board on the market which is offered by Pycom company. It features WiFi, BLE, LoRa, Sigfox, and LTE-M it's programmed with Micro-python. It's based on the famous ESP32  $\mu$ Conteroller supplied by 3.3V-5.5V, the  $\mu$ Conteroller is connected through a high-speed SPI connection to the



**Figure 3.3:** TTN Map of the registered Gateways (near the test position in Torino City)

SX1272 Semtech chip which is responsible for performing the LoRa modulation. The block diagram of FiPy is shown in Figure 3.5

The FiPy was used with the Lora 868MHz Band antenna as the experiment is conducted in Europe which is a standard dipole with a gain of 2.15 dbi.

Using the FiPy with its expansion board allows access to the Board using Mini-USB cables directly or via WiFi connection. The development environment that was used is the Pymark plugin using the Visual Studio Code editor.

**DHT11–Temperature and Humidity Sensor** The DHT11 is a commonly used temperature and humidity sensor. The sensor comes with a dedicated NTC to measure temperature and an 8-bit microcontroller to output the values of temperature and humidity as serial data. The sensor is also factory calibrated and hence easy to interface with other microcontrollers. The sensor measurement range for the temperature starts from 0°C to 50°C with an accuracy of  $\pm 2°$ C and the measurement range for the humidity starts from 20% to 90% with an accuracy of  $\pm 5\% RH$  [22]. To extract the sensor data with the FiPy the Library dth.py was used as it features the required methods to do the job, in the following lines we demonstrate a part of the code to initialize and extract the sensor data.



Design and Implementation

Figure 3.4: FiPy board and its expansion board

```
1 from dth import DTH  # Custom Library of the dHT11 sensor
2
3 # creating DHT11 Object on pin 3 of the Fipy
4 DHT_11 = DTH(Pin('P3', mode=Pin.OPEN_DRAIN),0)
```

# Using the dth library to extract the sensor measurements from the DTH11 sensor line 25.

Using the method read() from the dth library to read the Temperature and the humidity from the sensor, if the results were valid data ( 4 bytes that represent the Temperature and the humidity ) then the data will be printed on the Visual studio Console (for debugging). Then the results for both Temperature and humidity were stored in two separate variables to be transmitted using the LoRaWAN socket which we'll demonstrate later in this chapter.



Figure 3.5: FiPy System block diagram[21]



Figure 3.6: Lora 868MHz Antenna

```
1 result = DHT_11.read()
2 if result.is_valid():
3 pycom.rgbled(0x001000) # green
4 print("Temperature: %d C" % result.temperature)
5 print("Humidity: %d %%" % result.humidity)
6 hum = result.humidity
7 temp = result.temperature
```

#### Assigning the Temperature and the humidity values line 56.

The console output will be like: So at this point, we're sure that our sensor is

PROBLEMS	OUTPUT	TERMINAL	DEBUG CONSOLE
Temperatur	e: 26 C		
Humidity:	51 %		
Temperatur	e: 26 C		
Humidity:	51 %		
Temperatur	e: 26 C		
Humidity:	51 %		
Temperatur	e: 26 C		
Humidity:	51 %		
Π			

sending the data to the FiPy which collects this data properly.

#### The Things Network (TTN)



Figure 3.7: TTN Console

As previously described TTN is a dedicated web server that's dedicated to the LoRaWAN IoT Applications by allowing the users to configure and monitor their LoRaWAN applications and also their gateways through the TTN Console as shown in figure 3.7.

We configured our application using the necessary values for the ABP authentication because as we mentioned in 2.4 it is simpler and faster for prototyping purpose and testing. The configuration window is shown in figure 3.8.

**Payload format** The FiPy was programmed to concatenate the Temperature and humidity readings and send them using the "ustruct" library which returns several bytes needed to store a given data input, this was done due to two facts, 1st

THE THINGS CONSOLE	Applications	Gateways	Support
Applications > Add Application			
ADD APPLICATION			
Application ID The unique identifier of your application on the network			
fipy-2020		0	
Description A human readable description of your new app			
Lora Fipy		0	
Application EUI An application EUI will be issued for The Things Network block for convenience, you can add your own in the application settings page.			
EUI issued by The Things Network			
Handler registration Select the handler you want to register this application to			
ttn-handler-eu		0	

Figure 3.8: registering our application in the TTN applications

the "send" socket of the LoRaWAN requires the input argument to be in the "bytes" format, 2nd TTN strongly advice the users to always use the binary encoding for their payload data [23].

```
Con_Data = int (str(temp)+str(hum))
Data_11 = ustruct.pack('h', Con_Data)
s.send(Data_11)
```

Constructing the Packet byte format in-order to be send using the LoRa socket line 65.

APPLI	САТІО	NC	ΑΤΑ			
511	uplin	k	downlink	activation	ack	error
Filters	time		counter	port		
<b>^</b> 1	5:27:51		241	2		payload: BF
<b>•</b> 1	5:27:31		239	2		payload: BF
U	plink					
P	ayload					
	BF ØA	Ē				
Fi	elds					
no	fields					

Figure 3.9: Payload in hexadecimal representation

The transmitted packet can be checked on the TTN web server page which also gives many valuable Metadata about the transmission parameters but we'll demonstrate the payload first; it will look as shown in figure 3.9 which is represented in hexadecimal form.

TTN provide a convenient tool that lets the server do payload decoding for the user to see meaningful data on the web UI and this is done by writing a JavaScript decoding function under the section called "payload format". The function can be tested using a payload that's inserted by the user (we used a real payload from the application page).

THETHINGS CONSOLE	Applications	Gateways	Support
Applications > 🤤 fipy-2020 > Payload Formats			
PAYLOAD FORMATS			
Payload Format The payload format sent by your devices			
Custom			\$
decoder converter validator encoder		rem	iove decoder
<pre>function Decoder(bytes, port) {     a     function Decoder(bytes, port) {         var temperature = bytes[1]&lt;&lt;8   bytes[0];         var humidity = bytes[1]&lt;&lt;8   bytes[0];         return {             return {</pre>			
Payload			
BE OA	2 bytes	1	Test
<pre>{    "humidity": 50,    "temperature": 28 }</pre>			
(		<ul> <li>Payload was va</li> </ul>	lid

Figure 3.10: Payload decoder function

After that we can see the received data as show in the following figure 3.11. Notice that the payload represented on the TTN application web page is the decrypted payload, if we want to check the encrypted data we can look at the TTN gateway page to find out the size of the encrypted data as it will look like in figure 3.12.

Design and Implementation

	SS CON	SOLE	N						Applications	Gateways	Supp	ort
Aţ	pplications	> 🤘 fi	ipy-2020 >	Devices	> 💼	fipy-2020 >	Data					
	APPLIC	ATION	DATA								pause	t <u>clear</u>
	Filters	uplink	downlink	activation	ack	error						
	<b>•</b> 15	time :51:10	counter 380	port 2		payload: BEC	A humidity: 5	ð temperature: 28				Â
	<ul> <li>15</li> <li>Up</li> <li>Pay</li> <li>B</li> <li>Fie</li> </ul>	5:51:01 blink yload E 0A	379	2		payload: BEC	)A humidity: 5	ð temperature: 28				l
	{	"humidity "temperatu	": 50, ure": 28									

Figure 3.11: Payload in human readable format

Gateways > 🏷 eui-24	62abfffeb5502	4 > Traff	ic beta					
GATEWAY TRAF	FIC beta							
uplink downlink	join			0 bytes	×			II <u>pause</u> 🗂 <u>clear</u>
time fr	equency mo	d. CR	data rate	airtime (	ns)	cnt		
<ul> <li>15:38:29</li> <li>Uplink</li> <li>Dev Address</li> <li>26 01 28 3F</li> <li>Network: The Th Net ID: 0x13</li> <li>Region: World</li> <li>Physical Payloa</li> </ul>	868.1 loi	ra 4/5	SF 7 BW 125	4	.3	7 dev addr: 26 01 28 3F	payload size: 15 bytes	

Figure 3.12: An encrypted LoRaWAN Packet

As we mentioned in the LoRaWAN tech. section the overhead is 13 bytes (default) which explains why the Payload on the gateway Console is 15 bytes (since our application uses only 2 bytes for the payload data). The Payload Metadata

One of the most valuable information that the user gets from the TTN server is the Metadata which shows many important parameters that contribute to the reception of the data packet Those parameters are shown in figure 3.13 .those are the parameters that we're going to use in our experimental field test of the

	Applications	Gateways	Support
Applications > 🥪 fipy-2020 > Devices > 📰 fipy-2020 > Data			
Filters uplink downlink activation ack error			
time counter port			
}			*
Metadata			. II.
<pre>"time": "2020-07-15T13:58:54.0276201412", "frequency": 868.1, "dot_relation: "LORA", "dot_rate": "5778M125", "coding_rate": "4/5", "gateways": [</pre>			
Estimated Airtime			
30.976 ms			

Figure 3.13: The Metadata of the received packet

LoRaWAN technology which will reflect us the range, data rate (throughput) and the delay.

#### 3.1.3 TTN Limitations

We had mentioned before the limitations of using the ISM Band along with the LoRaWAN technology limitations; Now as we're going to use the TTN web-server we must know its limitations and rules as well. According to [24] the TTN limitations which are imposed when using the TTN server (known as the fair access policy for The Things Network's- public community network) states that The Payload data for each end-device can send As :

- An average of 30 seconds up-link TOA, per 24 hours, per device.
- At most 10 downlink messages per 24 hours, including the ACKs for confirmed up-links.
- Devices with fixed hard coded spreading factors of SF12 or SF11 are not allowed to join the network.

