POLITECNICO DI TORINO

Collegio di Ingegneria meccanica, aerospaziale, dellautoveicolo e della produzione

Corso di Laurea Magistrale in Ingegneria Meccanica

Tesi di Laurea Magistrale

Analysis of district heating systems integrating distributed sources



NTNU

Department of Energy and Process Engineering

Relatori

Prof. Romano Borchiellini Prof. Vittorio Verda Prof. Natasa Nord

Candidato

Mehn dan

Mohammad Shakerin

Aprile 2018



Norwegian University of Science and Technology Department of Energy and Process Engineering

EPT-M-2016-178

MASTER THESIS

for

Mohammad Shakerin

Autumn 2016

Analysis of district heating systems integrating distributed sources

Analyse av fjernvarmesystemer med distribuerte kilder

Background and objective

Use of renewable energies and waste energy is highly necessary and required by national and international regulations. Future district heating and cooling systems will be based on completely renewable energies from solar, waste heat, and geothermal energy. This will imply that many distributed systems have to be available to deliver their heat to the central system. In this new situation, a building will be able to be user and supplier at the same time and therefore it may be called "prosumer". The introduction of prosumers to the district heating (DH) system will affect both the DH network and the users. Therefore, models to explain interfaces between the energy supply and demand are critically important to enable transition to the renewable energy society. At the beginning these models should include DH network, heat users, and heat deliverers models. Within this project, the student should start with the network model for pressure and temperature distribution. The model should enable bidirectional flow. The model should be able to treat heat load changes on time frequency scale. Further development of the model, including different components and control in a simple way would be highly desirable. To work on the master thesis, the student should use MATLAB. As a start point, the student may analyze the DH ring at the university campus.

The objective is to develop the DH network model enabling connection of distributed heat sources. Primary, the work should focus on the network modeling together with the pressure and flow control.

The following tasks are to be considered:

- 1. Literature review on the following topic would be necessary: modelling DH network, modelling of bidirectional flow in a network, prosumers in the DH systems, distributed heat sources and storages, etc.
- 2. Develop the DH network model in MATLAB. A general model would be desirable. A model of the DH ring at the university campus would be also desirable.
- 3. Analysis the model by testing the model on different heat load profiles and different share of the distributed heat sources.
- 4. Analyse and discuss in detail problems with the pressure and flow control when including a high share of the distributed heat sources.
- 5. If possible, include simple models for the heat source and storage components.

- 6. Perform uncertainty analysis of the most critical parameters.
- 7. Analyse the results and define the most critical issues in the operation of the distributed systems.
- 8. Prepare material for a draft article.

-- " --

Within 14 days of receiving the written text on the master thesis, the candidate shall submit a research plan for his project to the department.

When the thesis is evaluated, emphasis is put on processing of the results, and that they are presented in tabular and/or graphic form in a clear manner, and that they are analyzed carefully.

The thesis should be formulated as a research report with summary both in English and Norwegian, conclusion, literature references, table of contents etc. During the preparation of the text, the candidate should make an effort to produce a well-structured and easily readable report. In order to ease the evaluation of the thesis, it is important that the cross-references are correct. In the making of the report, strong emphasis should be placed on both a thorough discussion of the results and an orderly presentation.

The candidate is requested to initiate and keep close contact with his/her academic supervisor(s) throughout the working period. The candidate must follow the rules and regulations of NTNU as well as passive directions given by the Department of Energy and Process Engineering.

Risk assessment of the candidate's work shall be carried out according to the department's procedures. The risk assessment must be documented and included as part of the final report. Events related to the candidate's work adversely affecting the health, safety or security, must be documented and included as part of the final report. If the documentation on risk assessment represents a large number of pages, the full version is to be submitted electronically to the supervisor and an excerpt is included in the report.

Pursuant to "Regulations concerning the supplementary provisions to the technology study program/Master of Science" at NTNU §20, the Department reserves the permission to utilize all the results and data for teaching and research purposes as well as in future publications.

The final report is to be submitted digitally in DAIM. An executive summary of the thesis including title, student's name, supervisor's name, year, department name, and NTNU's logo and name, shall be submitted to the department as a separate pdf file. Based on an agreement with the supervisor, the final report and other material and documents may be given to the supervisor in digital format.

Work to be done in lab (Water power lab, Fluids engineering lab, Thermal engineering lab) Field work

Department of Energy and Process Engineering, 16. September 2016

Olav Bolland Department Head

lotasallor L

Natasa Nord Academic Supervisor

Research Advisor: Vittorio Verda, vittorio.verda@polito.it

Preface

This project is submitted as MSc Thesis at Norwegian University of Science and Technology. The research presented herein was conducted under supervision of Professor Natasa Nord in the department of Department of Energy and Process Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology and Professor Romano Borchiellini and Professor Vittorio Verda at Department of Energy, Polytechnic University of Turin.

I would like to thank my supervisors for endless help, knowledge and support through every step of this project.

Meh

Mohammad Shakerin Trondheim, May 2017

Abstract

Renewable energies sources are found to be the promising solution toward the prospect of environmental friendly and energy efficient societies. District heating systems play an important role concerning utilization of distributed renewable energy sources, as if national and international regulations are set for both decreasing heat energy demand and development of renewable energy production and efficient distribution in district heating and cooling systems. Waste heat from cooling datacentres and server aisles are considered to be reliable to utilize for district heating purposes, however there are still limitations in efficient integrating waste heat sources to main distribution networks. Therefore, the aim of this study was to develop a general physical model of a district heating distribution network connected to various consumers and central heat production unit, able to carry bidirectional flow. Based on fundamental equations derived from continuum mechanics, two one dimensional models for hydraulic part and thermal part of the system was built. These models were coded in MATLAB software. As a case study district heating ring network of Gløshaugen campus of NTNU in Trondheim which has utilized the waste heat from a datacentre with annual 1MW heat base load was used. Hourly quasi-static simulation of different cases which reflect different shares of integrating the waste heat source was done for analysing the network in presence of higher shares of distributed waste heat. Two scenarios were introduced in order to analyse and compare performance of the system when supply temperature and pumping system are controlled. The result showed that increasing contribution of waste heat source as a secondary heat provider could cause pressure balance problem in consumer substations near to it. However, adopting variable speed control for the pump together with utilizing flow control valve at consumer substation could lower the pressure level within the network and decrease the pressure fluctuations near waste heat source. Lowering temperature levels lead to considerable heat energy saving in distribution pipes. Also, average annual heat load and consequently annual energy obtained from the waste heat was significantly increased. Low temperature levels however were shown to have a drawback on the temperature of the heat harvested from the waste heat source and resulted in low grade heat which is difficult to utilize. Despite of the assumptions and simplifications applied, the result still indicates main features, advantages and disadvantages of integrating waste heat sources.

Table of Contents

Preface	i
Abstract	ii
Table of Contents	iii
Figures	V
Tables	vi
Abbreviations	vii
Symbols	X
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Importance of renewable heat sources in district heating systems	1
1.2 Future of district heating systems	3
1.3 Objectives	6
1.4 Scope of the work	6
2. Literature review	8
2.1 Issues in integrating renewable heat sources to district heating systems	8
2.2 Introduction of prosumer	8
2.3 Modelling approaches in district heating systems	9
2.4 Simulation of district heating systems in presence of prosumer	10
3. Methodology	12
4. Theoretical background for modelling of district heating systems	14
4.1 Theory and principles	14
4.1.1 Graph theory	16
4.2 Fluid dynamic model	17
4.3 Thermal model	19
5. Case Study	20
5.1 Preliminary design	21
5.1.1 Thermal energy demand	21
5.1.2 Waste heat from datacenter	

5.1.3	Mass flow rate of consumer substations in design condition	
5.1.4	Incidence Matrix of Network	29
5.1.5	Pipe sizing	
5.2 Steady	state fluid dynamic problem	
5.2.1	Assumption and constraints for fluid dynamic problem	
5.3 Steady	state thermal problem	
5.3.1	Assumption and constraints for thermal problem	
5.4 Solutio	on methods	42
5.5 Scenar	ios for improvement of district heating control	45
5.5.1	Outdoor temperature compensation	45
5.5.2	Pressure difference control	46
5.5.3	Pump control	47
5.6 Waste	heat integration measurement cases	48
6. Result	S	50
6.1 Refere	nce scenario	50
6.1.1	Thermal characteristics of the network	
6.1.2	Hydraulic analysis of the network	55
6.2 Outdo	or temperature compensation scenario	60
6.3 Pump	and valve control scenario	68
7. Discus	sion	72
8. Conclu	usion	74
9. Furthe	r work	76
Referenc	e	78
Apendix		79
Implemen	tation of SIMPLE algorithm and FIXED-POINT loop	79

Figures

Figure 1-1 Three main parts of a district heating system	2
Figure 1-2 Net production of district heating in Norway by type of heat central	3
Figure 1-3 layout of a datacentre equiped with waste heat recovery facility	
Figure 5-1 Gløshaugen campus of NTNU	
Figure 5-2 statistics of outdoor temperature and heat consumption 2010-2016	
Figure 5-3 overall heat consumption of campus and out door temperature in 2016	
Figure 5-4 Ground temperature and thermal energy loss	
Figure 5-5 Hourly heat demand and out door temperature in 2016	23
Figure 5-6 Duration curve of outdoor temperature and heat load for NTNU	
Figure 5-7 Heat demand of the campus Vs. Outdoor temperature in 2016	
Figure 5-8 Location number and type of each building sorted by distance	25
Figure 5-9 Profile of heat request of users	26
Figure 5-10 Waste heat recovery through heat pump cycle	27
Figure 5-11 Molier diagram of heat pump cycle for Ammonia as refrigerant	
Figure 5-12 Numeration of nodes an branches of supply pipe line	30
Figure 5-13 Numeration of nodes an branches of return pipe line	31
Figure 5-14 Pressure drop chart of a single pipe (UNO)	33
Figure 5-15 Characteristic curve of the pump, total head Vs. flow rate	37
Figure 5-16 System curve and charecteristic curve relation	37
Figure 5-17 Best efficiency point in charecteristic curve of the selected pump	38
Figure 5-18 Control volume including a node and half of the connected branches	
Figure 5-19 Supply temperature adjustment proportional to outdoor temperature	46
Figure 5-20 Hydraulic resistances due to additional components	46
Figure 5-21 working points of variable speed controlled pump	48
Figure 6-1 Temperature distribution for Reference scenario	51
Figure 6-2 Hourly temperature drop variation at user substation no. 24	51
Figure 6-3 Hourly heat power from waste heat source (Reference scenario)	52
Figure 6-4 Duration curve of heat power at datacenter substation (Reference	
scenario)	53
Figure 6-5 Temperature of the return water redirected to datacenter substation for	
all the cases of waste heat share (Reference scenario)	
Figure 6-6 Hourly temperature levels at main heat production building	
Figure 6-7 Hourly variation of heat power losss in the pipes (Reference scenario) .	55
Figure 6-8 Pressure distribution Vs. nodes arranged by distance in design	
condition (Reference scenario)	
Figure 6-9 Pressure drop in branches as calculated vs. values in the chart	
Figure 6-10 Pumping power vs. number of hours	
Figure 6-11 Hourly supply pressure variations at CHDB	57
Figure 6-12 Hourly variation of pumping power required by network (Reference	
scenario)	58

Figure 6-13 Hourly Pressure gradient at user substation no. 37 (Reference	
scneario)	58
Figure 6-14 Frequency of fail hydraulic balance at user substation no. 37 in the	e
year	59
Figure 6-15 hourly variation of waste heat connection pressure	59
Figure 6-16 Temperature distribution vs. distance in STCscenario	60
Figure 6-17 Hourly variation of temperature drop at user substation no.50	60
Figure 6-18 Temperature drop variation at user substation no.50	61
Figure 6-19 Hourly variation of heat power captured from waste heat source (S scenario)	
Figure 6-20 Hourly variation of temperature of redirected water to waste heat source	
Figure 6-21 Heat power vs. number of hours	
Figure 6-22 Hourly variation of water temperature at the outlet of waste heat source	
Figure 6-23 Annual renewable waste heat production comparison	
Figure 6-24 Hourly variation of supply and return temperature at main heat	
production building (STC scenario)	66
Figure 6-25 Hourly variation of heat power losss in the pipes (STC scenario)	67
Figure 6-26 Annual heat loss comparison	67
Figure 6-27 Pressure distribution in design condition for PC scenario	68
Figure 6-28 Waste heat incejtion pressure for PC scenario	68
Figure 6-29 Duration curve of pumping power for reference and PC scenario	69
Figure 6-30 Hourly pressure gradient at user substation (PC scenario)	70

Tables

Table 5-1 thermal characteristics of buildings	.25
Table 5-2 Pipes section in the network	.34
Table 5-3 Design parameters of the pump at best efficiency point (BEP)	.38
Table 5-4 Test cases for reflecting different share of renewable energy source.	.49
Table 6-1 Waste heat recovery in Reference scenario	.52
Table 6-2 Distribution energy losses in three cases	.54
Table 6-3 Distribution energy losses in three cases (STC scenario)	.66
Table 6-4 Pump energy consumption in two scenarios	.69

Abbreviations

Abbreviation		Definition	
	CHDB	Central Heat Distribution Building	
	DHN	District Heating Network	
	OTC	Outdoor Temperature Compensation	
	PC	Pressure Control	
	RES	Renewable Energy Source	
	DHC	District Heating and Cooling	
	LTDH	Low Temperature District Heating	