#### 3.1.4 Node-Red

Node-RED is a programming tool for wiring together hardware devices, APIs, and online services. Primarily, it is a visual tool designed for the Internet of Things, but it can also be used for other applications to very quickly assemble flows of various services. It enables users to stitch together Web services and hardware by replacing common low-level coding tasks (like a simple service talking to a serial port), and this can be done with a visual drag-drop interface. Various components in Node-RED are connected to create a flow. Most of the code needed is created automatically.



Figure 3.14: Node-Red Flow

#### Why Node-Red?

The need for extracting and storing the Meta Data of the payload message is the main reason why the researcher used Node-Red since the storage integration capability that's offered by TTN doesn't provide (store) the Metadata of the messages. Also, the web Console of TTN only stores the data until the browser buffer will overflow then all the data will be lost hence the need for an application to store those data. Once we have the data stored we can analyze it as we want.

#### Node-Red Payload and Metadata flow

Node-Red really makes it easy for us to do our measurements as it provides ready-made Nodes that Emulate the Up-link(or Down-link) of the TTN Nodes (or Gateways). A Flow has been developed to extract the LoRa packet from the TTN web-server and store the data in a .csv file, the flow is shown in figure 3.15.

Describing the flow from left to right, 1st we use the **ttn up-link** which is the connection between Node-red and TTN server, the output of this node is split into two branches one that goes to the **function** Node which is used to extract the

Design and Implementation



Figure 3.15: Node-Red Flow for extracting the up-link messages from TTN

needed parameters from the received up-link messages, this node is programmed in JavaScript to extract the following parameters:

- Message Counter (Counter)
- Message payload data (PL)
- Data Rate (DataRate)
- RSSI (rssi)
- SNR (snr)
- The time which the gateway received the uplink message (Time)
- Gateway ID (GW\_ID)
- Gateway Location (lat, lng, alt)

The JavaScript code for this node is in the appendix. The other branch of the output goes to a Node called **Interval length** which gives the ability to measure the time difference between two consecutive messages[25]. From this node, we can measure the delay that occurs between the up-link messages, Notice that **we can't measure the accurate latency of the system** since we don't control the clock sources that go to the different stages of the system like the TTN and the Gateway and we will discuss this in the next chapter.



Figure 3.16: Tracking the timing of the LoRaWAN packet

The Extracted data will then be stored in a .csv file to do the analysis later. In the next section, we're going to demonstrate the measurements and the results that had been done.

#### 3.1.5 Firmware Flowchart

As the system components have been described, here we demonstrate the flowchart that the system follows to establish the LoRa connection between the Sensor node and the gateway. The transmission is non-confirmed so the sensor node doesn't wait for any response from the GWs(in the Rx Windows as described in 2.20). The Firmware was cited in Appendix A.



Figure 3.17: LoRa Up-Link transmission Flowchart

# Chapter 4 Measurements and Results

After defining the main parts of the proposed design and system methodology along with the main aspects and structure of the testbed that is going to be used to test the system performance and the reliability of the communication scheme prototype in the previous chapter, in this chapter, the measurements and results are going to be discussed. We will focus on the investigation of the scheme performance in different scenarios to examine its capabilities and to check how it behaves in special environments and under special circumstances to show its abilities to fit these particular uses.

# 4.1 The System Criteria

To Evaluate the system properly we have to have reference parameters that we can compare with the results we get from the real measurements, so we can know what the technology can satisfy and what it can not. The following are the criticalities for the system:

- 1. Should cover a range of at least 600 meters.
- 2. The update time for up-link messages should be < 300 Sec
- 3. Should be able to handle the transmission of 20 bytes of data within every transmission interval.

Hence the objective of the measurements is evaluating the applicability of the LoRaWAN technology to satisfy those criteria or no.

# 4.2 Test Scenarios

As we want to evaluate the performance of the technology with our critical parameters, The scenarios and the measurement of the experiment will go like this:

- 1. We will be testing the LoRaWAN coverage distance, this will be done by transmitting 100 LoRaWAN packets from four test points and we will observe the success of the reception of the packets (which indicated by the Packet Deliver Rate PDR) and also observe how the spreading factor(SF) could affect the PDR. We will also examine the effect of the packet size on PDR by using two different packet lengths, one will be 20 bytes and the other will be 51 bytes.
- 2. Considering the TTN policy and the ETSI duty cycle restriction (for the 868MHz Band) we will quantify the maximum achievable data rate w.r.t a payload data of 20 bytes.
- 3. After defining the maximum data rate which satisfies the TTN and ETSI constraints, we will measure the actual delay that the packets take through their transmission journey from the Sensor Node until it reaches the Application server(Node-Red).

# 4.2.1 The Size of The Payload

The short payload size was determined based on the data ingredients of our sensor node which is:

- 1. ID (2-bytes).
- 2. 4xPM2.5:(10 bits/Sensor).
- 3. 4xPM10:(10 bit/Sensor).
- 4. TEMP:(1-byte).
- 5. HUM:(1-byte).
- 6. RTC:(4-byte).
- 7. GPS:(from 2 to 8 bytes Future Version)

The Sensor Node Box contains 4 of PM2.5 sensors and 4 of PM10 sensors, which in total produce 80 bits/sec (10 bytes).

## 4.2.2 Measurements Points Geo-locations

The measurement test was set as following, four test points were chosen in the city of Turin to measure the performance of the technology. As was mentioned in the previous chapter 3.3 that TTN provides a gateways map that shows the distribution of the registered gateways that forward the packets to the TTN server. we had noticed that sometimes an unknown gateway that is not trusted (registered to TTN server with fake locations) receives the packets, to avoid those Gateways the Gateway which is installed in the polytechnic university of Turin (registered under the name Polito) was considered the reference Gateway to the sensor Node's test points. The four test points are chosen to demonstrate different transmission environment, we try to emulate the Line of Sight(LOS), Non-Line of Sight(NLOS), relatively short and long distances.



Figure 4.1: Map of the Test Points in the city of Turin[Google Earth]

Point 1 is a far LOS from "Polito" GW with few blocking buildings with height < 100 m across the sight. Point 2 is a short-range LOS with few buildings in the vicinity. Point 3 has a lot of buildings that block the view of the GW. Point 4 is a far distance with an environment that's in front of a water surface (river) where there're moving boats and many other obstacles. The chosen points to do the test are listed in Table 4.1 below

#### 4.2.3 Test setup

The measurement test was set as following, four test points were chosen in the city of Turin to run the tests. The bandwidth will be fixed BW = 125 KHz and code rate = 4/5 for all the measurements. The Gateway "Polito" was chosen to be the reference Gateway and its geographical information is shown in Table 4.1.

LoRaWAN architecture as mentioned in 2.2.1 follows "star of star" topology,

Point Number	Distance from Polito Gw(Km)	Latitude	Longitude
1	2.88 LOS	45.039404	7.645806
2	0.6 LOS	45.057617	7.659197
3	1.11 NLOS	45.061521	7.648052
4	2.59 NLOS	45.060447	7.694892
Gw	0	45.064167	7.6597

Measurements and Results

Table 4.1: Test Points of the Experiment

which allows many GWs to receive the same packet. The TTN GW map tells us about the registered Gateways in the city of Turin as shown in 3.3. But besides those "Registered" GW there are also some gateways that are not registered as "Trusted" in the TTN server which may have non-accurate location data about them. we had to deal with one of them since the TTN web-server some times ignore the reception of the same packet from multiple GW and start to ignore some of the GW which leads to the ignorance of the GW "polito" some times. This gateway which seems that it can received our packet from a distance of 60Km as

Figure 4.2: Same Packet received by two gateways



Figure 4.3: The un-trusted gateway's location w.r.t sensor node

shown in figure 4.2 under the GW ID = "EUI-60C5a8fffeb55024" which receives the same packet as GW ID = "polito", taking the coordinates of this GW and put it in Google map we get 4.3 (which is pretty impressive if it was real), we are not denying that LoRa can achieve this long range (there are many tests that had done much longer range[26], but the fact that there are many GW around the sensor node (As shown in 3.3) and the experiment was done in the urban city of Turin, all that create suspicious about the location of this gateway and hence in order to quantify the measurements of the PDR we are going to consider only the packets received by the Gateway "Polito" since it is defined by TTN as "registered Gateway" which means that its location information is accurate and hence we can be confident about our distance measurements that it represents accurate information about the distance.

$$PDR(\%) = \left(\frac{R}{T}\right) \times 100 \tag{4.1}$$

The transmitting power is automatically handled by TTN so it's not one of the developing variable parameters (unless the transmission is only LoRa). The PDR is a measure of how many packets has been delivered successfully and it can be calculated as in Eq 4.1.

Where:

- 1. R is the successful received packets
- 2. T is the Number of the Total transmitted packets

# 4.2.4 Range Test

Besides the General measurement specifications that had been mentioned in the previous section, the range test will have an additional setup to observe the Packet length on the range, we are going to use two packet lengths, one with the real data of the DHT11 sensor (which is 2 bytes size) and the other one with a fixed payload data that will be relatively big (51 bytes) both will be tested Concerning LoRaWAN parameters and will be given the names *Short Payload* and *Long Payload* respectively. A 100 (unconfirmed) packets will be transmitted from the sensor node to the Gateway.