Symbols

ρDensitykg/m³νVelocitym/sPTotal pressurebarpStatic pressurebarTTemperature°CgGravitym/s²cpSpecific heat capacitykJ/kg.KSAream²LLengthmDDiametermAIncidence matrix-βLocal loss factor-fFriction factor-GMass flow ratekg/sMMasskgRHydraulic resistancebarVOverall heat transfer coefficientW/m². °CAUnder-relaxation coefficientWVVolumem³iýVolumetric flow ratem³/hmpPump efficiency-npPump efficiency-nkHeat exchanger efficiency-iýVolumetric flow ratem³/hkkHeat exchanger efficiency-vPump powerWkkHeat exchanger efficiency-ikValve hydraulic loss coefficient1/m.kgkkyValve hydraulic loss coefficient[m.kg] ^{1/2} ikValve authority-	Symbol	Definition	Unit
PTotal pressurebarpStatic pressurebarTTemperature°CgGravitym/s²cpSpecific heat capacitykJ/kg.KSAream²LLengthmDDiametermAIncidence matrix-βLocal loss factor-fFriction factor-fFriction factor-GMass flow ratekg/sMMasskgPHydraulic resistancebarYHydraulic conductance1/barQperimetermUOverall heat transfer coefficientW/m². °CλUnder-relaxation coefficient-φHeat loadWVVolumem³/hη _p Pump efficiency-η _t Heat exchanger efficiency-η _t Heat exchanger efficiency-ψValve hydraulic loss coefficient1/m.kgkHeat exchanger hydraulic loss coefficient1/m.kg	ρ	Density	kg/m ³
pStatic pressurebarTTemperature°CgGravitym/s²cpSpecific heat capacitykJ/kg.KSAream²LLengthmDDiametermAIncidence matrix-βLocal loss factor-fFriction factor-GMass flow ratekg/sMMasskgRHydraulic resistancebarYHydraulic coefficientV/m². °CλUnder-relaxation coefficientW/m². °CλVolumetric flow ratem³ψVolumetric flow ratem³.ψVolumetric flow ratem³.ψVolumetric flow ratem²/hηtHeat exchanger efficiency-ηtHeat exchanger efficiency-ψPump powerWkHeat exchanger efficiency-ψValve hydraulic loss coefficient1/m.kgk _ν Valve hydraulic loss coefficient[m.kg] ^{1/2}	v	Velocity	m/s
TTemperature°CgGravity m/s^2 c_p Specific heat capacity $kl/kg.K$ SArea m^2 LLength m DDiameter m AIncidence matrix $ \beta$ Local loss factor $-$ fFriction factor $-$ GMass flow ratekg/sMMasskgRHydraulic resistancebarYHydraulic coefficient W/m^2 . °C λ Under-relaxation coefficient W/m^2 . °C λ Under-relaxation coefficient m^3 ψ Volume tric flow rate m^3 /h q_p Pump efficiency $ \eta_t$ Heat exchanger efficiency $ w$ Pump power W k_k Heat exchanger efficient $1/m.kg$ k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient $1/m.kg$	Р	Total pressure	bar
gGravitym/s² c_p Specific heat capacitykl/kg.KSAream²LLengthmDDiametermAIncidence matrix- β Local loss factor-fFriction factor-GMass flow ratekg/sMMasskgRHydraulic resistancebarYHydraulic conductance1/bar Ω perimeterm U Overall heat transfer coefficientW/m². °C λ Under-relaxation coefficientW V Volumem³ V Volumem³/h η_t Heat loadW η_p Pump efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficientW k_p Valve hydraulic loss coefficient1/m.kg k_p Valve hydraulic loss coefficient $1/m.kg$	р	Static pressure	bar
c_p Specific heat capacitykl/kg.K S Area m^2 L Length m D Diameter m D Diameter m A Incidence matrix $ \beta$ Local loss factor $ f$ Friction factor $ G$ Mass flow ratekg/s M Masskg R Hydraulic resistancebar Y Hydraulic conductance $1/bar$ Q perimeter m U Overall heat transfer coefficient W/m^2 . °C λ Under-relaxation coefficient $ \varphi$ Heat load W V Volume m^3/h n_p Pump efficiency $ n_k$ Heat exchanger efficiency $ m_k$ Heat exchanger efficiency $ w$ Pump power W k_p Valve hydraulic loss coefficient $1/m.kg$	Т	Temperature	°C
SArea m^2 LLengthmDDiametermAIncidence matrix- β Local loss factor-fFriction factor-GMass flow ratekg/sMMasskgRHydraulic resistancebarYHydraulic conductance1/bar Ω perimetermUOverall heat transfer coefficient W/m^2 . °C λ Under-relaxation coefficient- φ Heat loadWVVolumem³ \dot{V} Volumetric flow ratem³/h n_p Pump efficiency- n_t Heat exchanger efficiency- w Pump powerWkHeat exchanger efficiency- w Valve hydraulic loss coefficient1/m.kg k_p Valve hydraulic loss coefficient $[m.kg]^{1/2}$	g	Gravity	m/s²
LLengthmDDiametermAIncidence matrix-βLocal loss factor-fFriction factor-GMass flow ratekg/sMMasskgRHydraulic resistancebarYHydraulic conductance1/barΩperimetermUOverall heat transfer coefficientW/m². °CλUnder-relaxation coefficient-φHeat loadWVVolumem³İVVolumetric flow ratem³/hntHeat exchanger efficiency-wPump powerWkHeat exchanger efficienct1/m.kgk_vValve hydraulic loss coefficient1/m.kg	c_p	Specific heat capacity	kJ/kg.K
DDiameterm A Incidence matrix- β Local loss factor- f Friction factor- G Mass flow ratekg/s M Masskg R Hydraulic resistancebar Y Hydraulic conductance1/bar Ω perimeterm U Overall heat transfer coefficient W/m^2 . °C λ Under-relaxation coefficient W φ Heat load W V Volumem³ \dot{V} Volumetric flow rate m^3/h η_p Pump efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- w Pump power W k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient $1/m.kg$	S	Area	m ²
AIncidence matrix- β Local loss factor- f Friction factor- G Mass flow ratekg/s M Masskg R Hydraulic resistancebar Y Hydraulic conductance1/bar Ω perimeterm U Overall heat transfer coefficient W/m^2 . °C λ Under-relaxation coefficient- φ Heat loadW V Volumem³ \dot{V} Volumetric flow ratem³/h η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- w Pump powerW k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient1/m.kg k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient[m.kg]^{1/2}	L	Length	m
β Local loss factor- f Friction factor- G Mass flow ratekg/s M Masskg M Masskg R Hydraulic resistancebar Y Hydraulic conductance1/bar Ω perimeterm U Overall heat transfer coefficient W/m^2 . °C λ Under-relaxation coefficient- φ Heat loadW V Volumem³ \dot{V} Volumetric flow ratem³/h η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- w Pump powerW k Heat exchanger hydraulic loss coefficient1/m.kg k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient $1/m.kg$	D	Diameter	m
f Friction factor- G Mass flow ratekg/s M Masskg M Masskg R Hydraulic resistancebar Y Hydraulic conductance1/bar Ω perimeterm U Overall heat transfer coefficient W/m^2 . °C λ Under-relaxation coefficient- φ Heat loadW V Volumem³ V Volumem³/h η_p Pump efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- W Pump powerW k Heat exchanger hydraulic loss coefficient1/m.kg k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient[m.kg]^{1/2}	А	Incidence matrix	-
G Mass flow ratekg/s M Masskg M Masskg R Hydraulic resistancebar Y Hydraulic conductance1/bar Ω perimeterm U Overall heat transfer coefficient W/m^2 . °C λ Under-relaxation coefficient- φ Heat loadW V Volumem³ V Volumetric flow ratem³/h η_p Pump efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- k Heat exchanger hydraulic loss coefficient1/m.kg k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient $1/m.kg$	β	Local loss factor	-
M Masskg R Hydraulic resistancebar Y Hydraulic conductance $1/bar$ Ω perimeterm U Overall heat transfer coefficient W/m^2 . °C λ Under-relaxation coefficient- φ Heat loadW V Volumem³ V Volumem³/h η_p Pump efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- w Pump powerW k Heat exchanger hydraulic loss coefficient $1/m.kg$ k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient $[m.kg]^{1/2}$	f	Friction factor	-
RHydraulic resistancebarYHydraulic conductance $1/bar$ Ω perimetermUOverall heat transfer coefficient W/m^2 . °C λ Under-relaxation coefficient- φ Heat loadWVVolumem³ V Volumem³/h η_p Pump efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- w Pump powerW k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient $1/m.kg$	G	Mass flow rate	kg/s
YHydraulic conductance $1/bar$ Ω perimeterm U Overall heat transfer coefficient W/m^2 . °C λ Under-relaxation coefficient- φ Heat loadW V Volumem³ V Volumem³/h η_p Pump efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- w Pump powerW k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient $1/m.kg$	М	Mass	kg
Ω perimeterm U Overall heat transfer coefficient W/m^2 . °C λ Under-relaxation coefficient- φ Heat load W V Volumem³ V Volumetric flow ratem³/h η_p Pump efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- w Pump power W k Heat exchanger hydraulic loss coefficient $1/m.kg$ k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient $[m.kg]^{1/2}$	R	Hydraulic resistance	bar
U Overall heat transfer coefficient W/m^2 . °C λ Under-relaxation coefficient- φ Heat loadW V Volumem³ V Volumetric flow ratem³/h η_p Pump efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- w Pump powerW k Heat exchanger hydraulic loss coefficient $1/m.kg$	Y	Hydraulic conductance	1/bar
λ Under-relaxation coefficient- φ Heat loadW V Volumem³ V Volumetric flow ratem³/h η_p Pump efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- w Pump powerW k Heat exchanger hydraulic loss coefficient1/m.kg k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient[m.kg]^{1/2}	Ω	perimeter	m
φ Heat loadW V Volumem³ \dot{V} Volumetric flow ratem³/h η_p Pump efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- w Pump powerW k Heat exchanger hydraulic loss coefficient1/m.kg k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient[m.kg]^{1/2}	U	Overall heat transfer coefficient	W/m². °C
V Volume m^3 V Volumetric flow rate m^3/h η_p Pump efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- w Pump power W k Heat exchanger hydraulic loss coefficient $1/m.kg$ k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient $[m.kg]^{1/2}$	λ	Under-relaxation coefficient	-
\dot{V} Volumetric flow ratem³/h η_p Pump efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- w Pump powerW k Heat exchanger hydraulic loss coefficient1/m.kg k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient[m.kg]^{1/2}	arphi	Heat load	W
η_p Pump efficiency- η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- w Pump powerW k Heat exchanger hydraulic loss coefficient1/m.kg k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient[m.kg]^{1/2}	V	Volume	m ³
η_t Heat exchanger efficiency- w Pump powerW k Heat exchanger hydraulic loss coefficient1/m.kg k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient[m.kg]^{1/2}	<i>॑</i> V	Volumetric flow rate	m³/h
wPump powerWkHeat exchanger hydraulic loss coefficient $1/m.kg$ k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient $[m.kg]^{1/2}$	η_p	Pump efficiency	-
kHeat exchanger hydraulic loss coefficient $1/m.kg$ k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient $[m.kg]^{1/2}$	η_t	Heat exchanger efficiency	-
k_v Valve hydraulic loss coefficient $[m.kg]^{1/2}$	W	Pump power	W
	k	Heat exchanger hydraulic loss coefficient	1/m.kg
N Valve authority -	k_v	Valve hydraulic loss coefficient	[m.kg] ^{1/2}
	Ν	Valve authority	-

Rotation speed

п

CHAPTER 1

1. Introduction

1.1 Importance of renewable heat sources in district heating systems

Increasing rate of greenhouse gas emissions together with scarcity of fusil-based energy sources and prospect of future buildings role in energy sector have projected national and international regulations to put renewable energy sources into practice in order to achieve sustainable energy systems in the future. According to directive of the European parliament and the council on the promotion of the use of energy from renewable sources, the framework has been set an EU 20% target for renewable energy utilization until 2020. As a result of National Renewable Energy Action Plans for promoting investors and other economic operators, the rapid increase in the share of renewables from 10.4% in 2007 to 17% in 2015 is evident. The energy efficiency in the heating and cooling sector. In parallel, the heating and cooling options would motivate the fuel switching from fossil fuels to renewable energy in the heating and cooling sector, also covering the existing building stock [1].

District heating and cooling systems play a determinative role regarding new policies. In recent years the effect of DHC in future energy systems is consistently studied whether in a statistical or analytical approach with focus on parameters such as buildings heat energy demand, possibilities of using local renewable energy sources, design, control and management, etc. [2].

District heating system means the distribution of thermal energy in the form of steam or hot water from a central source of production to multiple buildings or sites. Thermal energy is used for space heating and domestic hot water or process heating. A DHS is consisted of a heat producer, a transmission network including pipelines for supply and return, and local substations in which heat from the DH water is being transferred to the radiator circuit and the hot water circuit of the heat consumer. The DH network is called the primary side, and the consumer circuit including heat exchange utilities connected to DH are called the secondary side. Every substation is connected both to the supply and the return circuits of the DH system. Figure 1-1 shows three main parts of a DH system.



Figure 1-1 Three main parts of a district heating system

In 2012 the main fuel used in Europe was gas (40%), followed by coal (29%) and biomass (16%). District heating can integrate renewable electricity (through heat pumps), geothermal and solar thermal energy, waste heat and municipal waste. It can offer flexibility to the energy system through synergies between waste-to-energy processes and district heating/cooling which finally could provide a secure, renewable, and in some cases, more affordable energy comparing to fossil fuels [3]. Future of DHS is depicted as sustainable energy systems using 100% Renewable energy sources such as wind, solar, geothermal, waste heat, biomass energy, etc. The new actor called "prosumer" are energy consumers able to act as distributed heat sources and cooperate in energy production by delivering heat into the main system. In this situation due to fluctuating energy sources a flexible energy management is needed [4].

Compared to individual heat production by end users, DHS are considered as a more reliable and efficient, and environmental friendlier alternative solution for meeting space heating and domestic hot water demand of households, offices and industries. For example, a comparison of heat production by DHS and by individual heat production using electric boilers in Norway shows that DH solution will result in lower CO₂ emission [5]. Request for district heating in Norwegian buildings will be less in all types of buildings by up to 18% in 2050 [6]. This makes the efficient utilization of RES in DHS essential because DHS not only will still be the promising solution for most users but also should meet newly executed energy and environmental regulations as well. The statistics in Figure 1-2 shows production and consumption of district heating and district cooling in Norway in the past 10 years [7].



Figure 1-2 Net production of district heating in Norway by type of heat central

During the past ten years district heat energy consumptions has considerably increased, however heat produced by clean energy sources had a slight increase. Heating and cooling represents nearly half of the EU final energy use. The share of renewable energy in electricity has increased more than 8% points between 2009 and 2015, while the share of renewables in the heating and cooling sector has only expanded by less than 3% in the same period. Therefore, an ambitious, but flexible, measure in this sector seem to be highly demanded [1].

1.2 Future of district heating systems

DHC are an enabler for higher shares of renewable energy in the EU energy system. There is a vast untapped potential for using industrial scale heat pumps in district heating and it is estimated that over 25% of the EU population live in areas suitable for geothermal district heating applications. Furthermore, DHS represents an important infrastructural technology to facilitate increased total conversion efficiencies of waste-to-energy plants.[3]

An option to increase renewable energy in the heating is to facilitate the uptake of renewable energy and waste heat in district heating systems by utilizing best production sharing and energy performance measures to enable a better access to local heat sources. A close consideration to an additional reinforced consumer rights framework is also necessary. This option empowers consumers to produce renewable heat locally and use a renewable district heating system to create local synergies with other users and possibly disconnection of prosumer with additional local renewable heat production from previous DHS, thereby having a positive social impact. Analysis of the future application of such strategies shows the disconnection between 2020 and 2030 further contributing to climate change issues. Even though allowing disconnection could have negative effect on the economic planning of local district heating companies, these impacts would be compensated by the positive social and environmental impacts. In this option administrative burden will be directly associated to the level of penetration of district heating systems at national level. In particular, EU Member States with low share of district heating will face confined administrative burden and likely moderate disconnection probabilities [1].

The older district heating and cooling systems must develop to accommodate the increase of renewable energy shares. However, the current cost in district heating and cooling does not allow a transition to efficient and renewable energy supply. Energy management will require administrative supports in order to increase the profitability of integration of RES. Heat generation as a by-product from industries, waste heat from power stations, the service sector and infrastructure such as metros or low grade waste heat from other reliable resources such as waste heat from cooling datacentres could be reused in plants or sold to heat buildings nearby. Heat-creating operations like datacentres might be paired with heat-consuming operations like district heating systems, creating a closed-loop system that has minimized waste.

Figure 1-3 shows the scheme of a datacentre which can exploit waste heat in the main DHS grid. Datacentres act as huge electrical heaters fed with electrical energy and all this electrical energy is converted to thermal energy inside the datacentre and finally heats up the outdoor air. A growing number of datacentres are redirecting this excess heat to nearby facilities such as district heating plants or directly in heat distribution operations. The ability to re-use excess heat from servers is being built into new datacentres, helping to improve the energy efficiency profile of these facilities.



Figure 1-3 layout of a datacentre equiped with waste heat recovery facility

There are two considerable technical issues with datacentre waste heat reuse: the relatively low temperatures involved and the difficulty of transporting heat. Many of the reuse applications to date have used the low-grade server exhaust heat in an application physically adjacent to the datacentre. To increase the temperature level, datacentres operate with mechanical cooling components such as heat pump which provides more valuable, and marketable thermal energy hot refrigerant at temperatures far better for heat recovery. Waste heat coming out of heat pumps at temperatures in the range of 55°C to 80°C can be transferred to a liquid medium for easier transport and can be used in district heating. Appropriate heat pumps for this type of work are highly efficient, and the energy used by the heat pumps gets added to the stream of energy moving to the heat user. A common design approach to regain the waste heat is using heat recovery condensers added to other cooling equipment. Depending on the application requirements such water temperatures combined with the quantity supplied may offer significant economic advantages.

The second problem is producer-consumer. Establishing a link between the producer of the thermal energy, the datacentre, and the consumer, in a large scale is hardly possible today. DHS could be a possibility, but there are not too many available and those operate with high temperature levels. However, trend trough out all generations of district heating systems was mainly to reduce the distribution temperature in order to reduce heat losses within the pipe lines and thus more efficient heat production system. Therefore, a new generation of DHS should be LTDH in order to be able to comply with integrating such clean energy resources. The barriers to the use of these resources are lack of awareness; information on the resource available; insufficient business models and motivations; a lack of heat networks; and lack of cooperation between waste heat generator unit and district heating companies.

1.3 Objectives

Based on the future trends in DHS, transition to renewable energy society depends on an in-depth understanding of the effect of prosumers and distributed heat production on the whole performance and efficiency of DHS.

By introducing the concept of prosumer in the mathematical models the interaction of centralized heat production in presence of a distributed heat source and consequent technical characteristics of the whole network such as distribution of pressure and temperature will be investigated. Further on, different components and controls will be introduced to the model. The aim is to first develop the models for DHS that could treat the pressure and temperature distribution in the network and analyse possibilities of integrating different shares of distributed waste heat source.

1.4 Scope of the work

Gløshaugen university campus of NTNU in Trondheim Norway is considered as a case study to analyse the developed model, because the university has established its own heat distribution network. Gløshaugen campus DHS is a separated ring network which is connected to the main network of Trondheim by utilizing heat exchangers to receive heat from the main network and distribute it through the whole campus. This way, it is possible to control the supply and return temperature within the DH ring. Within this small-scale network it is also possible to utilize waste heat from cooling the datacentre by using heat pumps. The mathematical model will be implemented in MATLAB and different scenarios will be examined and compared.

CHAPTER 2

2. Literature review

During recent years integration of RES and distributed heat sources has been vastly studied with focus on different aspects.

2.1 Issues in integrating renewable heat sources to district heating systems

Future DHS integrated with RES must supply necessary heat with lower temperature grade in order to experience less grid losses and production cost. This is predictable, since general trend of buildings energy demand is decreasing and new standards on renovation and fabricating methods are being applied in different countries. In this context interfaces between energy supply and demand could play an important role toward the transition to smart grid DHS. Interfaces in DHS are linkages between energy supply and consumer demand. Issues concerning enhancement of interfaces are classified in hard and soft issues in [6]. Hard issues are structural aspects of DHS including energy supply models, pressure and temperature levels in the network, adaptability of consumer's substation and configuration of decentralized energy sources connection. Soft issues are related to pricing and business models, control concepts, optimization of energy supply and demand and energy measurements [6].

Decentralized systems are defined as system in which heat supply is distributed between several plants but centrally managed. In such systems pipes must be able to carry production fluxes in addition to consumption fluxes. Hence, study on pipes are highly crucial. Despite of limited range of available data for different operation conditions investigated in [6] it was revealed that heat losses through pipes in a DHS is less in bigger networks and is more when heat density is lower.

2.2 Introduction of prosumer

Within the scope of smart grid DHS, it is highly necessary to take into account the possibilities for available distributed RES to get integrated with the main systems. In a smart grid DHS each building could be able to deliver its production into the grid which affects

the business models of DHS. The new actor "prosumer" who is a consumer that is able to export heat to main distribution network is introduced. In this situation characteristics of prosumer must be studied both in technical and financial aspects.

Introduction of prosumer and its effects was studied in [6] while a research on technical challenges of integrating prosumers with an already existed DHS in Malmö Sweden was conducted in [8]. DHS in presence of prosumers will have lower temperature levels because heat produced from RES has high thermal efficiency but at low temperature levels. Also, utilization of RES and waste heat is more efficient with lower temperature levels within DHS.

Increase in velocity within pipes are predictable when prosumers produce at their maximum, why pipe dimensioning is of a great importance in smart grid DHS.

Introduction of prosumer heat to the system causes pressure cones which dramatically affect consumers both near and far from prosumer substation. In order to be able to harvest more heat from prosumer it is suggested to maintain lower initial pressure gradient from main plant but this consideration may cause low pressure at customers not affected by prosumers [8].

2.3 Modelling approaches in district heating systems

Operation of the DHS is analysable once the physical characteristics involved in a DHS are modelled in a proper way. Including details and assumptions aligned with the reality of the operation, leads to more accurate description of DHS and consequently better decision making. General theory behind the all researches concerning technical characteristics and behaviour of DHS is based on hypothesises from continuum mechanics, however proper assumptions and design methods applied on each study.

A physical model in Matlab for dynamic analysis of a DHS was studied in [9]. Network structure consist of pipes, connections and substations is modelled using graph theory approach. Pressure drop and thermal losses are linked to pipes as flow and heat resistor. Considering constant return temperature controlled by flow control valve, consumer installations in the network are assumed as time varying thermal and hydraulic resistances. In hydraulic part of their model computations were optimized by Proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) approach which is based on finding a set of orthogonal data that explains most of the dynamic behaviour of system. These set is obtained by monitoring time instances of flow in branches over a period. Dynamic simulation of thermal behaviour is separated from hydraulic part due to difference in response time. Hydraulic balance in DHN is typically achieved in few seconds, however temperature changes may take hours to propagate from plant to consumers. This duration depends on velocity of water in the pipes which consequently should be resulted from hydraulic balance in network. By applying nodal method approach and using implicit backward method thermal part was solved for the case study. Despite the small average error, simulation showed to be hardly able to follow dynamic pattern of the network, more severe at costumers further away. This might have been because of lack detailed information about pipes.

Another model of a DHN that utilizes geothermal resources as centralized heat production solution is presented in [10]. Steady state and dynamic models of a DHN is developed in this research. Models of radiators, water as heat carrier, hydraulic and thermal losses in pipes and building heat storage were integrated into one model block. Finally, a case study was simulated in Matlab in order to study behaviour of one costumer building. At first

system characteristics were obtained from steady state model. Results of dynamic simulation shows that at maximum flow rates increase in radiator size is needed to cope with the required heat demand. This effect is more significant if maximum flow rate must keep unchanged. These models could reflect the reality with low average error, number of costumers to be considered has strong impact on results though.

Models of a DHN which can be coupled with RES and building energy simulations is presented in [11]. In this research programme spheat consist of 5 sub-programmes is introduced. With a quasi-dynamic approach flow and pressures were calculated using static flow model in one sub programme, while temperature is calculated in time variant model in its proper sub programme. Another sub program carries on the structure model of network which is developed from graph theory. Analogy of Kirchhoff's law of electric circuits is applied to this model to define proper relations to compute flow rate and pressure in the network. Developed equations then solved by the application of standard numerical approaches. Dynamic values of temperatures likewise are obtained in related sub programme by solving first law of thermodynamics applied on different nodes with finite difference method. Finally, models were structured in Matlab to study performance of an existing DHN. Further optimizations were outdoor temperature compensation by outdoor temperature and control strategies of pump. Static pump control which is based on constant pressure difference between supply and return lines seemed not to be so advantageous as if extra pumping cost during low demand seasons were evident, while dynamic control strategy resulted in 40% saving in pump energy cost. Another development of network achieved by investigating consumer's distributions. The closer the high demand consumers are to the plant, the more saving of heat and pumping energy cost is potential. In a different situation assistance of solar collector in increasing temperature in the pipes were studied. Re-heating the supply temperature in this situation might not be advantageous, since inlet temperature is high, and heating return temperature and introducing it to supply line struggles with pressure gradients between supply and return lines.

2.4 Simulation of district heating systems in presence of prosumer

Depend on temperature levels of DHN prosumers connections may have different approaches. Installation configurations of a Substation connected to a decentralized production unit and able to carry bidirectional thermal flux in a smart district heating network is simulated with I.H.E.N.A software in order to compare the effect of such installations on supply and temperature levels [12]. Results of four utility layouts (Supply-Return, Supply- Supply, Return - Return, Return- Supply) shows that circuits of Supply-Return and Return – Return cause increase in return temperature which consequently leads to decrease in main production efficiency, while two other circuits show increase in Supply temperature which propagates also in return line but with lower level. In this case temperature rise by this utility might have negative effect on decentralized production of utilities downstream. Considering that in this study it was assumed that prosumers production is first used by user utility and excess of energy is directed into the network, the effect of prosumers more significant when higher shares of distributed production is introduced to DHN. Being able to obtain sufficient amount of decentralized energy production substations must allow bidirectional energy flow within a proper temperature levels, why utility substations in Smart DHN is important.

Impact of prosumers equipped with solar collectors on DHN of Malmo, Sweden is studied using the NetSim in [8]. In this simulation study scenarios were categorized by season, energy and production cases and then combined to reflect the reality. Results for both pressure and temperature distribution in network shows that costumers close to prosumer substation are significantly affected. Utilities near to prosumer might face changes in supply or return temperature (depend on where heat is introduced) which effects on flow rate within the pipes.it was resulted that when prosumers produce their maximum heat, due to higher energy flux, velocity in pipes increases, therefore greater attention to pipe dimensions and interfaces must be paid when dealing with DHNs with decentralized production units. Also, this study was resulted that when prosumers apply their own pressure cones (supply and return pressure gradient) pressure differential is higher and it's lower when supply water temperature is mixed with lower temperature water produced by prosumers. Later mostly happens when prosumer generates its pressure cone less frequently. Pressure differential is also affected by propagation of water from prosumer on the whole network which consequently depends on how pressure differential in the network is controlled.

Another similar simulation by NetSim on same DHN as previous one but focused on energy balance and carbon dioxide emission was done by [13]. Prosumers in this simulation was able to utilize excess heat from cooling machines. Results compare the reference case without prosumers and the case with prosumers. CO_2 emission saving was shown to be positive when cooling machine can provide higher supply temperature. This is because more electricity is converted to heat. Since cooling machines usually provide low grade heat, utilization of such units could have negative impact on whole network when higher share of these heats is available. This condition might be because of introduction of low temperature heat produced by cooling machine into supply line during warmer seasons. Results of pressure differentials and velocity gradients at users near prosumer was shown to be higher particularly when in warmer seasons main supply temperature is high. Regardless of renewable energy type, more delicate investigations of impact of prosumers on DHN seems to be highly demanded, as if both of the two last mentioned studies were revealed some of such impacts.

All the mentioned studies are based on the common mathematical models, however include specific constraints or supplements depending on the aim of the research. Numerical design of thermal systems [14] Represents one of the most comprehensive models of overall design of thermal system with application on DHNs, hence it is used as a basis for the present thesis project, and will be further discussed.

CHAPTER 3

3. Methodology

Beside the importance of detailed representation of DHS in general, a delicate research on distributed RES is necessary for treating both parts simultaneously. First, the literature review identified areas with application of RES and DHS. It was found that all studies could be categorized in four subjects where each group was focused on a specific aspect of DHS and utilization of RES. The research activities were mainly focused on issues associated with connection of RES and DHN, introduction of the so-called prosumer to the existing and future DHS, modelling and design approaches that can treat bidirectional flow in network grids and simulation methods that reflect the reality of utilizing RES in DHS.