Data Size	SF	BW	CR	Pkt numbers	MSG Type
2 Bytes	7,9,12	125KHz	4/5	100	Unconfirmed

Table 4.2: Short Payload Packet configurations



Figure 4.4: Packet Deliver Rate with different test points

Data Size	SF	BW	CR	Pkt numbers	MSG Type
51 Bytes	7,9,12	125KHz	4/5	100	Unconfirmed

 Table 4.3: Long Payload Packet configurations



**Figure 4.5:** PDR for the Long payload

Figures 4.4 and 4.5 demonstrate the results of the PDR for every test point with varying the spreading factor for Short and Long Payload respectively. SF12 achieves almost 100% for all the distances for Short and Long Payloads. SF7 and SF9 showed unstable behaviors regardless of what was expected, In Short Payload it was expected that TP2 will have the highest PDR, since it is the nearest to our Gateway, but the results showed that TP3 was a better performance for those SF. The reason for this behavior is due to the different types of interference that surround the LoRa Device during the experiment time (vehicle, bus movements, and maybe other radio signals) since TP2 is a bus station. If we observe Figure 4.5 where the same experiment was conducted, we can see a better behavior for the same Spreading factors (7 and 9). Although the Payload this time is much bigger than the 1st experiment (more than 90% PDR for TP2). We can also see a clear reduction in the PDR in the Long Payload case as the distance increases (as in TP3, TP4) which comply with the physical description of the SF(2.1.2) as was described in Ch.2. A critical note to consider here, that those measurements PDR were taking only w.r.t. "Polito" GW, however, TTN web-server shows that a

much higher PDR than that for packets reception but it was through other GWs (which we discarded as mentioned previously). also, the range performance can be enhanced by increasing the code-rate, as we used the minimum CR = 4/5 however if we used the CR = 4/8 we can have a longer range (better reception for the same Node) but the downside is that we are going to lose the bit-rate.

# 4.2.5 Throughput of the System

Now we want to evaluate the performance of LoRaWAN in terms of its maximum rate of data that packets can be transmitted with. General speaking we can theoretically know the data rate that we can get corresponding to every SF by The following Equation[15]:

$$DR = SF \times \frac{BW}{2^{SF}} \times \frac{4}{(4+CR)}$$

Where:

- 1. DR = Data rate
- 2. SF = Spreading factor packets (7-12)
- 3. BW = Bandwidth(Hz)
- 4. CR = Coding rate (1-4)

Also, a very handy calculator [16] provided by Semtech can calculate the bit rate of the transmission rapidly as shown in Figure 4.6.

lculator	Energy Profile								
Calc	ulator Inputs			Selected Configuration	n				
ΙΓ	LoRa Modem Setting	js			VR PA				
	Spreading Factor	7	~						
	Bandwidth	125	~ kHz		RFO		TX		
	Coding Rate	1	~ 4/CR+4		RFID				
	Low Datarate	Optimiser On			1	Ę	Ē		
1	Packet Configuration	1		P	reamble		Pavload	CRC	
	Payload Length	2	Bytes						
	Programmed Preamble	8	Symbols	Calculator Outputs					
	Total Preamble Length	12.25	Symbols	Timing Performan	ce				
	Header Mode	Explicit Header	Enabled	Equivalent Bitrate	5468.75	bps	Time on Air	25.86	ms
	CRC Enabled	Enabled		Preamble Duration	12.54	ms	Symbol Time	1.02	ms
	RF Settings								
	Centre Frequency	865000000	÷ Hz	RF Performance			Consumptio	n	
	Transmit Power	14	dBm	Link Budget	137	dB	Transmit	44	mA
	Hardware Implementation	RFIO is Shared		Receiver Sensitivity	-123	dBm	CAD/Rx	10.8	mA
	Compatible SX Produ	rete 1272 1273 12	76 1277	Max Crystal Offset	36.1	ppm	Sleep	100	nA

Figure 4.6: Bit Rate for SF = 7

BW(KHz)	CR	Evaluated Bit Rate(bps)
125	4/5	5468
125	4/5	3125
125	4/5	1775
125	4/5	976
125	4/5	537
125	4/5	292
	BW(KHz) 125 125 125 125 125 125 125	BW(KHz)         CR           125         4/5           125         4/5           125         4/5           125         4/5           125         4/5           125         4/5           125         4/5           125         4/5           125         4/5           125         4/5           125         4/5           125         4/5

Measurements and Results

 Table 4.4:
 The Evaluated bit-rate for different SF

We can find out the Bit Rate for the other Spreading factors and set a table for them as shown in Table 4.4. Note that Bandwidth can increase the bit rate but it is only controllable by LoRa transmission (not LoRaWAN).

#### 4.2.6 Byte-Rate Suitability For The Task

We shall begin here to analyze the applicability of the data rate that LoRaWAN support for our system, we will also consider the limitations of the duty cycle and TTN fair access policy and see if the technology can deliver the packets at a reasonable rate or not.

#### Packet Timing Analysis

We will start our analysis simple by examining the time it takes to transmit a 20-byte payload packet taking into consideration all the restrictions. Knowing that the overhead for LoRaWAN Packet (minimum without payload) is 13 bytes and using the air time calculator [17] we can find out a good approximation of how long our packet shall be on the air TOA, the time the device should be sleep after that and how many packets (message) should be sent during the hour. So the total packet size will be 33 bytes (20 + 13).

From fig 4.8 we can see that SF=12 is the critical case for the data rate with the highest limitations on the duty cycle and the maximum number of a packet per day for transmitting a 20-byte payload LoRaWAN packet on the EU868 Band, analyzing the results of this SF in details would be :

- 1. For SF=12, TOA = 1810.4 ms for a 33-byte Packet.
- 2.  $T_{off} = 181$  Seconds between subsequent packets (1% Duty Cycle).



Figure 4.7: Evaluating the Bit-rate for every SF, (BW = 125Khz)

3. TTN Access policy limits the total number of transmitted packets per day to 16 MSG/day (for transmitting the whole day).

So, TTN only allow us to send 16 Message per day using the SF12. while the Maximum packet rate that the weather station must not exceeds is 5 min. hence using SF12 is not applicable for our system. An important thing to notice here is that the Fair Access policy for TTN does not exist with a private web-servers.

Combining the information of the bit rate with the LoRaWAN transmission restrictions we can now know that the suitable mode for transmission for our system is the SF7 and under the limitations of the TTN web-server, this should imply a bit Rate of 5.5Kbps with a  $T_{off} = 3.5minuets$ , also notice that the  $T_{off}$  increases or decreases depending on the size of the packet.

		20000 0/0 di				
overhead size $^{\circ}$		verhead size <sup>©</sup>	payload size $^{\odot}$ share $^{\odot}$			
	- 13	+	20	+ Ø		
DR6 <sup>©</sup>	DR5	DR4	DR3	DR2	DR1 <sup>①</sup>	DR0 <sup>①</sup>
SF / 250	SF / 125	SF8125	SF9125	SF10125	SF11 125	SF12125
36.0 <sub>ms</sub>	71.9 <sub>ms</sub>	133.6 <sub>ms</sub>	246.8 <sub>ms</sub>	452.6 <sub>ms</sub>	987.1 ms	1,810.4 <sub>ms</sub>
3.6 <sub>sec</sub> 1,000 <sup>msg</sup> /hour	7.2 sec 500 /hour	13.4 <sub>sec</sub> 269 <sup>msg</sup> /hour	24.7 <sub>sec</sub> 145 <sup>msg</sup> /hour	45.3 sec 79 <sup>msg</sup> /hour	98.7 <sub>sec</sub> 36 <sup>msg</sup> /hour	181.0 <sub>sec</sub> 19/ <sup>msg</sup> /hour
103.6 <sup>sec</sup> <b>34.8</b> <sup>syg</sup> 834 <sup>/sg</sup>	207.2 <sup>sec</sup> 17.4 <sup>seg</sup> 417 <sup>msg</sup> / <sub>24h</sub>	384.9 <sup>sec</sup> 9.4 <sup>avg</sup> 224 <sup>msg</sup> /24h	710.7 <sup>sec</sup> <b>5.1</b> wg 121 <sup>msg</sup> /24h	1,303.5 <sup>sec</sup> <b>2.8</b> <sup>avg</sup> 66 <sup>msg</sup>	2,843.0 <sup>sec</sup> <b>1.3</b> <sup>xyg</sup> 30 <sup>msg</sup> / <sub>24h</sub>	5,214.0 <sup>sec</sup> <b>0.7</b> %gur 16 <sup>msg</sup> /24h
	DR6 SF7250 36.0ms 3.6sec 1,000/moor 103.6% 34.8% 834/25%	د بر المراحم	OR6         O         DR5         DR4           SF7250         SF7125         SF8125           36.0ms         71.9ms         133.6ms           3.6sec         7.2sec         134.sec           1,000/h54r         207.2f859         384.9f869           34.88/h54r         17.4 h564r         9.4 h564r	Overhead size <sup>®</sup> payload size <sup>®</sup> 13         +         -         20           DR6         DR5         DR4         DR3           SF772550         SF77135         SF878125         SF97135           36.0 ms         71.9 ms         133.6 ms         246.8 ms           3.6 sec         7.2 sec         13.4 sec         247.5 mg           103.6 fcso         207.2 fcso         384.9 fcso         710.7 fcso           34.8 msur         17.4 msur         24.4 msur         5.1 msur           417 m26         24.4 msur         121 msur         121 msur	verthead size         payload size         share           13         1         20         1 <td< th=""><th>verthead size<sup>o</sup>       payload size<sup>o</sup>       share<sup>o</sup>         DR6       DR5       DR4       DR3       DR2       DR1       DR1         SF7550       SF750</th></td<>	verthead size <sup>o</sup> payload size <sup>o</sup> share <sup>o</sup> DR6       DR5       DR4       DR3       DR2       DR1       DR1         SF7550       SF750

EU863-870 uplink and downlink

For EU863-870, the LoRaWAN Regional Parameters 1.0.2 Rev B as used by the TTN community network, define duty-cycled limited transmissions to comply with the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) regulations. In ETSI, most bands use a maximum duty cycle of 1%, but some use 0.1% and 10%.