According to majority of the reviewed literature concerning modelling of DHS with RES, continuum mechanics together with first and second laws of thermodynamic were found to be the most reasonable ways to represent the physical process. Moreover, it was found that for the analysis of different variables in the DHS it is sufficient to describe the physical characteristics in macroscopic level.

Therefore, for this project a mathematical model was developed to find the performance of DHN Gløshaugen campus of NTNU. The aim was to model scenarios of RES use and identify their influence on DHN. The model employed conservation laws and was developed in MATLAB. Simplified sub-models of different components such as pumps, heat exchangers, flow control valves and prosumer substation were created and integrated to the main model. The mechanical components were sized and selected according to criteria suggested in standards and manufactures brochures.

Independent data on energy inputs such as heat demands, building types and outdoor temperature were collected by using statistical information provided by university's energy monitoring platform and national statistics.

The hydraulic and thermal performance were the main parameters this study aimed to find out. Therefore, the outcome was identification of the temperature and pressure levels and mass flow rate in each pipe section of selected network. Appropriate boundary conditions that reflect the reality of the Gløshaugen campus were selected.

Hence, in order to discuss the pros and cons of integration of higher shares of RES to DHS, three test cases were defined accordingly. In addition, functionality and operation of the

pump and flow control valve were analysed. The scenario for the supply temperature level control was developed and studied. Further, the found results were treated as reliable.

CHAPTER 3

4. Theoretical background for modelling of district heating systems

In this section first, fundamental theories concerning design of thermal systems are explained then related equations are derived to analyse the DHS. Models for hydraulic and thermal parts are separately derived as it was suggested in the most reviewed literature.

4.1 Theory and principles

Design of thermal systems and DHN compels one to deal with transport phenomena. Approach based on continuum mechanics allows one to explicitly study performance of a thermal system including propagation of physicals characteristics while geometry of system is considered. Continuum hypothesis is relied on studying the system in macroscopic scale, considering only average effect of system properties. Hence, physical quantities are assumed to be vary continuously in different points of the system [14]. In problems including isothermal and non-isothermal properties, equations that reflect the natural behaviour of systems must be applied. Such equations are called "conservation laws". The so-called "continuity equation" and "momentum equation" treat isothermal characteristics while "energy equation" deals with non-isothermal problems.

According to principle of mass conservation rate of change of mass inside a control volume is equal to rate of the mass flowing through surface boundaries. Time derivative of mass contained in the control volume takes the net rate of mass increase in volume into account [14]. Therefore, continuity equation is derived as

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla . \, p v \tag{4.1}$$

Momentum equation expresses second law of Newton applied to a fluid which means rate of change of momentum of a fluid is equal to sum of all forces related to fluid. Forces acting on the fluids are divided in rate of momentum due to convection and external forces.

Change in momentum due to convection is because momentum flux through boundaries of the control volume. Surface forces are related to pressure and viscous forces acting on fluid body [14].

Surface forces could be defined considering deviatory stress tensor τ :

$$\sigma = PI + \tau \tag{4.2}$$

Where first term in right-hand side is static pressure and second term represents shear stress on fluid that can be interpreted as viscous effects. The only external body force we assume is gravity force. The momentum equation could be written as

$$\frac{\partial \rho v}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \rho v v - \nabla P - \nabla \cdot \tau + \rho g \qquad 4.3$$

Where the term on the left-hand side is the net rate of momentum change and one the righthand side there are momentum change due to convection, surface forces and body forces respectively. Energy equation in a simpler way is defined by neglecting viscous heating and considering constant thermal conductivity.

$$\rho c_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \rho c_p v \cdot \nabla T = K \nabla^2 T + \phi_s$$

$$4.4$$

Last term of right hand side takes heat generation in the volume into account.

4.1.1 Graph theory

In order to model a DHS first network topology must be defined. Systems including flow distribution are often complicated and vast number of details are to be considered. One of the useful tools for description of is based on graph theory.

Graph is a mathematical representation of a set of connected objects. Conceptually graph is built by nodes which are connected through edges (lines that connect two nodes). In case of DHS, nodes could be interpreted as junctions and edges are corresponding to pipe branches in the network. Central heat distribution building and costumer substations are also treated as nodes. Interconnection of nodes and branches is expressed by means of the so called "incidence matrix" with rows equal to number of nodes (i) and columns equal to number of branches (j).

By means of graph theory equations 4.1, 4.3 and 4.4 could be then formulated accordingly. Using graph illustration for a DHN, it is possible to define state properties such as pressure and temperature at each node. Also, mass flow rates and velocities are defined in each branch of the network.

Following simplifications are to be considered:

• One-dimensional model:

Fluid systems in which fluids are distributed in pipe lines are often considered in one predominant dimension (usually along the pipe length when L>>D). This approach allows one to significantly reduce complexity and computation burdens and investigate larger systems thoroughly, however three-dimensional models give more delicate description of system. Therefore, first simplification of DHN model is to consider propagation of state properties along the length of the pipes [14].

• Specific heat capacity

District heating network supplies water with at most 120°C which makes it possible to assume constant specific heat capacity for water of 4.187 kJ/kg·K at all temperatures for sake of simplicity.

• Incompressible fluid

At Mach numbers below 0.4 fluid can be considered incompressible, therefore density doesn't change with pressure changes. In this case, the coupling between pressure and velocity introduces a constraint on the solution: one should find the pressure field which makes the resulting velocity field satisfy the continuity equation. Hydraulic behaviour and thermal behaviour of the DHN are separately modelled.

• Steady state condition

Models for thermal systems are often proposed in steady state condition in order to obtain essential characteristics of the system.

4.2 Fluid dynamic model

Isothermal characteristics of thermal systems such as hydraulic behaviour of DHS could be explained through momentum and continuity equations, therefore 4.1 and 4.3 are simplified as:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho v_1)}{\partial x_1} = 0 \tag{4.5}$$

$$\rho v_1 \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_1} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_1} - (\nabla \cdot \tau)_1 + F_1$$

$$4.6$$

Where $(\nabla, \tau)_1$ takes viscous force into account and must then be formulated. F_1 However, includes local fluid resistance and effect of pumps and gravity [14].

$$F_1 = \rho g_{x_1} - F_{LOCAL} + F_{PUMP} \tag{4.7}$$

For a control volume such as a generic branch of a DHN, equation 4.6 is reformulated to

$$(P_{out} - P_{in}) = -\Delta P_{FRICT} - \Delta P_{LOCAL} + \Delta P_{PUMP}$$

$$4.8$$

Where Total pressure is defined as

$$P = p + \rho \frac{v^2}{2} + \rho gz \tag{4.9}$$

The Darcy-Weisbach equation is now considered the best empirical relation for pipe-flow resistance [15]:

$$\Delta P_{FRICT} = \frac{1}{2} f \frac{L}{D} \rho(\nu_1)^2 \tag{4.10}$$

Similarly, minor loss is formulated as:

$$\Delta P_{LOCAL} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \beta_{k} \rho(v_{1})^{2}$$

$$4.11$$

f The friction factor and β_k the local loss coefficient must be selected properly according to pipe and connection types. These are values usually provided in literature or producers catalogue. ΔP_{PUMP} Is usually expressed by characteristic curve which can be approximated by polynomial functions.

Similarly, mass conservation equation for a DHN is achieved by considering a generic junction as control volume. Using divergence theorem and integrating over the control volume [14].

$$\sum_{j} \rho_j v_{1,j} S_j + G_{ext} = 0 \tag{4.12}$$

Summation is over generic branches j connected to the junction. G_{ext} is possible extraction of fluid from junction to external environment.

4.3 Thermal model

In most applications including heat transfer the effect of viscous heating and compressibility is neglected [14].

$$\rho c_p v \cdot \nabla T = \nabla \cdot K \nabla T + \varphi_s \tag{4.13}$$

Here again one-dimensional approach holds $T \equiv T(x_1, t)$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho c_p v_1 T)}{\partial x_1} = K \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x_1^2} + \varphi_s \tag{4.14}$$

Where $\varphi_s = \varphi_v - \varphi_l \cdot \varphi_v$ Takes volumetric heat generation into account and φ_l considers non-adiabatic walls. Heat exchange at the walls are perpendicular to assumed direction in this one-dimensional analysis and it's not possible to analyse them. Therefore, this heat exchange is considered by φ_l as a volumetric sink term. It is positive in case of heat loss and negative when heat is supplied through walls.

Water with different temperatures join in junction and leave with equal temperatures. Therefore, considering a generic junction including the branch ducts connected to it as control volume equation 4.14 is rewritten as

$$\sum_{j} p_j c_{p,j} v_{1,j} T_j S_j = K \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_1} |_j S_j + \varphi_v - \varphi_l$$

$$4.15$$

First term on the right-hand side is heat transfer due to conduction and can be neglected, because convection heat transfer (second term in left hand side 4.15) is dominant.

CHAPTER 4

5. Case Study

In this chapter models illustrated in previous chapter were utilized to analyse DHS of NTNU university campus in Trondheim, Norway Figure 5-1. Discussions about network thermal losses, decentralized heat production and performance of mechanical components could be done once the pressure and temperature distribution in the network is understood.



Figure 5-1 Gløshaugen campus of NTNU

To enable low grade excess heat from a datacentre, Gløshaugen campus of NTNU has established its own DHS separated from the main DHS of Trondheim. This system utilizes two heat exchangers that are connected to DHS of Trondheim in its central heat distribution building in order to supply heat with demanded temperature for 24 buildings.23 consumers are departments, classrooms, laboratories and other offices of university and the prosumer is the building where datacentre is located. The prosumer building utilizes heat pumps to capture waste heat from cooling a datacentre. Due to high central heat distribution water temperature prosumer redirects its production to the return line while receives its total heat demand from CHDB.

5.1 Preliminary design

Before starting calculation of pressure and temperature distributions in the network it is necessary to perform preliminary design of the system to be able to define and size different component in the network. Statistical analysis for thermal energy consumption patterns of consumers and polynomial function fitting for formulating the performance curve of the pump are the most useful tools for this purpose.

5.1.1 Thermal energy demand

The first step of this preliminary design is the calculation of the heat requirement by the various buildings connected to the network. Thermal requirement of buildings was obtained by collecting thermal energy usage history of each building from "energy operation monitoring platform" provided for the university campus from 2010 until 2016. Figure 5-2 reports seasonal thermal consumption in recent 6 years.



Figure 5-2 statistics of outdoor temperature and heat consumption 2010-2016

Reduction of thermal energy consumption in recent years might be because of higher average outdoor temperature and energy efficiency improvements and utilization of waste heat since 2012. The research in [16] gives detailed information about buildings energy use and demand load characteristics of buildings in campus, such as building area, functionality, construction year and overall heat transfer coefficient U-value of exterior walls. For this study the models were calibrated and tested according to thermal demand in 2016. The heat demand for 2016 is given in Figure 5-3.



Figure 5-3 overall heat consumption of campus and out door temperature in 2016

Heat load at CHDB is the sum of thermal request of all consumers together with distribution losses.

$$\varphi_{Load} = \varphi_{request} + \varphi_{Loss} \tag{5.1}$$

Heat losses due to conduction between pipe surface and soil is proportional to the temperature of the water flowing in the pipes and temperature of the soil as shown in Figure 5-4. Selection of proper pipe configuration and insulation type is of a great importance in order to minimize thermal losses. Heat losses could be formulated, considering summation over heat transfer of half of the branches (j) that are connected to a junction (i) and soil.

$$\varphi_{Loss} = \sum_{j} \frac{L_j}{2} \Omega_j U_j (T_i - T_{\infty})$$
 5.2

Where Ω_j is perimeter of the branch and U_j is global heat transfer coefficient. T_{∞} is temperature of ground.



Figure 5-4 Ground temperature and thermal energy loss

The heat load in the campus was obtained using 5-1, Hourly heat demand of campus and outdoor temperature variations are shown in Figure 5-5.



Figure 5-5 Hourly heat demand and out door temperature in 2016

The duration curve is considered as a useful tool for understanding the size of thermal plants. Figure 5-6 shows for how many hours production center must meet required thermal


request. Gløshaugen campus at its highest load requires nearly 11MW heat power, hence the system must be designed to be able to work in such condition.

Figure 5-6 Duration curve of outdoor temperature and heat load for NTNU

The heat demand of the buildings is associated with outdoor temperature. As shown in Figure 5-7 by increasing outdoor temperature heat demand reduces from occasional peak loads to most frequent heat demands in warmer days.



Figure 5-7 Heat demand of the campus Vs. Outdoor temperature in 2016

Despite the fact that the thermal request is much lower than the maximum for most of the hours in the year, design parameters such as pipe diameters, and pumps design characteristics were set for maximum thermal requirement. Highest heat load, 11 MW appeared only four hours in the year was then selected as design condition. Figure 5-8 shows

the location of each building in the campus numbered according to the pipe distance of the building from CHDB. In the Table 5-1 there is heat demand of each building in design condition according to numeration of Figure 5-8.



Figure 5-8 Location number and type of each building sorted by distance

No.	Total area [m²]	Distance [m]	Heat demand [kW]	No.	Total area [m²]	Distance [m]	Heat demand [kW]
1	19468.6	92.5	742.1595	13	12860.69	250	213.5855
2	5053.22	101	254.3445	14	3684	290	298.7236
3	45342	149	429.6997	15	3955	325	335.0341
4	3030	152	159.6743	16	7598	345	254.27
5	4116	162.5	224.3542	17	6067	375	567.2586
6	15026	172.5	527.5961	18	4837	417	813.7315
7	4312	172.5	162.873	19	4046	437	159.6782
8	17360	190	686.2723	20	4781.21	475	164.8263
9	17936.36	215	508.0162	21	52773	512	2759.265
10	2476	215	110.8951	22	18595	523	567.1222
11	2353	220	124.219	23	11400	535	11400
12	2215	232	210.7057	24	12600	542	332.7508

Table 5-1 thermal characteristics of buildings

Heat demand profile in design day was obtained by comparing the profile of all buildings in different days of the year. It was revealed that variations in demand of all buildings in a day were very similar to each other and almost constant during the year. Overall heat load on the CHDB was obtained by aggregating heat demand of all buildings in design day as shown in Figure 5-9.



Figure 5-9 Profile of heat request of users

Heat is provided for the campus buildings during both day and night. At around 6:00 heat load of the CHDB is at its maximum which is 140% of the value estimated for that day according to the outdoor temperature and remains higher than the design value for most of the daytime. During the night heat demand of the campus is nearly 85% of the design.

5.1.2 Waste heat from datacenter

Heat pumps could increase the efficiency of the waste heat integration by maintaining higher temperature level of the product. As it was discussed in previous chapters, despite of low temperature level of heated water, cooling process in datacentre is done the entire year at fairly constant load. This makes the waste heat from cooling process to be always available, however recovering large amount of thermal energy requires low temperature of water from the main network. If the waste heat is not absorbed continuously then the financial pay-back quickly fades away.

An outlet branch redirects required flow rate to heat pump circuits where cooling the datacentre provides waste heat to be recovered.

In the datacentre substation a typical refrigeration machine working with ammonia rejects approximately 1 MW for each 650 kW of cooling at maximum temperature of 65°C. Heat recovery involves matching a source of waste heat to a practical sink. To recover the heat,

a heat exchanger is installed in the hot-gas line between the compressor and the condenser as shown in Figure 5-10. Heat pumps, operating year-round for cooling or heating, offer a fine opportunity to use a hot-gas heat exchanger. Cold water is circulated through the heat exchanger by the circulating pump. In order to guarantee the efficiency of heat transfer process cold water is supplied for heat recovery with constant mass flow rate. The value was obtained for design condition where 1.2MW is captured with maximum temperature.



Figure 5-10 Waste heat recovery through heat pump cycle



Figure 5-11 Molier diagram of heat pump cycle for Ammonia as refrigerant

Working fluid ammonia evaporates as a result of cooling purposes (1-2). Ammonia vapour then compressed to 25 bar and 100°C by consuming electric power \dot{W}_e before entering the heat exchanger where cold water from return line is recirculated on the other side in order

to capture heat from hot vapour until condensation temperature of ammonia (2-3).saturated ammonia exits from heat exchanger and condensed at constant temperature nearly 60°C (4-5). Thermodynamic processes 5-1 is associated with expansion valve in order to decrease pressure and temperature of liquid refrigerant (5-1). In Mollier diagram of ammonia produced by "Coolpack simulation tool for refrigeration systems". Heat load $Q_{Recovered}$ is calculated by performing heat transfer analysis in heat exchanger.

Heat transfer phenomena between ammonia-gas and water for counter-flow heat exchanger is analyzed. Logarithmic mean temperature difference is defined as:

$$LMTD = \frac{\left((T_{h,i} - T_{c,o}) - (T_{h,o} - T_{c,i}) \right)}{\ln\left((T_{h,i} - T_{c,o}) - (T_{h,o} - T_{c,i}) \right)}$$
5.3

$$NTU = \frac{UA}{c_{p_h} G_{h,des}}$$
 5.4

where $UA = \frac{\phi_{des}}{LMTD}$ defines heat transfer area and overall heat transfer coefficient. Efficiency is computed as

$$\eta_t = \frac{1 - e^{(-NTU(1-R))}}{1 - Re^{(-NTU(1-R))}}$$
 5.5

where the ratio $R = \frac{c_{p_h} G_{h,des}}{c_{p_c} G_{c,des}}$ is used.

Heat exchanger is designed to work in the following condition:

Hot side	Cold side
$T_{h,i} = 100^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	$T_{c,i} = 40^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
$T_{h,o} = 60^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	$T_{c,o} = 65^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$

Having *R* and η_t and two known inlet temperatures at each current time the amount of heat transfer and temperatures at the outlet can be obtained:

$$Q_{Recovered} = AU \cdot LMTD = c_{p_c}G_{c,des}(T_{c,o} - T_{c,i}) = c_{p_h}G_{h,des}(T_{h,i} - T_{h,o})$$
5.6

$$(T_{c,o} - T_{c,i}) = R(T_{h,i} - T_{h,o}) = R\eta_t(T_{h,i} - T_{c,i})$$
5.7

5.1.3 Mass flow rate of consumer substations in design condition

Once the heat demand of all buildings was collected, the mass flow rates supplying for each building was obtained by

$$G = \frac{\varphi_d}{c_p(T_S - T_R)}$$
 5.8

where c_p stands for the specific heat of the fluid, T_S for the supply temperature and T_R for the return temperature in design conditions. Temperature level of the network was assumed 25 K which is near to annual average observed form the records. This value was approximated as design value for sizing of the pipes and the consumer heat exchangers. Low temperature difference is the consequence of lower supply temperature. The reason for relatively low temperature level in this DHS is to increase the ability to integrate decentralized waste heat source. On the other hand, low temperature level demands higher mass flow rate in the network and consequently higher power consumption and larger pipes.

5.1.3.1 Mass flow rates in the network branches

The evaluation of the mass flow rates flowing through each branch of the network starts with the values of G at each branch belong to the users and using the analogy of Kirchhoff's current law:

"At any node in the network, the sum of mass flow rates flowing into that node is equal to the sum of mass flow rates flowing out of that node"

For a generic node i:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} G_j = 0 \tag{5.9}$$

where n, is the number of branches flowing toward or away from ith node.

Applying the continuity equation to the nodes mass flow rate at each branch is evaluated. These values will later be used as guess values to solve fluid dynamic problem.

5.1.4 Incidence Matrix of Network

Following the principles of graph theory, incidence matrix of supply and return network is reached by constructing vectors of inlet and outlet nodes arranged by the number of branches. Inlets vector includes nodes that inlet for the branches, outlet vector includes nodes that are outlet for the branches.

The references to the number of each branch and node are shown in the following layouts of network topology



Figure 5-12 Numeration of nodes an branches of supply pipe line



Figure 5-13 Numeration of nodes an branches of return pipe line

Nodes and branches are numbered with black and red numbers respectively.

Node 1 is the central heat production building.

As it can be seen, Due to introduction of heat from datacentre in the return line, Figure 5-12 and Figure 5-13 are slightly different. Therefore, analysis of supply and return distribution are separately done.

Two more branches in return line enables the connection of decentralized heat production unit to the main network (branches 49, 48). Branch 37 and 46 of supply line is therefore needed to be divided in two in return configuration. Hence, return line has four more nodes and branches comparing to supply line.

Nodes 48, 49, 50 are located in the same building but due to difference in utility of substations of this building they are considered as two separate units.

5.1.5 Pipe sizing

Pipes must be sized such that the velocity of the water running through them will not be high enough to cause either vibration induced noise or erosion of the pipe material. in order to avoid these problems maximum velocity of 1.5 m/s in pipes is considered for sizing calculations Knowing all values of the mass flow rates involved in the process, the diameters can be calculated from:

$$D = \sqrt{\frac{4G}{\rho v \pi}}$$
 5.10

Where v is the design velocity of flow and ρ is the fluid density. The results have been compared to commercial values of pipe diameters (DN) provided by "Brugg pipe systems". The pipe selected for the simulation of this case study is a single flow pipe (UNO) insulated with polyurethane foam with reference thermal conductivity of 0.0260 W/mK at 50°C and surface roughness of 0.045 mm.

Pressure drop due to viscosity (4.10) at different fluid velocities and for different pipes are provided in the so-called "pressure drop chart". Figure 5-14 represents the pressure drop variation in this type of pipe.



Figure 5-14 Pressure drop chart of a single pipe (UNO)

Table 5-2 lists the pressure drop values for each pipe as suggested by the manufacturer in pressure drop chart. According to this table it is possible to predict the path associated with the highest pressure drop and the user substation that receives the supply water with lowest pressure.

No.	Length [m]	DN [mm]	v [m/s]	G [kg/s]	Δр [bar]	No.	Length [m]	DN [mm]	v [m/s]	G [Kg/s]	Δp [bar]
1	25	350.00	1.12	107.469	≈ 0	24	50	65.00	1.48	2.013	0.03
2	57.5	250.00	1.26	61.688	0.03	25	37.5	65.00	0.61	2.040	0.02
3	67.5	80.00	1.41	7.090	0.15	26	62.5	65.00	0.61	2.854	0.07
4	17.5	50.00	1.24	2.430	0.06	27	80	200.00	0.86	36.755	0.04
5	30	150.00	0.74	13.122	0.01	28	32.5	65.00	1.17	3.201	0.05
6	45	200.00	1.47	46.136	0.04	29	35	200.00	0.96	33.555	0.02
7	112.5	200.00	1.10	34.586	0.05	30	12.5	200.00	1.07	31.126	≈0
8	15	200.00	1.42	44.611	0.01	31	130	150.00	0.99	24.736	0.14
9	124.2	65.00	1.24	4.105	0.28	32	32.5	65.00	1.40	2.429	0.03
10	25	40.00	1.21	1.525	0.1	33	30	150.00	0.73	20.954	0.02
11	17.5	200.00	1.39	43.551	0.01	34	25	150.00	1.19	19.316	0.02
12	25	65.00	0.65	2.143	0.02	35	27.5	80.00	1.09	5.419	0.04
13	60	80.00	1.00	5.040	0.07	36	57.5	150.00	1.08	13.180	0.02
14	60	100.00	1.03	8.082	0.06	37	17.5	100.00	0.75	7.774	0.02
15	12.5	40.00	1.24	1.556	0.05	38	70	150.00	0.99	13.180	0.02
16	17.5	200.00	1.34	41.995	0.01	39	177.5	40.00	0.75	1.525	0.71
17	40	200.00	1.03	32.443	0.02	40	140	100.00	1.21	10.171	0.21
18	10	200.00	0.88	27.589	≈ 0	41	35	40.00	1.30	1.575	0.15
19	17.5	80.00	1.30	6.556	0.03	42	47.5	100.00	1.25	8.597	0.05
20	17.5	65.00	0.97	3.227	0.03	43	70	150.00	1.09	26.360	0.08
21	35	65.00	1.46	4.853	0.11	44	80	80.00	1.49	5.418	0.11
22	70	32.00	1.32	1.059	0.44	45	47.5	65.00	1.08	3.179	0.07
23	25	32.00	1.12	1.187	0.19	46	210	80.00	0.96	6.136	0.36

5.2 Steady state fluid dynamic problem

Equation 4.12 must be solved for all nodes in the network. Mass flow rate G in generic j^{th} branch is equal to $\rho vs. G_{ext}$ Is associated with i^{th} node.

$$\sum_{j} \rho_j v_{1,j} S_j + G_{ext} = 0 \tag{5.11}$$

In order to write mass conservation for each node 5.11 must be formulated in matrix form as

$$A \cdot G + G_{ext} = 0 \tag{5.12}$$

Where A incidence matrix and G is a column vector that contains flow rates of each branch. G_{ext} is a column vector sized by number of nodes and its values are positive when G is extracted and negative if injected.

Considering a generic branch connecting nodes ith and i-1th momentum 4.8 can be written for steady state condition:

$$(P_{i-1} - P_i) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{G_j^2}{\rho_j (S_j)^2} \left(f_j \frac{L_j}{D_j} + \sum_k \beta_{k,j} \right) - \Delta P_{PUMP,j}$$
 5.13

Previous equation can be reformulated as

$$(P_{i-1} - P_i) = R_j G_j - \Delta P_{PUMP,j}$$

$$5.14$$

Where the term R_i stands for hydraulic resistance

$$R_{j} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{G_{j}}{\rho_{j}(S_{j})^{2}} \left(f_{j} \frac{L_{j}}{D_{j}} + \sum_{k} \beta_{k,j} \right)$$
 5.15

Momentum equation must be solved for each branch. In matrix form B set of equations are obtained as

$$A^T \cdot P = R \cdot G - t \tag{5.16}$$

Where R is a diagonal matrix, P is a column vector contains pressure of each node. Product of $A^T \cdot P$ defines pressure difference between inlet and outlet of each node. t Contains effect of pumps. Rearranging 5.17 gives

$$G = Y \cdot A^T \cdot P + Y \cdot t \tag{5.17}$$

Where $= R^{-1}$, is hydraulic conductance.

5.2.1 Assumption and constraints for fluid dynamic problem

Considering the ring network, the momentum and the continuity equations must be solved to determine the mass flow rate in each branch and the pressure at each node of the network. Following assumption and constraints were also considered for boundaries of system. As it has been computed in the last section, each user extracts demanded mass flow rate from the network to substation in secondary side. Therefore, for mass flow rate for boundaries associated with consumer substations:

$$Gext = \frac{\varphi_d}{c_p(T_S - T_R)}$$
5.18

Vector *Gext* collects extracted and injected mass flow rates from/to each node (positive if extracted and minus if injected). Mass flow rate of water for reheating purpose G_d is also included in this equation for return calculations.

$$G_d = \frac{Q_{Recovered}}{c_p(T_{w,in} - T_{w,out})}$$
5.19

Another boundary condition has been applied to the pressure at the first node which has been considered equal to 1 bar.it is considered that first node is perfectly able to maintain 1 bar pressure for injecting heat flux to first branch.

Only one pump has been considered in the network. It has been considered to belong to the first branch. Its head requirement is adjusted for design condition as it is corresponding to maximum flow rate in the network and therefore maximum hydraulic losses according to Darcy-Weisbach (4.10). In order to control the pump power requirement, the value of pressure at the plant in the return line was assumed higher than 1 bar as

$$P_{1Ret} = P_{1Sup} + \Delta P_{PUMP} - 2\Delta P_{MAX} - \Delta P_{USER}$$
 5.20

Hydraulic losses ΔP_{MAX} were approximated as equal considering both supply and return line and is therefore written two times.

The performance of a centrifugal pump can be shown graphically on a characteristic curve. A typical characteristic curve shows head, efficiency, and power consumption plotted over the flow rate capacity range of the pump.



Figure 5-15 Characteristic curve of the pump, total head Vs. flow rate

A system characteristic curve represents the behaviour of the system in which the pump is operated. It defines the point on the pump characteristic curve on which the pump operates. Plotting the system and pump characteristic curve in the same diagram, the point of intersection is the operation point of the pump, operated at a certain speed in a given system.



Figure 5-16 System curve and charecteristic curve relation

Generally, pump head is reduced by increasing the flow rate, however hydraulic and friction pressure losses in pipes are at maximum when flow rate has its higher value.