#### Figure 4.8: LoRaWAN Timing for 20 bytes Payload packet

Packet Size	SF	TOA(ms)	DC $1\%(sec)$	Sleep Time(min.)	Max. MSG/Day
33	7	71.9	7.2	3.5	417
33	8	133.6	413.4	6.4	224
33	9	246.8	24.7	11.8	121
33	10	452.6	45.3	21.7	66
33	11	987.1	98.7	47.4	30
33	12	1810.4	181	86.9	16

Table 4.5: Timing Analysis for 20 byte Payload

# 4.2.7 Can we do better ?

A more efficient suggestion for the Air quality station's packet is:

#### Packet reduction

We can take advantage that the LoRaWAN packet already contains the device ID, so we don't need to waste another 2 bytes to transmit information that is already included(we only need to extract it from the meta-data of the payload). Also using the advantage that LoRaWAN Packet contains the timestamp within can make us save the RTC data which reserve 4 bytes of the packet, Now our packet shall
contain the following:

- 1. PM2.5:(40 bits)
- 2. PM10:(40 bits)
- 3. TEMP:(1-byte)
- 4. HUM:(1-byte)

now we have a reduction from 20 bytes to only 12 bytes of data which can be send using TTN every 2.7 minutes and every 10 seconds if we are going to use a private web-server.

#### Data averaging and SSB

The fact that weather data is not a rapidly changing type of data(per seconds), hence we don't need to send the data at the same rate as the sensors produce it, instead, we can use a simple technique like introducing a Sensors States Byte(SSB) which is simply a byte that gives us an indication if there is any change happened in the sensor's readings. and we can use it as the default transmission unless there is a change in the sensor's measurement.



Figure 4.9: An Example of our suggested Sensors State Byte

The SSB byte could be constructed like in figure 4.9. Where "State" bit is an indication if there is any change happens in any of the measurements of any of the sensors, so at the receiver side the check can only be done on that bit, if it = 0 then no change happens in any of the readings, if it = 1 then the proceeding sensor's bits must be checked to know which sensor(s) measurement had changed. The 3 least significant bits can be used as an indicator to the web-server of the upcoming data packet, we can set our own coding for those 3 bits. For example, we can establish a table of the upcoming measurement that will be sent like:

An algorithm can be set on the sensor node to follow a specific pattern whenever the measurement of any of the sensors changes and/or with a specific period of time.

The normal pattern of transmission now is reduced to 1 byte(14 bytes in total) per transmission, using LoRa air time calculator, this gives us the possibility to

Measurements and Results

Sensor Measurement	X1	X2	X3	Size(bytes)	TTN $T_{off}(\min)$	$1\% T_{off}(sec)$
PM10	0	0	0	2	2.2	4.6
PM2.5	0	0	1	2	2.2	4.6
PM10+PM2.5	0	1	0	4	2.4	5.1
Temperature	0	1	1	1	2.2	4.6
Humidity	1	0	0	1	2.2	4.6
GPS	1	0	1	4	2.4	5.1
RTC	1	1	0	4	2.4	5.1
All Measurements	1	1	1	10	3.3	6.2

Table 4.6: Encoding of the Last 3 LSB of the SSB

transmit a message every 2.2 minutes using TTN and every 5 seconds if we use a private web-server.

So we can send a Full packet with the sensor's data once every few minutes then keep transmitting only the SSB until a change happens in the measurement of one of the sensors, the difference between the duty cycle of the full sensor measurements and the SSB is one minute for the amount of the sensor data that we have at the moment but as the data may get bigger, this difference becomes larger.

		- 13	EU863-870 up verhead size <sup>©</sup>	payload size <sup>©</sup>	rnlink share <sup>⊙</sup> +		
data rate	DR6 <sup>(1)</sup>	DR5	DR4 SF8125	DR3 SF9125	DR2 SF10125	DR1 <sup>①</sup> <b>SF11</b> <sup>10</sup>	DR0 <sup>(1)</sup> SF12 <sup>825</sup>
airtime	23.2ms	46.3 ms	82.4 ms	164.9 <sub>ms</sub>	288.8 <sub>ms</sub>	659.5 ms	1,155.1 ms
1% max duty cycle	2.3 sec 1,553 /hour	4.6 sec 776 <sup>msg</sup> /hour	8.2 sec 436 //hour	16.5 sec 218 /hour	28.9 <sub>sec</sub> 124 <sub>/hour</sub>	65.9 <sub>sec</sub> 54 <sup>msg</sup> /hour	115.5 <sub>sec</sub> 31 <sup>/msg</sup> /hour
fair access policy	66.7 <sup>sec</sup> <b>54.0<sup>3</sup>%5</b> 1,294 <sup>msg</sup>	133.4 <sup>sec</sup> <b>27.0<sup>29</sup>/hour</b> 647 <sup>msg</sup> /24h	237.4 <sup>sec</sup> <b>15.2<sup>2</sup>/hour</b> 363 <sup>/seg</sup>	474.8 <sup>sec</sup> <b>7.6</b> %gur 181/24h	831.7 <sup>sec</sup> <b>4.3</b> %ar 103/24h	1,899.2 <sup>sec</sup> <b>1.9,899</b> <b>1.9,80</b> <b>1.9</b>	3,326.6 <sup>sec</sup> <b>1.1 <sup>xyg</sup></b> 25 <sup>msg</sup> / <sub>24h</sub>

Figure 4.10: The 1% duty cycle allows the transmission every 5 seconds for data size = 1byte using SF7



Figure 4.11: An Example of the transmission pattern of the sensor data

	All Measurement Packet	Sensor State Byte
Size(Byte)	10	1
Duty Cycle Limitation	MSG every 5 Sec	MSG every 5 Sec
TTN Limitation	MSG every 3.3min	MSG every 2.2min

Table 4.7: Transmission of measurement Packet(10+13) and SSB(1+13)

### The Pros of this technique

The power of those techniques become more important to solve the limitations of the TTN web-server not just for the Fair Access Policy but also the very limited Downlink per day for a gateway which is only 30 MSG/day, so now we can make the transmission smarter to track the changes on the measurements and give the sensor node the intelligence to use the possibility to transmit a much higher number of Uplink over the LoRaWAN technology (For TTN).

The con of this method is that the user can't give commands to the sensor node to change its pattern unless they changed the Firmware.

### 4.2.8 The Latency

In this section, we are going to demonstrate the Latency that a LoRaWAN packet may encounters during its journey starting from the Node sensor until it reaches the application server successfully.

### The Latency Test Configurations

The analysis will focus on the LoRaWAN class-A investigating the impact of different parameters on the latency, this will be done by fixing the bandwidth and the code rate to 125KHz and 4/5 respectively while using the different spreading factor(7,9,12). Setup a single sensor node (FiPy) and a single Gateway, we will run the test. Looking at figure 3.16, the LoRaWAN packet leaves the sensor node to the gateway as it is modulated using the LoRa protocol, the gateway then forwards this packet to a single network server (TTN in our case) over an IP-based network, the network server can be connected to an application server which demonstrates the data as the user wants, every stage during this journey costs the packet an amount of delay which we demonstrate it on the Packet timing diagram in Fig 4.8.



Figure 4.12: Timing of a LoRaWAN Packet

Where :

- 1. T0 = The RTC initial time in the Sensor Node.
- 2. T1 = The Packet spends TOA then the GW register its received time.
- 3. T2 = TTN registers its Timestamp after receiving the packet.

4. T3 = after the TTN decoding and processing and transmission, finally the packet reaches Node-Red which has its own timestamp.

The analysis gives an estimation of the latency. As the Synchronization only occurs between the Fipy and Node-Red. We can't know the clock source of TTN and as we don't use a private Gateway we can't also control it's clock source. The RTC in the Fipy is syncing its time with an NTP server which is "time.inrim.it" for Italy. We also modified the clock reference for our Windows PC (that runs Node-red) to sync its clock from the same source. In this way we reduced the error that could happens due to asynchronous clock between different stages. figure 3 shows the block diagram for clock synchronization between the Node and the application server. We then embed the RTC time information inside the LoRa Packet as the MAC payload and transmitted at the time  $(T_0)$ . It takes an amount of time TOA which has a direct relation with the LoRa physical parameter from the relation:  $TOA \propto \frac{2^{SF}}{BW}$  until it reaches the gateway( $T_1$ ), the best(lowest) TOA can be achieved by using the lowest SF and the highest BW. The packet spends an amount of time during processing then it is re-transmitted to the web-server using a network connection to reaches TTN at  $(T_2)$ . It is then get processed again and decoded then transmitted to arrive at  $(T_3)$  at Node-Red which is installed on the same PC that is connected to the sensor node. The Node-Red then generates a .csv file that contains the time stamp for every stage the packet arrives.

A comparison will be done based on the time that had been recorded at each of those stages. an accuracy error of  $\pm 1$  Seconds will be considered due to the asynchronous global clocks between Gateway, TTN, and the Node-Red where in this Node-red flow we used the "moment" Node to measure the time(in milliseconds) at which Node-red receives the Packet. The output .csv file should look like in fig

	C D	EFC	S H	1	L	K	L	M
249 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 14 4	2 456943	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:14:42.64634361Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:14:42.667389795Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:14:43.041Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
250 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 14 5	2 458943	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:14:52.646276873Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:14:52.667077587Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:14:52.793Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
251 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 15	2 460952	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:15:02.646362912Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:15:02.667374981Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:15:02.920Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
252 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 15 1	2 462946	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:15:12.645638156Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:15:12.667405074Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:15:12.748Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
253 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 15 2	2 465990	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:15:22.655853088Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:15:22.678901912Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:15:22.888Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
254 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 15 3	2 468943	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:15:32.655511277Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:15:32.676461795Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:15:32.822Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
255 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 15 4	2 470945	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:15:42.655255273Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:15:42.676276571Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:15:42.718Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
256 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 15 5	2 472945	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:15:52.665003508Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:15:52.686047038Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:15:52.791Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
257 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 16	2 474944	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:16:02.664839481Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:16:02.6865197692"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:16:02.826Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
258 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 16 1	2 476942	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:16:12.664678899Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:16:12.685792596Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:16:12.765Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
259 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 16 2	2 478951	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:16:22.664269882Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:16:22.68468122Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:16:22.776Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
260 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 16 3	2 480945	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:16:32.674318435Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:16:32.695788993Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:16:32.826Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
261 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	16 4	2 482942	? Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:16:42.67388267Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:16:42.696042523Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:16:42.757Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
262 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	16 5	2 485990	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:16:52.67398677Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:16:52.695119649Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:16:52.796Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
263 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 17	2 488942	? Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:17:02.673479348Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:17:02.694552276Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:17:02.827Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
264 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 17 1	2 490942	? Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:17:12.683316041Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:17:12.704330743Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:17:12.757Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
265 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	L4 17 2	2 492945	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:17:22.686870212Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:17:22.707736386Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:17:22.796Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
266 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	L4 17 3	2 494947	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:17:32.682920534Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:17:32.7038817Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:17:33.076Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
267 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	17 4	2 496951	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:17:42.68272737Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:17:42.705331035Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:17:42.894Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
268 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	17 5	2 498942	? Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:17:52.692430507Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:17:52.713229795Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:17:52.772Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
269 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 18	2 500944	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:18:02.69243805Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:18:02.713740126Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:18:03.155Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
270 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 18 1	2 502942	? Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:18:12.691958736Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:18:12.712652851Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:18:12.981Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
271 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	L4 18 2	2 504942	? Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:18:22.692045772Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:18:22.713336183Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:18:22.786Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
272 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	L4 18 3	2 506946	6 Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:18:32.701745777Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:18:32.723826853Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:18:33.857Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
273 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	18 4	2 508944	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:18:42.701421583Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:18:42.734344623Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:18:43.070Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
274 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	18 5	2 510942	? Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:18:52.70109641Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:18:52.721982304Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:18:53.427Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
275 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 19	2 512951	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:19:02.700791166Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:19:02.721632732Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:19:03.329Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
276 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 19 1	2 514945	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:19:12.710588099Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:19:12.731193198Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:19:13.155Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
277 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	14 19 2	2 516944	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:19:22.710571258Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:19:22.731176228Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:19:23.495Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}
278 Node_Time:"[2020	10 7 1	L4 19 3	2 518942	Air_Time:"102656000"	GwTime:"2020-10-07T14:19:32.710302166Z"	TTN_Time:"2020-10-07T14:19:32.731183757Z"	NR_Time:"2020-10-07T14:19:32.824Z"}	DataRate:"SF7BW125"}]}

Figure 4.13: the data as constructed by Node-Red

4.13. A python script was developed specifically to read the data from the file and calculate the average latency for every SF.

Fig 4.10 shows the flowchart of the algorithm that had been followed inside the Node to get the timestamp data from the NTP-server, while Fig 4.11 shows the flowchart that had been followed by both Node-Red to extract the timing information for every stage then write those data into a .csv file, then a python script has been developed to calculate the average than draw the Data.

The python script for the Sensor Node, Node-red Nodes, extracting the data from the .csv file, calculate and draw the average Latency can be found in B.

fig 4.12 and fig 4.13 demonstrate the components that contribute to the latency from every stage.





Figure 4.14: Latency algorithm for constructing the Packet

#### The Latency results

As can be seen in Fig 4.16 and Fig 4.17 the TOA which is a LoRa protocol characteristic is dominating over all the other latency components As TOA =2400 milliseconds for SF12 while it is only 100 milliseconds for SF7 however it is still far larger than the latency that occurs from the Gateway processing, TTN decoding



Figure 4.15: Latency algorithm for analyzing the data of the received packet

and transmitting the LoRa Packet which is as can be seen a fraction of a millisecond.



Figure 4.16: The TOA contribution part to the latency



Figure 4.17: Other Latency components

# Chapter 5 Conclusion and future work

### 5.1 Conclusion

We had demonstrated the applicability of LoRaWAN technology to use it as the Communication protocol for the Weather station IoT system, the measurements showed that LoRaWAN can achieve acceptable ranges of data communications which were 600 meters, but this also should be chosen carefully concerning the environment (in the case where there is only one gateway in the area) since the transmission is severely affected by the surroundings as we demonstrated in the Test point 2. In our experiments we used a foreign web-server like the things network which add some more additional constrains above those who already exist for using the ISM Band; that limits the update time for packets transmission and the number of messages per day which limited the usage of the LoRa Protocol in our system case to only one suitable spreading factor which is SF7, fortunately, SF7 can satisfy the data-Rate of 5.4Kbps, and it has a minimum latency of around 100 milliseconds with ability to transmit 417 packets per day (packet update time every 3.5 minutes) with a packet latency of around 100 milliseconds as the measurements showed. We then introduced a suggestion to improve this situation by removing the redundant information like the ID and the time from the packet, hence we were able to reduce the packet size to only 10 bytes which has an update time of 2.7 minutes, then we introduced the "Sensors States Byte" which is a byte that we are going to send instead of sending the whole data every transmission which reduced the update time to 2.2 minutes which give us more reliability transmit our information under the TTN restrictions.

### 5.2 Future Work

Future work could be considered is considering using a less constrained web-server that has fewer restrictions on the number of packets per day like the open-source web-server "chirpstack" which is Linux based web-server. Also using a gateway that allows being synchronized with the system like for example the one based on the Raspberry Pi(using The concentrator module iC880A)[27] this shall give more accurate timing analysis and experiment parameters control one good latency end to end analysis had been done on [28] where the researcher was examining many different parameters on the latency including the IP connection used to transmit the data from the gateway to the web-server. Also one of the interesting features that can be included in future works is to take the advantage of the fact that the Fipy is based on the ESP32 which has 2 interesting features that can significantly reduce the power usage of the Node which are the Ultra-Low power feature(ULP) and the Dual-core that can be used efficiently with the freeRtos that is already supported by this µController.

# Appendix A

# A

The **boot.py** to include Libraries and define the variables

1	
2	# Importing the Required Liberaries
3	
4	from network import LoRa
5	from machine import UART
6	#from network import WLAN
7	from dth import DTH # Custom Library of the dHT11 sensor
8	import machine
9	from machine import Pin
10	import socket $\#$ To do the connections
11	import ubinascii
12	$\mathop{\rm import}\nolimits {\rm struct} \qquad \qquad \#$
13	import ustruct
14	import time
15	import ujson
16	$\begin{array}{c} \text{import pycom} \\ \# \text{ To add the hardware} \\ \end{array}$
17	
18	
19	
20	//////////////////////////////////////
21	# Defining variables
22	
23	# Creating DHT11 Object on pin 3 of the Fipy
24	$\pi$ Oreating Difficult Object on pin 5 of the ripy DHT 11 - DTH(Pin('P3' mode-Pin OPEN DRAIN) 0)
26	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}H$
27	# Parameters
28	SF7 DR5 = 5
29	SF8 DR4 = 4
30	$\overline{SF9DR3} = 3$

```
SF10 DR2= 2
31
       SF11 DR1= 1
32
       SF12 DR0= 0
33
34
       # Channel's Freq.
       Ch \ 0 = 868100000
36
       Ch \ 1 = 868300000
37
       Ch 2 = 868500000
38
39
       SF Array = [SF12 DR0, SF11 DR1, SF10 DR2, SF9 DR3, SF8 DR4, SF7 DR5]
40
```