Therefore, the pump is dimensioned in order to cope with maximum pressure loss in pipes together with pressure drop at buildings substation.

$$\Delta P_{Pump} = 2\Delta P_{MAX} - \Delta P_{USER}$$
 5.21

Sizing and selection of pump is done for the condition associated with maximum hydraulic losses. Sizing measures are based on flow rate, head requirement working temperature and application. The pump fit for the application of pressure boosting for distribution network selected from Grundfos Danish pump manufacturer with sizing parameters shown in Table 5-3.

Δ P _{Pump,BEP}	<i>Ý</i>	n	Pump power at BEP	n _{P,BEP}
[bar]	[m3/h]	[rpm]	[kW]	[%]
3.7	243	$2620 (0.78\% n_{max})$	28	78

 Table 5-3 Design parameters of the pump at best efficiency point (BEP)



Figure 5-17 Best efficiency point in charecteristic curve of the selected pump.

Characteristic curve of the pump is approximated by a polynomial function. Using series of real data from the pump datasheet polynomial fitting coefficients between head and volumetric flow rate, and pump efficiency and volumetric flow rate are obtained:

$$\Delta P_{PUMP} = a(\dot{V}^2) + b(\dot{V}) + c \qquad 5.22$$

$$\eta_P = x(\dot{V}^2) + y(\dot{V}) + z$$
 5.23

Input power of pump at constant rotation speed can be achieved by:

$$w = \frac{1}{n_{p}\rho} G \,\Delta P_{PUMP}$$
 5.24

 ΔP_{USER} takes minimum pressure drop at the substation at furthest location from first node into account. This is due to ensure performance of heat exchangers at the user's substation. Furthermost substation has lowest supply pressure in the network, therefore calculation of pressure in return line requires the boundary condition for this node as below

$$P_{end,Ret} = P_{end,Sup} - \Delta P_{USER}$$
 5.25

5.3 Steady state thermal problem

Thermal problem in steady state condition involves calculation of temperature when time rate of change in thermal energy is neglected. As it mentioned before conductive term can be neglected and we assume there is no volumetric heat generation in control volume. Heat losses were formulated previously to take heat transfer to surrounding through the walls into account. Therefore, recalling 5.2 the thermal model 4.15 could be written as

$$\sum_{j} G_{j} c_{p,j} T_{j} = \sum_{j} \frac{L_{j}}{2} \Omega_{j} U_{j} (T_{i} - T_{\infty})$$
5.26

Set of N equations in matrix form can be defined as

$$K \cdot T = f \tag{5.27}$$

K is called stiffness matrix and f is the known vector.

Since flow rates in the network are forced convectively by components such as pumps, continuity and momentum equation must be solved in order to define P and G. Thermal problem (Linear system of equations 5.30) then can be solved using proper numerical approach.

5.3.1 Assumption and constraints for thermal problem

The problem is solvable once the boundary conditions are imposed. Referring to the network the boundary conditions to apply are:

The inlet temperature, i.e. the temperature at node 1 is known, so the first equation became trivial and in particular $f(1) = T_{inlet}$ and the first row of K has a 1 in K (1, 1) and zeros in all other positions.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_{inlet} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{inlet} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$
5.28

Outlet temperature, since the supply network must provide heat to the buildings, at those nodes which are users for the network the flow-rate is extracted from the network, so the balance equations for that nodes becomes

$$\frac{SL\rho c_p}{\Delta t} \left(\frac{(T_i^t + T_{i+1}^t)}{2} - \frac{(T_i^{t-\Delta t} + T_{i+1}^{t-\Delta t})}{2} \right) + Gc_p \left(T_{i+1}^t - T_i^t \right) = L * P * U(\overline{T}_b - T_g)$$
5.29

Where T_{i+1}^t is the temperature of the node which is sending flow-rate to node n and $\overline{T}_b = \frac{(T_i^t + T_{i+1}^t)}{2}$ is the mean temperature of the branch. Therefore, the row of the matrix problem corresponding to a user node must be modified to

$$f_n = -\frac{L_j P_j U_j T_g}{c p G_j} + \frac{SL \rho c_p \left(T_i^{t - \Delta t} + T_{i+1}^{t - \Delta t} \right)}{\Delta t 2}$$
 5.30

$$K_{i,i} = -\left(1 + \frac{L_j P_j U_j}{2cpG_j} + \frac{SL\rho}{2\Delta tG_j}\right)$$
5.31

$$K_{i,i+1} = 1 - \frac{L_j P_j U_j}{2cpG_j} + \frac{SL\rho}{2\Delta tG_j}$$
 5.32

Implementing this matrix problem in a proper software like Matlab it is possible to find the vector T of the temperatures at the nodes. For the return network boundary conditions has to change.

Boundary condition for the outlet must now be applied only at node 1, while user nodes are now inlet node with known temperature.

Temperature of return line at user nodes is computed by considering performance of heat exchangers at user's substations. Stating the same procedure illustrated in analysis of waste heat from datacentre efficiency of a heat exchanger is defined as

$$\eta_t = \frac{(T_{sp} - T_{rp})}{(T_{sp} - T_{rs})} 5.33$$

Where T_{sp} , T_{rp} and T_{rs} stand for supply temperature at the primary side, return temperature at primary side and return temperature of secondary side respectively.

It is also possible to determine efficiency of heat exchangers by means of "means of logarithmic mean temperature difference" and "number of transfer units".

$$LMTD = \frac{((T_{sp} - T_{ss}) - (T_{rp} - T_{rs}))}{\ln((T_{sp} - T_{ss}) - (T_{rp} - T_{rs}))}$$
5.34

$$NTU = \frac{UA}{c_p G_{p,des}}$$
 5.35

where $UA = \frac{\phi_{des}}{LMTD}$. Finally, efficiency is computed as

$$\eta_t = \frac{1 - e^{(-NTU(1-R))}}{1 - Re^{(-NTU(1-R))}}$$
 5.36

where the ratio $R = \frac{G_{p,des}}{G_{s,des}}$ is used.

Heat exchangers are designed to work in following design condition:

Network side	User side
$T_{sp} = 90^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	$T_{ss} = 60^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
$T_{rp} = 65^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	$T_{rs} = 40^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$

For any current load $\varphi_c(t)$ other than design load, return temperature of the consumer side may be obtained by empirical relation as:

$$T_{rs}(t) = T_{ss}(t) - \left[1 + 0.3 \left(\frac{\varphi_c(t)}{\varphi_{des}}\right) \left(\frac{T_{ss}(t) - T_R}{(T_{ss} + T_{rs})/2}\right)^{-n_1}\right]^{\frac{2}{n_2}} (T_{ss}(t) - T_R)$$
 5.37

Indoor temperature T_R is suggested 20°C for summer and 22°C winter published by national standards.

Finally, by having the efficiency it is possible to predict return temperature at primary side using 4.23.

5.4 Solution methods

• Simple and Fixed-Point Algorithms:

There are some issues concerning solution of 5.12 and 5.18. Due to term Y momentum equation is non-linear, and two equations are coupled. The vector G appears in both equations.

Therefore, if G is unknown momentum equation cannot be solved explicitly. An iterative method called "SIMPLE-algorithm" (semi-implicit algorithm) is adopted to find values of P and G.

SIMPLE algorithm starts by guessing values of *P* and *G* and solves 5.12 and 5.18.

$$G^* = Y^* \cdot A^T \cdot P^* + Y^* \cdot t \tag{5.38}$$

Correction values of P' and G' can be defined as difference between correct values and guess values

$$\begin{cases} P = P^* + \alpha_P P' \\ G = G^* + \alpha_g G' \end{cases}$$
 5.39

where α_P and α_g are under relaxation factors. Values between 0 and 1 were selected for improvement of calculations. This way the effect of correction values is partially applied, and better stability of computation is achieved.

For sake of simplicity it is assumed that the difference between hydraulic conductance Y and Y^* is negligible.

$$G' = Y^* \cdot A^T \cdot P' \tag{5.40}$$

Using 5.42, continuity equation can be written as

$$A \cdot G' = -A \cdot G^* - G_{ext} \tag{5.41}$$

By substituting 5.43 in 5.44

$$A \cdot Y^* \cdot A^T \cdot P' = -A \cdot G^* - G_{ext}$$
5.42

Equation 5.45 can be written as

$$H \cdot P' = b \qquad 5.43$$

N set of linear equations can be solved to obtain P'. Having P'correction of mass flow rate G'can be found. Due to approximation of hydraulic conductance, resulted values are still different from the correct value, therefore previous steps must be iterated.

SIMPLE algorithm needs to solve 5.41. At each iteration and find the guess value of mass flow rate. A numerical scheme called fixed-point method is then adopted to treat non-linearity of momentum equation.

$$G^* = \Psi(G^*) = Y(G^*) \cdot A^T \cdot P^* + Y(G^*) \cdot t$$
5.44

Fixed-point is an iterative method that is expressed as

$$G_{(k+1)}^* = \Psi(G_{(k)}^*)$$
 5.45

An updated value of G^* is obtained by iteratively solving $\Psi(G^*)$ with previous value of G^* , therefore an initial guess $G^*_{(0)}$ must be made to start the computation. Convergence of the method severely depends on proper guess. Practically by using under relaxation coefficients this problem can be prevented. Following adjustments are considered:

$$G_{(k+1)}^* = \Psi(G_{(r)}^*)$$
 5.46

$$G_{(r)}^* = \lambda_1 G_{(k)}^* + \lambda_2 G_{(k-1)}^*$$
5.47

Under relaxation coefficients are selected so that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$

• Nodal method and upwind scheme:

Upwind scheme is adopted to solve equation 5-30 for thermal problem.

 T_i in 5.30 represents temperature of the ith node while T_j is the temperature at the boundary of control volume surrounding node i. Since temperatures are actually defined at the nodes, by means of upwind scheme temperatures at the boundary are defined in accordance to the nodes.

According to upwind scheme method, temperature at each boundary is assumed equal to temperature in upstream node.

Control volume in Figure 5-18 is assumed as an example.



Figure 5-18 Control volume including a node and half of the connected branches

Temperatures corresponding to branches when the fluid is moving in its positive direction are:

$$T_{j} = T_{i-1}$$
$$T_{j+1} = T_{i+1}$$
$$T_{j+2} = T_{i}$$
$$T_{j+3} = T_{i}$$

In a more explicit form the equation $K \cdot T = f$ for each node could be written in a general form:

$$a_n T_n + \sum_{i=1}^m b_i T_i = f_n \tag{5.48}$$

Where diagonal term of K, a_n is:

$$a_n = \sum_{k=1}^p c_p G_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{p+m} L_j \Omega_j U_j$$
 5.49

And forcing element f_n is:

$$f_n = \frac{1}{2} T_g \sum_{j=1}^{p+m} L_j \Omega_j U_j$$
 5.50

Non-diagonal element b_i is:

$$b_i = -c_p G_i \tag{5.51}$$

Where m indicates all the nodes connected by means of a branch to node n with a flow-rate directed toward n, while p indicates all the nodes connected by means of a branch to node n with a flow-rate exiting from n.

Where K (NxN for N number of nodes) is the stiffness matrix containing the elements a_n on the main diagonal and the elements b_i in other sparse position, T (Nx1) is the vector of the unknown temperature and f (Nx1) is the vector containing the terms f_n .

5.5 Scenarios for improvement of district heating control

Test scenarios considering the functionalities of the network including control strategies and additional components were analysed. Different scenarios consider possibilities for improvement of heat distribution to be able to achieve higher share of renewable waste heat.

For improvement of hydraulic operation of the network control methods for pump and substation of the last consumer of the network was provided. The aim of this scenario was to analyse the advantages of adopting variable speed control for pump and utilization of flow control valve at user substations.

To enhance thermal performance of the network to be able to receive distributed heat in a more efficient manner a scenario for controlling the supply temperature at CHDB is provided. The effect of variable supply temperature on consumers and distributed heat integration was further analysed.

5.5.1 Outdoor temperature compensation

Supply temperature of heat fluxes from the plant can be either constant for entire year or adjusted to avoid unnecessary heat load on central plant. Commonly supply temperature of plant is regulated by changes in outdoor temperature, because heat demand of buildings is often measured considering outdoor temperature. Hence, outdoor temperature could be the link between users demand and temperature of the water that plant is supplying.

Both constant and variable supply temperature are considered in separate simulations in order to compare the effect of outdoor temperature compensation.

According to DH energy monitoring platforms of university campus, supply temperature is defined as a linear function of outdoor temperature as shown in Figure 5-19 and constant supply temperature is assumed 75°C.



Figure 5-19 Supply temperature adjustment proportional to outdoor temperature

5.5.2 Pressure difference control

Minimum pressure difference at the users ΔP_{USER} were assumed to be 0.7 bar. This value however is associated with the pressure differential at the plant between the supply and the return line. The building farthest from the CHDB (no. 24), meets the minimum pressure differential between supply and return line, thus control of this substation is the most crucial. A simplified model of a substation could consist of a heat exchanger to receive heat from the primary side and a flow control valve as shown in Figure 5-20.



Figure 5-20 Hydraulic resistances due to additional components

$$\Delta P_{USER} = \Delta P_{he} + \Delta P_{v} \tag{5.52}$$

 ΔP_{he} and ΔP_{v} are defined as a function of mass flow rate as follow:

$$\Delta P_{he} = kG^2 \tag{5.53}$$

$$\sqrt{\Delta P_{\nu}} = \frac{G}{k_{\nu}}$$
 5.54

where K in 4-29 is considered to be constant and is determined for design condition.

 K_v Determines the capacity of the valve and is defines how much volumetric flow rate m³/h flows through the valve with pressure differential of 1 bar. K_v is proportional to opening position of the valve.

In order to have a better control on performance of the substation the ratio "valve authority" was introduced as:

$$N = \frac{\Delta P_v}{\Delta P_{he} + \Delta P_v}$$
 5.55

Valve authority shows how much pressure drop in the whole circuit is due to valve.

In order to achieve satisfactory pressure drop in the whole circuit mass flow rate through the valve remains constant. Therefore, by closing the valve velocity of water in the valve due to reduction of cross section area increases and causes extra pressure drop.

Valve sensor applies inputs of the pressure differential between plant and the substation in the supply line and controls the position of the valve in order to maintain constant 3 bar pressure difference between the supply and return line at the plant.

5.5.3 Pump control

Pressure rise due to pump directly affect the hydraulic balance and energy investment of the network. Pumps are commonly controlled by adjusting flow rates or/and adjusting the rotation speed according to characteristic curve.

Affinity laws express relationships between several variables involved in pump performance such as flow rate, impeller diameter, head and power. There are two ways to express these relationships: either holding the impeller diameter or the rotation speed constant. Affinity laws for a constant impeller diameter are:

$$\frac{G_1}{G_2} = \frac{n_1}{n_2}$$
 5.56

$$\frac{\Delta P_{pump,1}}{\Delta P_{pump,2}} = \left(\frac{n_1}{n_2}\right)^2 \tag{5.57}$$

$$\frac{p_1}{p_2} = \left(\frac{n_1}{n_2}\right)^3$$
 5.58

where n is the rotation speed. The pump used in this network rotates at 2620 rpm in design condition.



Figure 5-21 working points of variable speed controlled pump

The pump used in first branch maintains constant pressure rise of 3 bar by pump, therefore is controlled by adjusting rotation speed.

Assume that due two decrease in costumers' heat demand plant must reduce the flow rate into the system. Therefore, working point of the pump is subjected to change.

By using affinity laws rotation speed of the pump is then changed in order to reach pressure to the desired value. Consequently, power consumption of the pump will change.

5.6 Waste heat integration measurement cases

Integration of waste heat from datacentre was illustrated in 5.1.2. Cooling demand of datacentre during the year is fairly constant. Therefore, the amount of available waste heat and the mass flow rate of the water for heat recovery purposes was assumed constant. Variations of heat pump power consumption are neglected. Extracting higher mass flow rates means relying more on waste heat source. On the other hand, differing from heat exchanger design value could dramatically affect the heat transfer efficiency. Heat exchanger was designed for injecting maximum 1MW heat power into the main grids of the network (Case 1). Two other Cases was also dedicated to higher percentages of total mass flow rate in the network (G_{max}) which lead to increase in maximum heat load. In addition, mass flow rate of the refrigerant needs to increase proportionally in order to maintain constant thermal efficiency. Summary of design setups for different cases are shown in Table 5-4.

Case	Gc,des [kg/s]	G _{c,des} /G _{max} [%]	G _{h,des} [kg/s]	ΔT _{max} [K]	R	η _t [%]	Q _{max} [MW]
Case 1	10.2	9.6	8.56	35	0.58	65	1
Case 2	12.7	11.5	10.27	35	0.56	65	1.2
Case 3	15.5	14.6	11.13	35	0.50	65	1.3

Table 5-4 Test cases for reflecting different share of renewable energy source

Three scenarios are defined in order to evaluate the effect of change in functionality in the network such as:

• Reference (R) scenario: In order to have a better comparison between possible situations, reference scenario is defined as the condition where 2620 rpm for pump rotation speed and 75°C supply temperature is constant. Verification of the models are investigated through reference scenario.

Other possible scenarios are:

- Outdoor temperature compensation (OTC) scenario: Supply temperature was adjusted as explained in Figure 5-19.
- Pump control (PC) scenario: The only pump in the network is of a variable speed control (VSC) type. In this scenario pump was controlled in order to maintain 3 bar pressure difference between supplies and return pipes in first node. This is done by synchronizing the pump with flow control valve at end user substation.

Pressure drop at consumer substations is due to pressure drop in the heat exchanger and flow control valve as discussed before.

In this scenario pump receives information about hydraulic losses within supply pipe and ΔP_{USER} .

$$\Delta P_{PUMP} = 2\Delta P_{MAX} + \Delta P_{USER}$$
 5.59

Next chapter shows main physical characteristics of the system, pressures, temperatures and further analysis.

CHAPTER 5

6. Results

Simulations were done for different cases with different contributions of datacentre. All cases were tested for the entire year in order to give an overall knowledge of network performance in heating seasons. Possibility of efficient integration of the waste heat was further analysed through suggested scenarios.

6.1 Reference scenario

6.1.1 Thermal characteristics of the network

Steady state temperature distribution of 11 MW heat rate in the network at design condition was shown in Figure 6-1. Users at substations experience average temperature difference of 25K between supply and return line in their substations. 10.2 kg/s of return water is then redirected to datacenter building and reheated from 49°C to 70°C in order to recover 1 MW heat power from cooling the servers. Introducing such heat causes temperature gradients from datacenter building and propagates toward the first node which is the heat production centre is the farthest point in the return line. therefore temperature of return water at central heat production center slightly increases.



Figure 6-1 Temperature distribution for Reference scenario

Temperature drop at the last user substations is shown in Figure 6-2 for the entire year. Since waste heat is connected to the return line temperature drop in substations was not considerably affected by waste heat source.



Figure 6-2 Hourly temperature drop variation at user substation no. 24

During the high demand days temperature difference was higher in order to ensure required heat transfer. This value however decreases in low demand condition which causes the water to return with higher temperature and consequently lower heat absorption from waste heat source. Figure 6-3 shows heat rate from the waste heat source in the entire year for three described cases.



Figure 6-3 Hourly heat power from waste heat source (Reference scenario)

Waste heat integration was successful for most of the hours of the year with average loads form 0.7 MW in Case 1 to 0.95 MW in Case 3. Increasing share of the integrated waste heat source lead to higher annual energy production from 5.1 GWh in Case 1 to 6.94 GWh in Case 3. Duration curve of heat production by the waste heat in three cases is shown in Figure 6-4.

Case	G [kg/s]	G/G _{max} [%]	Min.Temperature of hot water [°C]	Max.heat power [MW]	Annual thermal energy production [GWh/year]	Average heat power [MW]
Case 1	10.2	9.6	70	0.98	5.1	0.6
Case 2	12.7	11.5	70	1.18	5.9	0.68
Case 3	15.5	14.6	70	1.26	6.9	0.79

Table 6-1 Waste heat recovery in Reference scenario



Figure 6-4 Duration curve of heat power at datacenter substation (Reference scenario)

Temperature of the waste heat source inlet for entire year is shown in Figure 6-5. Due to lower temperature drop at substations in low demand hours, temperature of water to be reheated by waste heat source was higher and consequently caused reduction of heat load from datacentre to near 0.2 MW as shown in Figure 6-3.



Figure 6-5 Temperature of the return water redirected to datacenter substation for all the cases of waste heat share (Reference scenario)

The supply and return temperature at CHDB is shown in Figure 6-6. Integrating waste heat to network grids lead to a higher return temperature, this effect was more significant when the higher share was adopted.



Figure 6-6 Hourly temperature levels at main heat production building

Increasing share of integrated waste heat source in the network in different cases could effect on heat losses within distribution pipes as shown in Figure 6-7. Increasing share of waste heat increases temperature levels within the network, therefore thermal energy loss in pipes occurs with higher rates. Table 6-2 summarises result of heat loss in three cases.

Case	Annual thermal energy loss [GWh/year]
Case 1	2.9
Case 2	`3.2
Case 3	3.5

Table 6-2 Distribution energy losses in three cases



Figure 6-7 Hourly variation of heat power losss in the pipes (Reference scenario)

During the high demand hours higher amount of heat was lost however comparing to the total heat demand includes lower percentage. Increasing share of distributed renewable heat source in Case 2 and Case 3 was resulted in higher annual heat losses in general, from 12% in Case 2 to 14% in Case3.

6.1.2 Hydraulic analysis of the network

Results of pressure distribution in supply and return lines from main distribution unit to furthest point of the network for design condition is shown in Figure 6-8. As the flow moves toward the farthest point of the network pressure gradients due to friction and hydraulic losses cause pressure drop in supply and return lines. If there is no additional pump in distribution network pressure in the pipes from first point in supply to return to first point constantly decreases by circulating. Fluctuations in the return line are due to injection of hot water from datacentre which specifically effects on pressure balance in nearby point in return line.



Magnitudes of pressure drop in each branch is compared in Figure 6-9. In most of the branches the amount of pressure drop was close to the values provided in Table 5-2. Therefore, the pressure distribution in Figure 6-8 is associated with reliable hydraulic characteristics of the pipes in the network.



Figure 6-9 Pressure drop in branches as calculated vs. values in the chart

Pump input power of 40 kW at constant rotation speed of 2620 rpm was required for overcoming 1.8 bar pressure drop in distribution and 0.7 bar in last user substation. Duration curve of pump power in Figure 6-10 shows the number of hours in the year pump required a certain minimum power.



Figure 6-10 Pumping power vs. number of hours

Pressure of supply water at main heat production centre for entire year is shown in Figure 6-11. These pressures for supply are result of pressure increase by pump with electric input powers shown in Figure 6-12. Annual energy consumption of pump was 257 MWh/year.



Figure 6-11 Hourly supply pressure variations at CHDB



Figure 6-12 Hourly variation of pumping power required by network (Reference scenario)

Pressure drop at a user close to datacentre building is shown in Figure 6-13 for three cases in entire year. During high demand hours pressure drop in the network and in the consumer substation was higher due to higher flow rate in the network which increased hydraulic losses. Minimum 0.7 bar pressure drop due to efficient heat transfer is required at user substations, however pressure drop at this user falls below the limit in some hours of the year for Case 2 and Case 3. Figure 6-14 shows the frequency of this problem in the year.



Figure 6-13 Hourly Pressure gradient at user substation no. 37 (Reference scneario)



Figure 6-14 Frequency of fail hydraulic balance at user substation no. 37 in the year

During the low demand months pressure drop problem is more often evident, in both Case 2 and Case 3. This is due to lower mass flow rate in the network which makes the pressure of flow from datacentre to disturb hydraulic balance of the users nearby more than in high demand conditions. Figure 6-15 shows pressure of the outlet from the datacentre. In high demand conditions reduction of pressure of injected hot water from waste heat source is followed by general pressure drop in the system.



Figure 6-15 hourly variation of waste heat connection pressure
6.2 Outdoor temperature compensation scenario

Temperature distribution in design condition for STC scenario is shown in Figure 6-16. Higher supply temperature in this condition leads to higher temperature level for datacentre substation and consequently lower heat load from waste heat source.



Figure 6-16 Temperature distribution vs. distance in STCscenario

Figure 6-17 shows Temperature drop at a user substation for entire year.in this scenario variation of temperature drop due to heat transfer in user substations is higher due to variation of supply temperature.



Figure 6-17 Hourly variation of temperature drop at user substation no.50

In colder hours of the year when heat request of buildings is higher, user substations work with higher temperature difference, however in warmer hours of the year temperature difference becomes lower than Reference scenario. Lowering supply temperature has



considerable effect on efficiency of heat exchangers in consumer buildings. Figure 6-18 compares response of heat exchanger at a substation during the year.

Heat production at datacentre substation in this scenario is shown in Figure 6-19. The effect of regulating temperature level of supply is clearly visible in heat recovering process. During high demand conditions higher supply temperature is adopted and consequently temperature level in return is higher. Despite the high demand hours which are associated with greater amount of temperature drop at user substations the heat load in these conditions is at its lowest values.



Figure 6-19 Hourly variation of heat power captured from waste heat source (STC scenario)

Lower heat requirement of building corresponds to lower supply temperatures. Despite of lower temperature drop, low supply temperature provides reasonably cooled water in return line to feed the datacentre substation. As a result, heat recovery from datacentre hits the peak of near 1.6 MW in Case 3. Figure 6-20 shows temperature at the inlet of waste heat source.



Figure 6-20 Hourly variation of temperature of redirected water to waste heat source

Duration curve of waste heat source contribution in the network is shown in Figure 6-21. Peak load for all case is increased. Also annual heat production is significantly increased, which is mostly due to reduction of temperature according to outdoor temperature.

Case	G [Kg/ s]	G/Gmax [%]	Min. Temperature [°C]	Max. power [MW]	Annual production [GWh/year]	Average power [MW]
Case 1	10.2	9.6	70	1.5	7.9	0.9
Case 2	12.7	11.5	64.2	1.58	9	1.03
Case 3	15.5	14.6	60	1.6	9.5	1.09

Integration of waste heat source is achieved for almost 6800 hours in the year with higher average heat load.



Temperature of the water supplied by waste heat source is shown in Figure 6-22. In Case 1 for the whole year return water reheated to its maximum 70°C. In Case 2 and Case 3 despite of high heat production temperature of water supplied by waste heat source falls to minimum 61°C and more frequently in low demand hours. This is due to lower temperature levels in the network.



Figure 6-22 Hourly variation of water temperature at the outlet of waste heat source

Figure 6-23 compares energy performance of distributed heat energy source in the network. First of all, the effect of regulating temperature levels is clearly visible in heat production by this unit. In Reference scenario possibility of integrating waste heat source from datacentre is limited to 20% of annual heat request of campus in Case 3, some problems with hydraulic balance near waste heat source when higher share of waste heat is dedicated was diagnosed though. On the other hand, lowering temperature levels when outdoor temperature is higher in STC scenario leads to providing colder water for heat recovery, as a result contribution of waste heat source in meeting heat request of campus gradually increases to 24% in Case 1 to 28% in Case 3. Despite of considerable increase in the amount of heat produced annually in STC scenario, due to limited capacity of heat pump cycle at waste heat source substation, reheated water is introduced often with lower temperature grades which makes it difficult to utilize such heat.



Figure 6-24 shows supply and return temperature at main heat distribution building. Difference between supply and return temperature at first node in this scenario is lower, specifically in low demand hours. Integrating waste heat source to return line in this scenario together with regulating supply temperature makes the temperature difference at main distribution building to tend to be lower. For few hours of the year temperature of the return is higher than supply, which shows limitation for adopting higher share of renewable

energy source combined with low temperature levels.



Figure 6-24 Hourly variation of supply and return temperature at main heat production building (STC scenario)

Heat loss in pipe lines is shown in Figure 6-25. Adjusting supply temperature significantly affects the overall heat loss in distribution process. During the low demand hours which are associated with lower supply temperatures, considerable decrease in heat loss is evident.

~	Annual thermal energy loss		
Case	[GWh/year]		
Case 1	2.5		
Case 2	2.7		
Case 3	2.9		

Table 6-3 Distribution energy losses in three cases (STC scenario)



Figure 6-25 Hourly variation of heat power losss in the pipes (STC scenario)

Comparison between the annual amounts of heat energy loss is shown in Figure 6-26. Considerable reduction of heat loss when adopting temperature compensation adjustment is one of the advantages of lowering temperature levels in district heating networks. However, regardless of temperature levels in the network by increasing share of renewable heat source slight increase in heat loss is evident.