The main.py file

```
2
 # Importing the Required Liberaries (done in boot.py)
3
4
 5
 def LoRa_Intilize():
6
     # LoraWan Configurations
8
     g
     \# Initialise LoRa in LORAWAN mode.
     lora = LoRa (mode=LoRa . LORAWAN)
                                       \# Lora Constructor -
11
    Creat lora Object from the Lora Class
     #lora = LoRa(mode=LoRa.LORAWAN, adr=True, tx retries=1)
     # create an ABP authentication paramsz
14
     # Lora MAC Address ---> 70B3D5499800DEB4
     dev_addr = struct.unpack( ">1", ubinascii.unhexlify( '260117BD'
     )) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} # these settings can be found from TTN
     nwk_swkey = ubinascii.unhexlify( '9
17
    E81780F9C179F3D559942DB692CA8D8') # these settings can be found
    from TTN
     app swkey = ubinascii.unhexlify( '
18
    E6B0790498B89248FF46EB374CDC8B76') # these settings can be found
    from TTN
19
     \# set the 3 default channels to the same frequency ( EU
    Configurations)
     lora.add_channel( 0 , frequency=868100000 , dr_min=0 , dr_max=5 )
21
      \# dr = 0 \longrightarrow S.F. = 12 (Slower Transmission Time but Longer
    Range)
     lora.add_channel( 1 , frequency=868100000 , dr_min=0 , dr_max=5 )
22
      \# dr = 5 \longrightarrow S.F. = 7 (Faster but Lower Range)
     lora.add_channel(2, frequency=868100000, dr_min=0, dr_max=5)
23
24
     for i in range (3, 16):
25
```

```
lora.remove_channel(i)
26
27
     \# join a network using ABP (Activation By Personalisation)
28
     lora.join(activation=LoRa.ABP, auth=(dev_addr, nwk_swkey,
29
     app_swkey), timeout=0)  # timeout=0 --> if it doesn't connect
     instantinusly, Continue the Code
30
31
     # wait until the module has joined the network
32
     while not lora.has_joined():
33
         pycom.rgbled(0xff0000)
                                  \# Led Red \longrightarrow No connected to
34
     Lora Network yet
         time.sleep(2.5)
35
         print('Not joined yet...')
36
37
     print('joined ')
38
     \#pycom.rgbled(0x00ff00)
                            \# Led Green \longrightarrow It's Connected
39
     now
40
 def LoRa_Scocket(SF):
41
     # create a LoRa socket
42
     LORa S = socket.socket(socket.AF LORA, socket.SOCK RAW)
43
     LoRa_S.setsockopt(socket.SOL_LORA, socket.SO_DR, SF)
44
     \# make the socket non-blocking --- We can send & Receive data
45
     w/o having to hold on the rest of our code
     LoRa S. setblocking (False)
46
     return LoRa S
47
48
49
 def Sensor Data():
50
     # DHT11 Data – Collect Sensor Data
52
     53
     pycom.heartbeat(False)
54
     pycom.rgbled(0x000008) \# blue
     result = DHT_{11.read}()
56
     if result.is_valid():
         pycom.rgbled(0x001000) # green
58
         print("Temperature: %d C" % result.temperature)
         print("Humidity: %d %%" % result.humidity)
60
61
     hum = result.humidity
62
     temp = result.temperature
63
    64
     Con Data = int(str(temp)+str(hum))
65
     return ustruct.pack('h', Con Data)
66
67
68
 def Fixed_Data():
```

Α

68

```
Id = 'A1'
70
      pm2_5 = 56
71
      pm10 = 61
72
      temp = 22
73
      humidity = 48
74
75
      \#GPS = (44.47812, 7.50647)
                                                \# Tuple Lat. and Long.
      data = [Id, pm2_5, pm10, temp, humidity]
76
      return ujson.dumps(data).encode()
                                             \# PayLoad_Long = Fixed_Data
77
      ()
78
  LoRa Intilize()
79
  LoRa\_S = LoRa\_Scocket(SF12\_DR0)
80
  Count_Tx_Pack = 0
81
  Count\_SF~=~0
82
  Keep\_transmission = 1
83
  #print("Now Count_SF = ", str(Count_SF))
                                                                     #
84
      Debug SF value now
  while Keep_transmission:
85
      86
      PayLoad\_Long = Fixed\_Data()
87
      LoRa_S.send(PayLoad_Long)
88
      pycom.heartbeat(False)
89
      Count_Tx_Pack = Count_Tx_Pack + 1
90
      #print("Count_Tx_Pack = ", str(Count_Tx_Pack))
                                                                     #
91
      Debug How many packet was sent
       if Count Tx Pack = 100:
                                           # 100 Packet for UL
92
           Count_Tx_Pack = 0
93
           if Count_SF < 5:
94
               Count\_SF \ = \ Count\_SF \ + \ 1
95
              \# print ("Now Count SF = ", str(Count SF))
                                                                     #
96
      Debug the changing of SF
               LoRa_S = LoRa_Scocket(int(SF_Array[Count_SF]))
97
           else:
98
               Keep\_transmission = 0
99
                                                                     # If
               #print("Transmission Ends")
100
      packet still < 100 keep using same SF
101
      time. sleep(10)
                                                                     #
      Should be change according to the Fair access policy.
```

The DH11 Library to extract valid data from the sensor

```
import time
from machine import enable_irq, disable_irq, Pin

class DTHResult:
    'DHT sensor result returned by DHT.read() method'
```

```
ERR_NO_ERROR = 0
8
      ERR\_MISSING\_DATA = 1
9
      ERR CRC = 2
10
11
      error_code = ERR_NO_ERROR
12
13
      temperature = -1
      humidity = -1
14
      def __init__(self, error_code, temperature, humidity):
16
           self.error code = error code
17
           self.temperature = temperature
18
           self.humidity = humidity
19
20
      def is valid (self):
21
           return self.error_code == DTHResult.ERR_NO_ERROR
22
23
24
  class DTH:
25
       'DHT sensor (dht11, dht21, dht22) reader class for Pycom'
26
27
      \#___pin = Pin ('P3', mode=Pin .OPEN_DRAIN)
28
      \__dhttype = 0
29
30
      def ___init___(self, pin, sensor=0):
31
           self.__pin = pin
32
           self.__dhttype = sensor
33
           self._pin(1)
34
           time.sleep(1.0)
35
36
37
      def read(self):
38
           \#time.sleep(1)
39
40
           \# send initial high
41
           \#self.__send_and_sleep(1, 0.025)
42
43
           # pull down to low
44
           self.\_send\_and\_sleep(0, 0.019)
45
46
           # collect data into an array
47
           data = self. collect input()
48
           #print(data)
49
           # parse lengths of all data pull up periods
50
           pull_up_lengths = self.__parse_data_pull_up_lengths(data)
51
           # if bit count mismatch, return error (4 byte data + 1 byte
      checksum)
           #print(pull_up_lengths)
53
54
           #print(len(pull_up_lengths))
           if len(pull_up_lengths) != 40:
```

return DTHResult(DTHResult.ERR\_MISSING\_DATA, 0, 0) 56 57 # calculate bits from lengths of the pull up periods 58bits = self.\_\_calculate\_bits(pull\_up\_lengths) # we have the bits, calculate bytes 61 the\_bytes = self.\_\_bits\_to\_bytes(bits) 62 #print(the\_bytes) 63 # calculate checksum and check 64 checksum = self.\_\_calculate\_checksum(the\_bytes) 65 if the bytes [4] != checksum: 66 return DTHResult (DTHResult .ERR CRC, 0, 0) # ok, we have valid data, return it 69  $[int_rh, dec_rh, int_t, dec_t, csum] = the_bytes$ 70 71 if self.\_\_dhttype==0: #dht11  $rh = int_rh$  $#dht11 20\% \sim 90\%$ 72  $t = int_t$ #dht11 0..50 C 73 else: #dht21, dht2274  $rh = ((int_rh * 256) + dec_rh)/10$  $t = (((int_t \& 0x7F) * 256) + dec_t)/10$ if  $(int_t \& 0x80) > 0$ : t \*= -178 return DTHResult(DTHResult.ERR\_NO\_ERROR, t, rh) 79 80 def \_\_\_send\_and\_sleep(self, output, mysleep): 81 self.\_\_\_pin(output) time.sleep(mysleep) def \_\_\_collect\_input(self): 85 # collect the data while unchanged found 86  $unchanged\_count = 0$ # this is used to determine where is the end of the data 88 max unchanged count = 10089 last = -1data = []# needs long sample size to grab 92 m = bytearray(800)all the bits from the DHT irqf = disable\_irq() 93  $self._pin(1)$ 94 for i in range(len(m)): 95  $m[i] = self._pin()$ ## sample input and store value 96 enable\_irq(irqf) for i in range(len(m)): current = m[i]99 data.append(current) 100 if last != current: 101 unchanged\_count = 0last = current

60

67 68

77

82

83 84

87

90

91

97

98

else: 104 unchanged\_count += 1if unchanged\_count > max\_unchanged\_count: 106 break 108 #print(data) return data 109 \_parse\_data\_pull\_up\_lengths(self, data): def 111  $STATE_INIT_PULL_DOWN = 1$ STATE INIT PULL UP = 2113 STATE DATA FIRST PULL DOWN = 3 114 STATE DATA PULL UP = 4115  $STATE_DATA_PULL_DOWN = 5$ 116 state = STATE\_INIT\_PULL\_UP 118 119 120 lengths = [] # will contain the lengths of data pull upperiods  $current\_length = 0 \#$  will contain the length of the previous 121 period 122 for i in range(len(data)): 124 current = data[i] 125  $current\_length += 1$ 126 if state == STATE\_INIT\_PULL\_DOWN: 128 if current = 0: 129 # ok, we got the initial pull down 130  $state = STATE_INIT_PULL_UP$ continue else: 133 continue 134 if state == STATE\_INIT\_PULL\_UP: if current = 1: 136 # ok, we got the initial pull up 137 state = STATE\_DATA\_FIRST\_PULL\_DOWN 138 continue 139 else: 140 141 continue if state == STATE DATA FIRST PULL DOWN: 142 if current = 0: 143 # we have the initial pull down, the next will be 144 the data pull up  $state = STATE_DATA_PULL_UP$ 145continue 146 else: 147148 continue if state == STATE\_DATA\_PULL\_UP: 149

A

if current = 1: 150 # data pulled up, the length of this pull up will 151determine whether it is 0 or 1  $current\_length = 0$ 152 $state = STATE_DATA_PULL_DOWN$ 153 154 continue else: continue 156 if state == STATE DATA PULL DOWN: 157 if current = 0: 158 # pulled down, we store the length of the previous pull up period lengths.append(current\_length) 160  $state = STATE_DATA_PULL_UP$ 161 continue 162 163 else: continue 164 165 return lengths 167 \_calculate\_bits(self, pull\_up\_lengths): 168 def # find shortest and longest period  $shortest_pull_up = 1000$  $longest_pull_up = 0$ 171 172 for i in range(0, len(pull\_up\_lengths)): 173 length = pull\_up\_lengths[i] 174 $if length < shortest_pull_up:$ 175 shortest\_pull\_up = length 176 if length > longest\_pull\_up: 177 longest\_pull\_up = length 178 179 # use the halfway to determine whether the period it is long 180 or short halfway = shortest\_pull\_up + (longest\_pull\_up -181 shortest\_pull\_up) / 2 182 bits = [] 183 for i in range(0, len(pull\_up\_lengths)): 184 bit = False185 if pull up lengths [i] > halfway:186 bit = True187 bits.append(bit) 188 189 return bits 190 191 def \_\_\_bits\_to\_bytes(self, bits): 192 193 the\_bytes = []byte = 0194

Α

195for i in range(0, len(bits)): 196 byte = byte << 1197 **if** (bits[i]): 198 byte = byte | 1199 200 else: byte = byte  $\mid 0$ 201 if ((i + 1) % 8 = 0):202 the\_bytes.append(byte) 203 byte = 0204 #print(the\_bytes) 205 return the\_bytes 206 207  ${\tt def \__calculate\_checksum(self, the\_bytes):}$ 208  $return the\_bytes[0] + the\_bytes[1] + the\_bytes[2] + the\_bytes$ 209 [3] & 255

A

The Node-Red  $\mathbf{Function}\ \mathbf{Node}$ 

```
1
    msg.topic = "Data"
2
    var gateways = msg.metadata.gateways;
3
   msg.payload = \{
4
                        Counter: msg.counter,
5
                        PL: msg.payload,
6
                        DataRate: msg.metadata.data_rate,
7
                        rssi: gateways.map(gw \implies gw.rssi),
8
                        \operatorname{snr}: \operatorname{gateways.map}(\operatorname{gw} \Longrightarrow \operatorname{gw.snr}),
9
                        //Gw: gateways.map(gw => gw.gtw_id),
10
                        Time: msg.metadata.time,
11
                        gateways: gateways.map(gw \Rightarrow \{
12
                        return {
13
                           GW_ID: gw.gtw_id,
14
                           location: {
15
                                lat: gw.latitude,
16
                                lng: gw.longitude,
17
                                alt: gw.altitude
18
                                   },
19
                              }
20
                        })
21
22
23
    }
24
25 return msg
  \mathcal{O}\left(n\log n\right)
```

 $O(n \log n)$ numpy

## Appendix B

# $\mathbf{B}$

Latency Python Script Sensor Node

```
2
 3
             Reforming Data to the Correct Form
 #
4
 5
6
 import re
7
 from datetime import datetime, time, date
8
ç
 def replace_bad_chars(time_string):
10
     time_string = re.sub(r'[\"ZT]', '', time_string)
11
     return time_string.split(":")
12
13
14
 def convert_to_proper_time(time_array, bad_chars=None, cdate=None):
      if bad_chars is None:
16
         assert len(time_array) = 4
17
          [hr, min, sec, msec] = time\_array
18
      else:
19
         \#assert len(time_array) == 3
20
         [hr, mint, secs] = list(replace_bad_chars(time_array))
21
         \operatorname{sec} = \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{secs.split}(', ')[0])
         try:
23
             msec = int(secs.split('.')[1])
24
25
         except:
             msec = 0
26
      if cdate is None:
                             \# if data is in form
27
         return datetime.combine(date.today(), time(int(hr), int(mint)
28
     , \text{ sec}, \text{ msec})
     else:
29
```

```
return datetime.combine(cdate, time(int(hr), int(mint), sec,
30
     msec))
31
                                                 \# Delet the " " from
  def delet_mult_qot(number_string):
32
     the Data
      return re.sub('"', '', number_string)
33
34
35
36
37
38
  39
  \#Read the Data from the .csv file then categorize it according to SF
40
 41
42
43
  def build_record(data_row):
      [counter, year, month, day, node_hr, node_min, node_sec,
44
     node_msec, air_time, gw_time, ttn_time, nr_time, delay, data_rate]
      = data_row.strip().split(',')
                                     \# assign the row
      cdate = date(int(year), int(month), int(day))
45
46
      return [convert_to_proper_time([int(node_hr), int(node_min), int(
47
     node_sec), int(node_msec)], bad_chars=None, cdate=cdate),
              float(delete_mult_quot(air_time))/1000000.0,
48
             convert_to_proper_time(gw_time, bad_chars=True, cdate=
49
     cdate),
             convert_to_proper_time(ttn_time, bad_chars=True, cdate=
50
     cdate),
             convert_to_proper_time(nr_time, bad_chars=True, cdate=
51
     cdate),
              (float(delete_mult_quot(delay))/1000.0) - 10,
             delete_mult_quot(data_rate)
54
 data0FLAG = "SF12BW125"
 data1FLAG = "SF9BW125"
57
 data2FLAG = "SF7BW125"
58
59
 data0 = []
60
_{61} data1 = []
_{62} data2 = []
63 #with open("L_poli.csv", 'r') as csvfile:
  with open("Test_Latency.csv", 'r') as csvfile:
                                  # Read a line from the .csv file
# ignore the County
64
      data = csvfile.readlines()
65
      for i in range(1, len(data)):
66
     read after until SF
          record = build_record(data[i])
67
68
         #print(record)
          if record [-1] == data0FLAG:
69
```

B

```
data0.append(record)
70
        elif record [-1] == data1FLAG:
71
           data1.append(record)
72
        else:
           data2.append(record)
74
  75
 #
           Test
76
 #
           len(data0) = 98
77
           data0[0] = [datetime.datetime(2020, 9, 27, 17, 28, 25,
 #
78
    843596).
    2.465792,
 #
79
    datetime.datetime(2020, 9, 27, 17, 28, 28, 392),
 #
80
    datetime.datetime(2020, 9, 27, 17, 28, 28, 413),
 #
81
    datetime.datetime(2020, 9, 27, 17, 28, 28, 488),
 #
82
 #
    0.0180004999999942,
83
 #
84
    'SF12BW125 '
 85
86
87
88
89
  #
           Calculate the Latency ( subtract the timing )
90
 91
92
  def calculate_delays(record):
93
     node_time, air_time, gw_time, ttn_time, nr_time, ref_delay, _ =
94
    record
     time_deltas = [gw_time - node_time, ttn_time - gw_time, nr_time -
95
     ttn_time ]
          list (map(lambda x: x.total seconds(), time deltas))
     return
96
97
98
  90
      Assign the Latency of each SF to an Array , data0 = SF12
100
  103
  data0_delays = []
  for record in data0:
104
     data0_delays.append(calculate_delays(record))
106
  data1 delays =[]
107
  for record in data1:
108
     data1_delays.append(calculate_delays(record))
109
110
  data2 delays =[]
111
  for record in data2:
     data2_delays.append(calculate_delays(record))
113
114
```

B

116 Calculate each latency component alone 117 # 118 119 120 121 SF12\_node\_gw\_delays = [delay[0] for delay in data0\_delays] SF12\_node\_gw\_delay\_average = np.mean(SF12\_node\_gw\_delays)\*1000 SF12\_node\_gw\_delay\_std = np.std(SF12\_node\_gw\_delays) 124 SF12 gw ttn delays = [delay [1]] for delay in data0 delays] SF12 gw ttn delay average = np.mean(SF12 gw ttn delays)\*1000 126  $SF12\_gw\_ttn\_delay\_std = np.std(SF12\_gw\_ttn\_delays)$ SF12\_ttn\_tnr\_delays = [delay [2] for delay in data0\_delays] 129 SF12\_ttn\_tnr\_delay\_average = np.mean(SF12\_ttn\_tnr\_delays)\*1000 130 SF12\_ttn\_tnr\_delay\_std = np.std(SF12\_ttn\_tnr\_delays) 131 132  $SF9\_node\_gw\_delays = [delay[0] for delay in data1\_delays]$ 133 SF9\_node\_gw\_delay\_average = np.mean(SF9\_node\_gw\_delays)\*1000 134 135  $SF9\_node\_gw\_delay\_std = np.std(SF9\_node\_gw\_delays)$ 136 137 SF9\_gw\_ttn\_delays = [delay[1] for delay in data1\_delays] 138 SF9\_gw\_ttn\_delay\_average = np.mean(SF9\_gw\_ttn\_delays)\*1000 139  $SF9_gw_ttn_delay_std = np.std(SF9_gw_ttn_delays)$ 140 141  $SF9\_ttn\_tnr\_delays = [delay[2] for delay in data1\_delays]$ 142 SF9\_ttn\_tnr\_delay\_average = np.mean(SF9\_ttn\_tnr\_delays)\*1000 143 144 SF9 ttn tnr delay std = np.std(SF9 ttn tnr delays) 145146 147 SF7 node gw delays = [delay[0] for delay in data2 delays]148 SF7 node gw delay average = np.mean(SF7 node gw delays)\*1000149  $SF7\_node\_gw\_delay\_std = np.std(SF7\_node\_gw\_delays)$ 152SF7\_gw\_ttn\_delays = [delay[1] for delay in data2\_delays] 153 $SF7_gw_ttn_delay_average = np.mean(SF7_gw_ttn_delays)*1000$ 154 $SF7_gw_ttn_delay_std = np.std(SF7_gw_ttn_delays)$ 155 156  $SF7\_ttn\_tnr\_delays = [delay [2] for delay in data2\_delays]$ 157SF7\_ttn\_tnr\_delay\_average = np.mean(SF7\_ttn\_tnr\_delays)\*1000 158 159 SF7\_ttn\_tnr\_delay\_std = np.std(SF7\_ttn\_tnr\_delays) 160 161 162 163 