6.3 Pump and valve control scenario

Pressure distribution in the network for design condition is shown in Figure 6-27. In this scenario pressure drop at the last user substation increased to 1.6 bar by closing the valve (Equation 4-30). Decreasing the pressure of return line leads to less pressure increase due to activity of waste heat source and consequently pressure at nearby nodes are less effected. Lowering pressure of return line is also affected the pressure at datacentre substation as shown in Figure 6-28.



Pump rotation speed has increased to 2808 rpm in order to ensure 3 bar pressure difference between supply and return lines in first node. In the Table 6-4 pump input power and annual energy consumption of pump is shown. Adopting control option for pump shows potentials for saving power of annual 33%.

Table 6-4 Pump energy consumption in two scenarios						
Scenario	Maximum power kW	Annual consumption kWh/year				
Reference	40.5	257.55				
PC	41.2	172.78				

Table 6 1 Du



Figure 6-29 Duration curve of pumping power for reference and PC scenario

Comparing duration curves of input power in Figure 6-29 reveals that despite of a slight increase in maximum power, energy use of pump is dramatically reduced. This could also be an advantage for controlling pressure cones o waste heat source specifically when heat demand of the network is low and effect of waste heat source is more considerable in nearby nodes. Figure 6-30 compares pressure drop at a user near waste heat source in three cases in this scenario.



Figure 6-30 Hourly pressure gradient at user substation (PC scenario)

Like in the reference scenario pressure drop at user substation is reduced by increasing the share of waste heat source, however by controlling the pressure difference based on last substation higher pressure difference at users not far from datacentre was evident. In this scenario minimum pressure drop requirement for user substations was maintained in all three cases for the whole year.

CHAPTER 6

7. Discussion

Studying the DHS generally requires great deal of consideration in different parts of the network. Dealing with various consumer behaviour, presentation of proper model for thermo-mechanical components working in the network, and availability of information are examples of aspects to be considered within a model in which describes thermal and hydraulic behaviour of the application of district heating systems.

Thermal energy use and prediction of energy demand for building is one of the most influential motives for studying district heating systems. For the presented research thermal behaviour of the last one year were compared and actual data for overall thermal energy consumption of each consumer (including space heating and hot tap water) were used for simulation purpose. Relying only on statistical data might result in errors in outcome, however the reality of the behaviour of district heating network in Gløshaugen campus of NTNU is sufficiently illustrated. Energy consumption of buildings depend on the type could be explained in detail by considering thermal losses due structural aspects, solar heat gain, hot water consumption, users activity, etc. moreover heat energy demand of the buildings in short and long term could be taken into account more delicately.

Hydraulic part of district heating model is highly affected by the quality of information and approaches dedicated for pipes. Selection of proper type and diameters for design purpose could be coupled with great number of detailed calculation and motivations. Also, proper information of configuration of the pipe connections in the network effects the quality of hydraulic balance in the network. In this study information provided by pipe manufacturers are used with only limit for maximum velocity on 1.5 m/s in pipes. Precision in designing pipes directly leads to more efficient design of pumping system for network. From this point of view result of hydraulic balance in the network might be eclipsed by rough selection of pipes.

Connection of primary and secondary side is often a challenge when studying district heating systems. As a result of collecting data of overall thermal consumption of each consumer, simultaneously design of secondary substation is reduced to considering overall heat request of buildings. Consumer substations were modelled as a heat exchanger that receives all the requirement of the building. Design criteria of design of heat exchanger is influenced by adopting compensation solution for supply temperature. Lowering temperature levels demands heat exchangers to have a control method for temperature levels in secondary side.

Another substation which plays an important role in this network is waste heat source in datacentre building. Utilizing distributed heat pumps in district heating systems needs mechanical and economical justifications. Connection configuration that adopted in this network was done for reheating return water in order to feed return water. Heat capturing procedure in datacentre facility were modelled through design of a heat exchanger in which refrigerant vapour is cooled up to condensation temperature. Heat pump cycle could be defined by considering cooling demand of datacentre. In this study heat load capacity of reference network was assumed to be 1MW, however increasing share of water circulated in heat pump cycle resulted in capturing more than 1MW and in some cases with lower temperatures.

CHAPTER 7

8. Conclusion

Integrating distributed renewable heat energy sources to district heating systems were discussed in previous chapters. A simulation study of district heating ring of Gløshaugen campus of NTNU which has integrated a waste heat source from datacentre were done in order to analyse the impact of this possible clean energy source. Heat load capacity of the CHDB was near 11MW heat power for coldest weather condition. Utilizing distributed heat sources in conventional district heating systems comes with considerable advantages and also certain limits. Design and simulation of this network was done by dividing hydraulic and thermal aspects apart.

In calculation of hydraulic part presence of waste heat source played the role of a secondary source of energy, which means produced its own pressure cone. Interfering supply pressure of this waste heat source was shown that had negative effect on pressure balance of nearby consumer substations. This effect was bigger when the share of waste heat source heat production was bigger. Using the variable speed control for pump the pressure cone of the CHDB was maintained constantly 3 bar. Adopting appropriate control mode for pumping system together with simultaneous control of valve in consumer substation. Lowering pressure level however may cause extra pressure drop at users which are not affected by waste heat source. The variable speed control shown to be significantly cost efficient for the network, therefore importance of business planning for these type of networks is of a great importance.

In thermal part due to the type of integration of waste heat source (Return-Return) consumer substations were not affected by presence of secondary heat source, however dependency of waste heat source on user substation was more evident. Through reference scenario where a constant supply temperature was assumed for all heat demands it was revealed that higher temperature levels for supply water together with variable temperature drop at consumer substation which is often lower than expected leads to higher temperature of water redirecting to waste heat source. Higher mass flowrates of reheated water if it is agreed by hydraulic part can bring higher thermal efficiency and higher average annual heat load. Within the outdoor temperature compensation scenario possibilities for utilizing lower temperature levels were studied. Remarkable reduction of thermal losses due to distribution could be the most important economic advantage of lowering temperature levels. Furthermore, lower temperature levels for waste heat source resulted in higher waste heat recovery, however it was revealed that higher share of circulating water in datacentre substation causes reduction of water temperature introduced by waste heat source. Generally, return temperature at the CHDB should be lower, however it is increased by introduction of hot water from datacentre to return line.

Finally, integrating renewable thermal energy sources could be advantageous if necessary considerations are taken into account. Hydraulic balance of district heating system integrated renewable energy sources should take into account the weight and fluctuation of heat source as well as coping with the requirements of consumers so that the introduction of heat from distributed source is done both with required pressure and with respect to consumers affected by. For existing networks which majority of heat requirement is provided at CHDB hydraulic balance is highly depends on main pumping system. Variable speed control for pumping system was shown to be both cost saving and beneficial for controlling the pressure disturbances from utilizing distributed waste heat source. Lowering temperature levels in the network was shown to be effective in reduction of heat losses and improvement of integrating waste heat source. Reduction of temperature level however had drawbacks such as increasing influence of waste heat introduction to return line on temperature difference at the CHDB, reduction of heat and inefficiency of consumer substation.

CHAPTER 8

9. Further work

Utilizing renewable heat sources are still challenging in different aspects. The introduction of prosumer to business models together with applying high tech. methods for efficient integrating distributed renewable energy sources requires further researches. Depending on size of the network and available renewable heat sources proper models and definitions should be established. Low grade heat produced from waste heat sources in specific, is still a main reason why penetration of this type of renewable energy source in practice and market is slight. High temperature levels existing DHS, must transit to LTDH in order to accommodate future energy sources. In order to improve integrity and stability of available distributed energy sources in the future district heating systems smart utilizing storage systems plays an important role.

Connection of distributed heat to the main network grids should be optimized according to availability of the source and temperature levels of the DHS. Distributed sources if could meet the planned heat demand with reasonable reliability could be connected to supply line. Control and adapting existing consumers to new thermo-mechanical characteristics of district heating systems using distributed heat sources seem to have a great impact on satisfactory integrating renewable heat sources, especially when prosumers production is supplied directly by nearby demands. Improvements in order to maintain hydraulic balance of the network when distributed sources are introducing heat is suggested.

Future district heating consumers request less heat due to energy saving measures. Delicate study of energy requirement of consumers and optimum distribution of heat power from distributed sources could result in a more efficient solution toward national and international clean energy goals.

Reference

- 1. Union, I., Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. An EU Strategy on Heating and Cooling. 2016: Brussel.
- 2. Lund, H., et al., *The role of district heating in future renewable energy systems*. Energy, 2010. **35**(3): p. 1381-1390.
- 3. Union, E., *Proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and the council on the promotion of the use of energy from renewable sources (recast).* Official Journal of the European Union, 2016. **final/2**: p. 767.
- 4. Lund, H., et al., 4th Generation District Heating (4GDH): Integrating smart thermal grids into future sustainable energy systems. Energy, 2014. 68: p. 1-11.
- Lake, A., B. Rezaie, and S. Beyerlein, *Review of district heating and cooling systems for* a sustainable future. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2017. 67: p. 417-425.
- 6. Nord, N., et al., *Improved Interfaces for Enabeling Integration of Low Temperature and Distributed Heat Sources–Requirements and Examples.*
- 7. sentralbyrå, N.S., *Statistical yearbook of Norway/District heating*. Vol. 25. 2016: Statistisk sentralbyrå.
- 8. Brand, L., et al., *Smart district heating networks–A simulation study of prosumers' impact on technical parameters in distribution networks*. Applied Energy, 2014. **129**: p. 39-48.
- 9. Grosswindhager, S., A. Voigt, and M. Kozek, *Efficient physical modelling of district heating networks*. Modelling and Simulation, 2011.
- 10. Haiyan, L. and P. Valdimarsson. *District heating modelling and simulation*. in *34th Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering*. 2009.
- 11. Hassine, I.B. and U. Eicker. Simulation and optimization of the district heating network in Scharnhauser Park. in 2nd European conference on Polygeneration. 2011.
- 12. Ancona, M., et al., Smart District Heating: Distributed Generation Systems' Effects on the Network. Energy Procedia, 2015. **75**: p. 1208-1213.
- 13. Brand, L., P. Lauenburg, and J. Englund, *DISTRICT HEATING COMBINED WITH DECENTRALISED HEAT SUPPLY IN HYLLIE, MALMÖ.*
- 14. Sciacovelli, A., V. Verda, and R. Borchiellini, *Numerical design of thermal systems*. Clut, Torino, 2013.
- 15. Frederiksen, S. and S. Werner, *District heating and cooling*. 2013: Studentlitteratur.
- 16. Guan, J., N. Nord, and S. Chen, *Energy planning of university campus building complex: Energy usage and coincidental analysis of individual buildings with a case study.* Energy and Buildings, 2016. **124**: p. 99-111.

Apendix

Implementation of SIMPLE algorithm and FIXED-POINT loop

A Matlab code has been implemented in order to obtain the pressure at each node and the mass flow rate for each branch. A "while" cycle is used to solve the SIMPLE algorithm that contains inside another "while" for the fixed-point method, which solves the nonlinear equation. The results of this procedure are the real mass flow rates and pressures. Together with the while loops it is defined for the SIMPLE and Fixed-Point algorithms a function which calculates the corresponding values for hydraulic conductance of each branch of the network. The calculation of the error of the SIMPLE Algorithm has been performed considering the maximum between the values of the corrections on the pressure and the mass flow rate. The truncation criterion used for the Fixed-Point consisted in calculating the error on the value of the mass flow rate by considering the difference between the ith and the (i-1)th step. The value of the error to be compared to the tolerance has been chosen to be the maximum of the values calculated for each branch.

Tolerances of 10⁻³ and 10⁻⁶ are used to verify convergence in the SIMPLE algorithms and Fixed-Point respectively.

The boundary conditions have been imposed modifying properly the **H** matrix and the **b** vector, in order to avoid the correction of the element which corresponds to it.

From the guessed values of pressure, the SIMPLE loop performs its iterations, thanks to the "while" cycle, until it reaches the convergence using the concepts of Residuals coming from Momentum Equation that must be lower than the tolerance. The new values are obtained from correction of updated residuals using the defined under-relaxation coefficients. The chosen values are 0.1 for the SIMPLE (for both pressure and mass flow rate) and 0.3 for the Fixed-Point.

The calculations are organized in a main script that is the only one to launch. The first section "data input" is devoted to the initialization of the main data such as geometrical data, thermo-physical data and logical data like the numeration of the nodes, definition of user nodes and inner nodes and the build of the incidence matrix \mathbf{A} for both the supply and return configurations.

Matrix A is built in a proper function that require as input the number of node, the number of branches, and two logical vector containing one the inlet nodes for each branch and the other the outlet nodes of each branch.

The second section of the main code solve the fluid-dynamic problem. This function requires as input the numbers of node and the number of branches, the prevalence of the pump, the matrix A and the vector of the requested flow rate at the user; and give as output the number of iterations of the simple algorithm the final vectors of pressure at nodes and mass flow rate in the branches, and two vectors containing the error behavior of P and G during calculation.

The function, first build the vector \mathbf{t} , then after the definition of the necessaries vectors and data solve two while cycles, the inner one is devoted to the solution of the fixed point algorithm and once the mass flow rates related to the guessed pressures is found, the outer while cycle, evaluate the correction on the pressures. Conductance matrix \mathbf{Y} is built in another function that receive as input the only a flow rate vector.

Once the FD problem is solved and the mass flow rates are available, all the point regarding thermal problem are solved directly in the main code.

In this part first, the code builds the matrix **K** and vector **f** for the supply circuit:

two "for" cycles and an "if" one analyse matrix \mathbf{A} in order to find which branch send its flow rate to the node i and which one receive is flow rate from node i and consequently compile the vector \mathbf{f} and the proper cells of matrix \mathbf{K} .

Then the boundary conditions for the inlet node (the plant) and for the outlet ones (users) of the network are imposed: another for-for-if cycle is built in order to modify properly diagonal and non-diagonal elements of matrix K.

The return cycle is built in the same way, only inverting the user's nodes and the plant's node boundary condition. Another inlet and outlet nodes in return cycle are associated with decentralized production node.