В

```
165 #
               Plotting the results
  166
  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
167
  import numpy as np
168
169
170
  labels = ['SF12', 'SF9', 'SF7']
  node_gw_delay_means = [g1_node_gw_delay_average,
171
      g2_node_gw_delay_average, g3_node_gw_delay_average ]
  gw_ttn_delay_means = [g1_gw_ttn_delay_average,
172
      g2_gw_ttn_delay_average, g3_gw_ttn_delay_average ]
  ttn_tnr_delay_means = [g1_ttn_tnr_delay_average,
173
      g2_ttn_tnr_delay_average, g3_ttn_tnr_delay_average ]
174
  node_gw_delay_std = [SF12_node_gw_delay_std, SF9_node_gw_delay_std,
      SF7_node_gw_delay_std]
  gw_ttn_delay_std = [SF12_gw_ttn_delay_std, SF9_gw_ttn_delay_std]
176
      SF7_gw_ttn_delay_std]
  ttn_tnr_delay_std = [SF12_ttn_tnr_delay_std, SF9_ttn_tnr_delay_std,
177
      SF7_ttn_tnr_delay_std]
178
  width = 0.35
                      # the width of the bars: can also be len(x)
179
      sequence
180
  fig, ax = plt.subplots()
181
182
  ax.bar(labels, node_gw_delay_means, width, yerr=node_gw_delay_std,
183
      label = 'TOA')
  #ax.semilogy(labels, node_gw_delay_means, width, yerr=
184
      node_gw_delay_std , label='TOA')
  #ax.bar(labels, gw_ttn_delay_means, width,
185
                       #yerr=gw_ttn_delay_std ,
186
                         label = 'Gw \rightarrow TTN')
187
  #
  #ax.bar(labels, ttn tnr delay means, width,
188
                       #yerr=ttn_tnr_delay_std ,
189
                         label = 'TTN \rightarrow NR')
   #
190
191
192
  ax.legend()
  ax.set_ylabel('Latency (ms)')
193
  ax.set_title('Latency Vs SF')
194
  ax.legend()
195
196
  plt.show()
197
```

The Node-Red Latency Function Node

```
1
2
   msg.topic = "Data"
3
   var gateways = msg.metadata.gateways;
4
   msg.payload = \{
5
                    Counter: msg.counter,
6
                    PL: msg.payload,
7
                    DataRate:\ msg.metadata.data\_rate\ ,
8
                    gateways: gateways.map(gw \Rightarrow \{
9
                    return {
10
                      GW_D:
                                  gw.gtw_id,
11
                      TOA:
                                  msg.metadata.airtime,
12
                       Gw_Time:
                                  msg.time,
13
                      TTN_Time: msg.metadata.time,
14
15
                         }
                     })
16
   }
17
18
19 return msg
```

# Bibliography

- Edoardo Giusto, Renato Ferrero, Filippo Gandino, Bartolomeo Montrucchio, Maurizio Rebaudengo, and Mingyang Zhang. «Particulate Matter Monitoring in Mixed Indoor/Outdoor Industrial Applications: A Case Study». In: *IEEE International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation*, *ETFA*. Vol. 2018-September. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., Oct. 2018, pp. 838–844. ISBN: 9781538671085. DOI: 10.1109/ETFA.2018. 8502644 (cit. on p. ii).
- Yaron Ogen. «Assessing nitrogen dioxide (NO2) levels as a contributing factor to coronavirus (COVID-19) fatality». In: Science of the Total Environment (2020). ISSN: 18791026. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138605 (cit. on p. 1).
- [3] Xiao Wu, Rachel Nethery, Benjamin Sabath, Danielle Braun, and Francesca Dominici. «Exposure to air pollution and COVID-19 mortality in the United States: A nationwide cross-sectional study». In: medRxiv : the preprint server for health sciences (Apr. 2020), p. 2020.04.05.20054502. DOI: 10.1101/2020.04.05.20054502. URL: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.05.20054502 (cit. on p. 1).
- [4] Silvia Comunian, Dario Dongo, Chiara Milani, and Paola Palestini. «Air Pollution and COVID-19: The Role of Particulate Matter in the Spread and Increase of COVID-19's Morbidity and Mortality». In: International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 17.12 (June 2020), p. 4487. ISSN: 1660-4601. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph17124487. URL: https://www.mdpi.com/ 1660-4601/17/12/4487 (cit. on p. 1).
- [5] wikipedia. *LPWAN*. Oct. 2020 (cit. on p. 2).
- [6] LoRa<sup>TM</sup> Alliance. «LoRaWAN<sup>TM</sup> Regional Parameters v1.1rA». In: LoRaWAN<sup>TM</sup> 1.1 Specif. (2017) (cit. on pp. 4, 13).
- [7] Sakshama Ghoslya. LoRa: Symbol Generation (cit. on pp. 5, 6).

- [8] Noman Aftab, Syed Ali Raza Zaidi, and Des McLernon. «Scalability analysis of multiple LoRa gateways using stochastic geometry». In: *Internet of Things* 9 (Mar. 2020), p. 100132. ISSN: 25426605. DOI: 10.1016/j.iot.2019.100132 (cit. on p. 5).
- [9] Dmitry Bankov, Evgeny Khorov, and Andrey Lyakhov. «On the limits of LoRaWAN channel access». In: Proceedings - 2016 International Conference on Engineering and Telecommunication, EnT 2016. 2017. ISBN: 9781509045532.
   DOI: 10.1109/EnT.2016.9 (cit. on pp. 4, 7, 14).
- [10] Semtech. LoRa Modulation Basics AN1200.22. 2015 (cit. on p. 9).
- [11] Simtech. What are LoRa<sup>®</sup> and LoRaWAN<sup>®</sup>? (Cit. on pp. 9, 15).
- [12] Ellen Li. «LoRapedia, an Introduction of LoRa and LoRaWAN Technology». In: https://www.seeedstudio.com/blog/2020/08/03/lorapedia-an-introductionof-lora-and-lorawan-technology/ (Aug. 2020) (cit. on p. 10).
- [13] Semtech. LoRa Modulation Basics AN1200.22. 2015 (cit. on p. 13).
- [14] Eduardo Ruano, Bernard Tourancheau, and Olivier Alphand. LoRa TM protocol Evaluations, limitations and practical test. Tech. rep. 2016 (cit. on p. 13).
- [15] Semtech. WIRELESS & SENSING Revision 2 May 2015 © 2015 Semtech Corporation 1 SX1272/3/6/7/8 LoRa Modern Design Guide. Tech. rep. 2015 (cit. on pp. 14, 51).
- [16] Download SX1272 LoRa calculator by Semtech SA. URL: https://sx1272lora-calculator.software.informer.com/download/ (cit. on pp. 14, 51).
- [17] Arjan Avbentem. Airtime calculator for LoRaWAN Retrieved from https://avbentem.github.ic calculator/ttn/eu868. Sept. 2020 (cit. on pp. 15, 52).
- [18] Simtech. An In-depth look at LoRaWAN® Class A Devices (cit. on p. 16).
- [19] Lennart Nordin. LoRaWAN Device Classes: A, B and C. 2018 (cit. on pp. 17– 19).
- [20] Diogo Ferreira. «Mockups, Authentication Service Completed & more». In: https://medium.com/electric-cars-charging-system/week-3-mockups-authentication service-completed-more-41f1d865de0d (Oct. 2018) (cit. on p. 27).
- [21] Pycom. Pycom\_002\_Specsheets\_FiPy\_v2. Tech. rep. (cit. on p. 32).
- [22] DHT11 Humidity & Temperature Sensor. Tech. rep. URL: www.droboticson line.com (cit. on p. 30).

- [23] ETSI. EN 300 220-2 V3.2.1 Short Range Devices (SRD) operating in the frequency range 25 MHz to 1 000 MHz; Part 2: Harmonised Standard for access to radio spectrum for non specific radio equipment. Tech. rep. 2018. URL: https://portal.etsi.org/TB/ETSIDeliverableStatus.aspx (cit. on p. 34).
- [24] Arjanvanb Arjan. Best practices to limit application payloads. Apr. 2016 (cit. on p. 37).
- [25] Node-Red. Interval length Node. Oct. 2019 (cit. on p. 39).
- [26] The Things Network Global Team. LoRaWAN® distance world record broken, twice. 766 km (476 miles) using 25mW transmission power. July 2019 (cit. on p. 46).
- [27] Gonzalo Casas. From zero to LoRaWAN in a weekend. Nov. 2018 (cit. on p. 65).
- [28] Albert Potsch and Florian Hammer. «Towards End-to-End Latency of Lo-RaWAN: Experimental Analysis and IIoT Applicability». In: *IEEE International Workshop on Factory Communication Systems - Proceedings, WFCS*. 2019. ISBN: 9781728112688. DOI: 10.1109/WFCS.2019.8758033 (cit. on p. 65).